

Chichester District Council

Planning Committee

Wednesday 6 January 2016

Report of the Head of Planning Services

Schedule of Planning Appeals, Court and Policy Matters

This report updates Planning Committee members on current appeals and other matters. It would be of assistance if specific questions on individual cases could be directed to officers in advance of the meeting.

Note for public viewing via Chichester District Council web-site To read each file in detail, including the full appeal decision when it is issued, click on the reference number (NB certain enforcement cases are not open for public inspection, but you will be able to see the key papers via the automatic link to the Planning Inspectorate).

- WR – Written Representation Appeal
- H – Hearing
- I – Inquiry
- () – Case Officer Initials
- * – Committee level decision

1. NEW APPEALS

| Reference/Procedure | Proposal |
|---|---|
| BI/15/01749/DOM WR (N McKellar) In progress | Premier Business Park 4 Birdham Road West Sussex PO20 7BU - Change of use of existing marine retail/storage/light industrial unit to new destination retail unit incorporating new shop front, entrance and side fire escape. |
| BO/14/03677/PLD H (F Stevens/D Price) In progress | Land West of Sweet Meadow Bosham Hoe Bosham Chichester PO18 8ET - Use of site for 1 no dwelling. |
| CC/15/02316/ADV HH (M Tomlinson) In progress | Vauxhall Frosts Chichester A27 Chichester Bypass Eastbound Kingsham Chichester West Sussex PO19 8TH - 1 no internally illuminated entrance panel, 2 no internally illuminated wall mounted Vauxhall logos, 1 no internally illuminated service and parts pole sign and 1 no directional lawn sign |
| SDNP/14/02401/CND WR (J Saunders) In progress | Blackberry Barn Hollist Lane Easebourne Midhurst West Sussex GU29 0QN - Removal of Condition 6 of planning permission EB/05/03463/FUL In order to make better use of the building. |

| Reference/Procedure | Proposal |
|--|--|
| SDNP/15/02147/FUL WR (C CRANMER) In progress | 33 Nappers Wood Fernhurst West Sussex GU27 3PB - Divide existing large house into two separate dwellings. |
| SDNP/15/02779/HOUS (R Grosso Macpherson) In progress | Fitzlea House Fitzlea Wood Road Selham Lodsworth GU28 0PS - Internal alterations and external alterations including one two-storey rear extension and one single-storey side extension. |
| SDNP/15/02780/LIS H (R Grosso Macpherson) In progress | Fitzlea House Fitzlea Wood Road Selham Lodsworth GU28 0PS - Internal alterations and external alterations including one two-storey rear extension and one single-storey side extension. |
| SDNP/14/05019/FUL WR (R Grosso Macpherson) In progress | Leigh Barton Brook Hill Lurgashall Petworth GU28 9HB - Construction of tennis court on land to north. Resubmission of SDNP/13/02074/FUL |
| SDNP/14/06285/MPO H (J Saunders) In progress | Land at Laundry Cottage Woodlea and Grass Mere Horsham Road Petworth West Sussex - Removal of affordable housing obligation attached to planning permission SDNP/12/02721/FUL. |
| SY/15/01741/DOM HH (M Tomlinson) In progress | Helmieh Chichester Road Selsey West Sussex PO20 9ED - Erection of a closed boarded fence to the front of the property. |
| SI/14/00397/CONMHC WR (R Hawks) In progress | Land South of Church Farm Lane, Sidlesham West Sussex - Stationing of caravan. Appeal against enforcement notice. |
| SB/14/00313/CONMHC H (S Archer) In progress | Land North of Marina Farm Thorney Road Southbourne - Stationing of a mobile home. Appeal against enforcement notice |
| SDNP/14/05772/LDE WR (D PRICE) In progress | The Old Studio Bridgefoot Cottages Stedham Midhurst GU29 0PT - Use of The Old Studio as a self-contained residential unit for a period in excess of four years. |
| SDNP/15/04020/HOUS WR (J Shore) In Progress | Home Farm Upperton Road Upperton Tillington Petworth West Sussex GU28 9BE - Full and part demolition of previous extensions, construction of new orangery style extension, new south extension and minor internal and external alterations to original building. |
| SDNP/15/04021/LIS WR (J Shore) In Progress | Home Farm Upperton Road Upperton Tillington Petworth West Sussex GU28 9BE - Full and part demolition of previous extensions, construction of new orangery style extension, new south extension and minor internal and external alterations to original building. |
| WW/15/02780/FUL WR (C Boddy) In Progress | 34 Marine Drive West Wittering West Sussex PO20 8HQ - Demolition of existing residential property (2 Flats) and erection of two no four- bedroom properties. |
| SDNP/15/03361/LDE H (D Price) In progress | Stable Cottage Dunford Hollow West Lavington West Sussex GU29 0ER - Use as single dwelling house with garden and amenity area. |
| WR/15/01974/DOM WR (A Miller) In Progress | Coed Afal Petworth Road Wisborough Green Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0BH - Proposed two storey rear extension and internal alterations. |

| Reference/Procedure | Proposal |
|---|--|
| WR/15/01975/LBC WR (A Miller) In Progress | Coed Afal Petworth Road Wisborough Green Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0BH - Proposed two storey rear extension and internal alterations. |

2. DECISIONS RECEIVED

| Reference/Decision | |
|--|--|
| EWB/14/03917/FUL WR (F Stevens) Allowed | Thatched Tavern Church Road East Wittering Chichester PO20 8PU - Siting of four no timber cabins for overnight stay accommodation in connection with public house. |
| <p>The appeal is allowed and planning permission is granted for the siting of 4 no. timber cabins for overnight stay accommodation in connection with public house at the Thatched Tavern...The proposal was amended following submission to the Council but prior to its determination. This change altered the number of chalets from six to four. As this reduced the scope of the development I am satisfied that no interested parties would be prejudiced. Consequently, I have determined the appeal on this basis...The Thatched Tavern was first listed in 1986 and is a simple vernacular building dating from the 18th century...The main setting for the building that contributes to its historical significance is the orientation of the principle elevation in relation to Church Road...I observed that only the top of the gable ends of the chalets are visible above the hedgerow when viewed in this context. Bearing in mind their limited visibility from Church Road and the separation distance from the main elevation of the listed building, I am satisfied that its setting has been preserved and that the proposal has had no significant impact on local character when viewed from the west...I accept that the chalets are clearly visible from a gateway, as well as a number of gaps in the hedgerow, when viewed from various points along Church Farm Lane and a footpath further to the east. However, the character of this vista is dominated by the adjacent caravan site and the roofscape of the Furze field residential development to the northwest...Whilst the prominence of the chalets is comparable to the brightly-coloured caravans of the Briar Cottage site, I am satisfied that they could be stained a darker, more appropriate colour and that this could be ensured through a suitable condition...I conclude that the proposal would not cause significant harm to the character and appearance of the local area or the setting of the Grade II listed building...other parties agree that there would be a deficit of two parking spaces when the parking requirements for the proposed development are considered alongside the parking requirements for the public house...Although only a snapshot, I observed that neither the road nor the car park were busy. I am also satisfied that car park can be clearly seen by approaching vehicles travelling in both directions. Bearing in mind the 30mph speed limit, as well as the lack of visual clutter, even the more restricted views of northbound traffic would be sufficient to ensure road safety in the event of limited overspill...I conclude that the proposal would not cause significant harm to highway safety and that it would not, therefore, conflict with Policy 39 of the LP that seeks, among other things, to ensure that proposals do not create or add to problems of safety...</p> | |
| | |

| Reference/Decision | |
|---|---|
| <p>CH/14/01342/FUL PI (N Langford)</p> <p>DISMISSED</p> | <p>Buildings B C and D Lion Park Broad Road Hambrook Chidham Chichester West Sussex PO18 8RG - Development of 25 no dwellings (4 no 1 bed and 21 no 2 bed) with associated parking and amenity space, in place of commercial blocks B, C and D approved under 09/04314/OUT and 11/01764/REM (resubmission of 13/00984/FUL).</p> |
| <p>"...detailed elevation design and materials would not be inappropriate to this part of Lion Park and the surrounding area...Notwithstanding this, the height, scale and mass of the proposed buildings are factors vital to ensuring that any residential development sits comfortably within the site and contributes positively to the character of the wider area...I do not accept that the extant commercial buildings are an appropriate benchmark for informing residential scale, height and mass...the height, depth, scale and bulk of the buildings taken together, but particularly Block C, would be excessive in the wider context of a rural parish. Although I note the presence of other 3 storey development within Lion Park and the large footprint of terraced residential blocks nearby, the cumulative effect of the large buildings proposed would significantly alter the overall character of the development, creating a visually dominating and overly prominent form amongst more modest residential buildings...this appearance of excessive scale, height and mass would be emphasised by the much more complex plan form, roof structure and greater degree of design articulation which would be in contrast to the much more simple form and elevations of surroundings residential properties within Lion Park...I conclude that the development would harm the character and appearance of the area. This would be in conflict with Policies 33, 40 and 47 of the LP...I am satisfied that the communal open space and overall living environment provided would be appropriate...It is agreed between the parties that the site is in an appropriate location for either commercial or residential development in principle...The extent to which the marketing exercise has been robust and credible can be questioned but this is only one part of the consideration required under Policy 26. It is also necessary to consider whether the site is likely to be reused or redeveloped for employment purposes...A great deal of viability information has been submitted by the appellant...Both documents show that speculative building would result in a significant financial loss to the developer and that it would not be commercially viable to construct the extant buildings on this basis...The Council suggests that this condition was sufficient to ensure delivery of the employment buildings and to make any subsequent developer aware of the requirement. It was further stated that in buying the site with the benefit of the planning permission, the appellant was showing itself to be a willing developer in the terms of paragraph 173 of the Framework that should have had regard to deliverability bearing in mind the costs involved and the requirements of the permission...here was no mention of a requirement for cross subsidy in the officer's report or the decision notice associated with the planning permission. Furthermore, there was no positive requirement to deliver the employment buildings in the associated legal agreement...the appellant has breached condition 5 by allowing occupation of more than 78 dwellings...There is no evidence before me to demonstrate that a subsidised approach was expected of any developer, notwithstanding condition 5 of the planning permission...I see very little prospect of the buildings being constructed in the face of an agreed lack of commercial viability and...the land is un-saleable for the intended purpose at the current time...Appendix E of the LP only requires proposals involving a net loss of 2000sqm or more employment floor space to demonstrate that the loss will not result in an under-supply of available employment floor space in the local area. This threshold is not breached by the development (involving 1850sqm) despite representing a considerable proportion of pipeline employment space outside the urban</p> | |

areas of the district...delivery of the required employment space was not reliant on Lion Park being delivered... I recognise that the employment use in this location might have benefited local people and reduced the need to travel to work in line with paragraph 37 of the Framework. However, employment use of the site is not strategic in the district...and the site is not far from larger employment centres...Furthermore, Block A has been constructed to shell and will make provision for employment space that will benefit local people on a scale commensurate with the settlements location as a Service Village...The marketing campaign could have been improved in the areas highlighted by the Council...In my view, the evidence submitted is sufficient to show robust and credible evidence of marketing over a prolonged period...The inaccuracies and omitted information identified in the marketing literature could not in my view be considered to amount to an attempt to make the buildings deliberately unviable. Coupled with the viability evidence provided, and the agreed position that speculative development is not viable, this leads me to conclude that it has been demonstrated that the site is no longer required and is unlikely to be re-used or redeveloped for employment use. As such, I find no conflict with Policies 3 and 26 of the LP; or the objectives of the Framework...In light of the Council's recently adopted strategy for the delivery of housing and the existence of a demonstrable five year housing land supply I do not accept the appellant's view that there is an overriding need for housing...Having regard to the relatively early stage of the NP and the unknown number and extent of unresolved objections, I can only attribute the Draft NP very limited weight at the current time...Whilst the development would provide acceptable living conditions for future occupants' and the loss of employment land has been justified in light of marketing and viability evidence, the development would unacceptably harm...the character and appearance of the area and this is not outweighed by the potential benefits of the scheme..."

[SDNP/15/02367/HOUS](#)
 EBERNOE
 WR (R Grosso
 Macpherson)

Sparkes Farm Ebernoe Road Balls Cross Ebernoe
 GU28 9JU - Proposed demolition of various extensions to the rear and east sides of the house and their replacement with new extensions.

DISMISSED

The appeal is dismissed....house has already been extended...substantially....giving it a somewhat rambling character....proposal would remove a small 2 storey extension and conservatory, replacing them with a substantial 2 storey extension covered by a flat crown roof...The proposed extension would be more unified in design...sash windows in all primary positions....would have mainly stone walls with brick quoins...the main proposed extension....would be taller than the existing house and its block like layout would be much bulkier compared to the more linear form of the house, with its traditional proportions....The design dominance of the extension would be further emphasised by its extensive use of stone and its more formal design, with...large sash windows. The proposed extension would...overwhelm the original house both in scale and in design terms, becoming the dominant part...altering the buildings character...the extension would be largely set behind the existing house as seen from the public footpath. However, it would still be seen from those public vantage points, appearing as an unsympathetic, out of scale addition to the house....the proposal would hard the character and appearance...house...landscape...scenic beauty of national park....conflict with the first purpose of national park designation...Framework...."

| Reference/Decision | |
|---|--|
| <p>SY/15/00320/FUL Hearing (F Stevens)</p> <p>DISMISSED</p> | <p>Student Accommodation Home Farm Chichester Road Selsey Chichester West Sussex PO20 9DX - Variation of condition 3 of permission SY/14/01758/FUL. Change need to retain these buildings in agricultural occupancy.</p> |
| <p>"....The appeal site has a planning history which includes the conversion of former business units to an agricultural workers hostel in 1997. In 2014 an application for the conversion of the hostel to 9 dwellings was granted subject to an agricultural occupancy condition. The proposal excluded the site manager's accommodation which would remain in use....Policy 37 of the KPLP refers to the provision of new agricultural workers dwellings. The policy refers to where applications for new agricultural workers dwellings are accepted a condition would be applied which would limit the occupancy of any new dwelling to agriculture, forestry or other rural business. I accept that the aim of the policy is to help limit residential development in the countryside. However, the wording of the policy does not cover circumstances dealing with the loss of agricultural workers dwellings. There would be no direct conflict with Policy 37 if the condition were to be removed....I have had regard to the supporting text of Policy 37. Paragraph 17.39 acknowledges that there may be circumstances where an agricultural occupancy condition is no longer required. The paragraph refers to demonstrating that the need no longer exists in circumstances where applications are made to remove occupancy conditions. The Council explain that it would expect this to be in the form of marketing evidence. Appendix 5 of the LPKP sets out that robust and credible evidence is needed to demonstrate what marketing has occurred....No marketing exercise was undertaken. The appellant considers that based on their knowledge of the area and the business the 9 units would not be of interest to other agricultural or horticultural business. The appellant refers to the lack of other large farms within the vicinity which would have the right staff to occupy the converted units....The Council produced a list of general rental accommodation available within a 10 mile radius of the appeal site. This indicates that there is a shortage very close to the appeal site. Nevertheless, there were a number of properties available at a reasonable price within the chosen radius....in the particular circumstances I consider that the requirement to provide marketing information would be particularly onerous....The Council have referred to an appeal decision¹ where the Inspector considered that the removal of an agricultural occupancy condition was not justified taking into account the needs of the wider area and lack of marketing information. The circumstances in that case differ as the development plan at the time included a policy which specifically dealt with the removal of occupancy conditions for agricultural workers....Policy 2 of the LPKP refers to settlement hierarchy and indicates amongst other things that development in the countryside is restricted to that which requires a countryside location or meets an essential rural need. This is further explained in Policy 45....The Council do not object to the design of the proposed units which would meet criterion 3. However, the council consider that without the condition the proposal would not be sustainable development particularly referring to the location of the appeal site....The area in which the appeal site is located has a farm shop, a small number of business uses and a cluster of houses. The farm shop is open for a number of hours during the week and weekend....It would enable future occupiers to purchase some goods locally and I consider that future occupiers would make use of the shop....The Council indicate that they have a five year supply of housing land. Nevertheless, the addition of 9 one and two bedroom residential dwellings would add to the supply of small units within the District. This has limited weight in favour of the appeal proposal....In terms of public transport the appeal site is located on a bus route with one major service operating every half hour during the daytime. The service is more</p> | |

limited during the evening and weekend, but nevertheless offers a reasonable choice to local residents....However, I accept it is reasonable to assume that some services in Selsey and Chichester would need to be accessed by car....I understand that there will be new facilities being delivered as part of development at the town that would not be close to the appeal site. Moreover, the proposal would be well related to an existing group of buildings and is located close to an established settlement. In this way there would be compliance with criterion 1 of Policy 45 of the LPKP....I understand the Council's concerns in preventing the loss of agricultural workers dwellings. I am not persuaded that the loss of agricultural workers dwellings would be detrimental to the function of the local economy or the appellant's business. Based on the particular circumstances of this case and the evidence before me, I conclude that the condition is also not necessary....The issue in relation to the SPA is recreational disturbance to birds from walkers and in particular dog walkers. Policy 51 of the LPKP sets out that net increases in residential development within a 3.5km zone of influence are likely to have a significant effect on the SPA. Mitigation or avoidance measures would therefore be required to prevent harm to the SPA....I note that workers who live on the site are not allowed to own dogs. This is for health and safety reasons in relation to the processes which take place on Home Farm....This can be enforced by the owners of the site and the site manager is on hand to do this. However, I agree with the Council that it would be very difficult to prevent permanent residential occupiers from having dogs even with a manager on the site. The Council are concerned that it would not be possible to enforce any condition restricting dogs particularly if the business changed hands or its nature over time....I cannot be certain that such a scheme would be put in place that would provide adequate measures to mitigate or avoid potential adverse effects on the SPA. In this respect the effect of the removal of the condition would be harmful to the SPA and it would be in conflict with Policy 51 of the LPKP....I have found that the condition is not reasonable and necessary nor would it be detrimental to the supply of agricultural workers dwellings in the area or the local economy. However, in the absence of measures to mitigate or avoid potential adverse impacts the effect of removing the condition would be harmful to the SPA and this is sufficient reason to dismiss the appeal...."

[BO/15/00953/DOM](#)

Reef Cottage Bosham Lane Bosham West Sussex PO18 8HT

WITHDRAWN

[BI/15/01287/FUL](#)

I (S Archer)

Land North West of Premier Business Park, Birdham Road Birdham West Sussex PO20 7BU - Proposed single pitch site including the provision of a utility building for settled gypsy accommodation together with existing stables.

Linked to BI/15/00194/CONTRV

WITHDRAWN

3. OUTSTANDING APPEALS

| Reference/Status | Proposal |
|--|---|
| BI/14/02356/PLD WR (F Stevens) In progress | Martins Lea Martins Lane Birdham Chichester PO20 7AU - Construction of driveway to Lock Lane, in connection with additional hard surfacing. |
| BI/15/00194/CONTRV WR (SCA) In progress | Land North West of Premier Business Park Birdham Road Birdham West Sussex - Use of land as a Traveller Site. Appeal against enforcement notice Linked to BI/15/01288/FUL |
| BI/15/01288/FUL I (SCA) In progress | Land North West of Premier Business Park, Birdham Road Birdham West Sussex PO20 7BU - Proposed single pitch site including the provision of a utility building for settled gypsy accommodation together with existing stables. Linked to BI/15/00194/CONTRV |
| SDNP/14/04865/FUL BURY I (D Price) In progress | Land North of Junction with B2138 Bury Road Bury West Sussex - Change of use from agricultural land to a Gypsy and Traveller's site. Linked to SDNP/15/00336/COU |
| SDNP/15/00336/COU BURY I (R Hawks) In progress | Land North of Junction with B2138 Bury Road Bury West Sussex - Stationing of two caravans for human habitation. Appeal against enforcement notice Linked to SDNP/14/04865/FUL |
| CC/14/03359/PDE WR (H Chowdhury) Awaiting decision | 18 Juxon Close Chichester West Sussex PO19 7AA - Single storey rear extension (a) rear extension - 4.0m (b) maximum height - 3.7m (c) height at eaves - 2.3m. |
| CC/15/01099/FUL WR (PKN) In progress | 21 Whyke Lane Chichester West Sussex PO19 7US - Change of use from a 4 bedroom semi-detached house to two no 2 bedroom self-contained flats including two storey rear extension, internal and external alterations. |
| CC/15/01122/DOM WR (A Miller) In progress | 28 Westgate Chichester West Sussex PO19 3EU - Single storey rear extension. Linked to CC/15/01123/LBC |
| CC/15/01123/LBC WR (A Miller) In progress | 28 Westgate Chichester West Sussex PO19 3EU - Single storey rear extension. Linked to CC/15/01122/DOM |

| Reference/Status | Proposal |
|--|--|
| CC/15/01245/DOM WR (M Tomlinson) In progress | 30 Brandy Hole Lane Chichester West Sussex PO19 5RY - Garage conversion with bay window and new open bay garage with first floor gym and shower. |
| CH/11/00538/CONBC H (RWH) In Progress | Five Oaks Newells Lane West Ashling Chichester West Sussex PO18 8DF - Height of building in excess of that permitted under 10/01925/FUL – appeal against enforcement notice |
| CH/14/00181/CONMHC H (S Archer) Awaiting decision | Land West of Five Oaks Newells Lane West Ashling West Sussex - Stationing of mobile home. Appeal against enforcement notice |
| CH/14/02138/OUT I (J Bell) Awaiting Decision | Land East of Broad Road Hambrook West Sussex - Residential development of 120 single and two storey dwellings comprising 48 affordable homes and 72 market price homes, garaging and parking together with retail unit, sports pavilion, community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access to Broad Road, emergency and pedestrian access to Scant Road West, sports facilities, two tennis courts, football pitch and four cricket nets, children’s play area, public open space and natural green space on a site of 9.31 ha. |
| CH/15/00151/CONDWE WR (R Hawks) In Progress | Cockleberry Farm Main Road Bosham West Sussex PO18 8PN - Retention of The Chalet. Appeal against enforcement notice |
| CH/15/01250/DOM WR (M Tomlinson) In Progress | La Traite Chidham Lane Chidham West Sussex PO18 8TH - New porch and loft extension. |
| CH/15/01956/DOM WR (C Boddy) In Progress | Dene Cottage Broad Road Hambrook Chidham PO18 8RG - Loft conversion including replacement roof. |
| SDNP/14/06393/FUL EASEBOURNE WR (C Cranmer) In progress | Ilex House Upperfield Easebourne West Sussex GU29 9AE - Construction of a new dwelling. |
| SDNP/15/00299/ADV EASEBOURNE WR (C Cranmer) In progress | A286 Kings Drive to Henley Old Road Easebourne West Sussex - To erect and keep for the licence period two signs of a similar size and style to that shown on the attached illustration in positions shown on the attached location plan on Kings Drive. |
| EWB/14/01806/OUT I (F Stevens) Awaiting Decision | Land East of Barton Way Clappers Lane Earnley West Sussex - The erection of 110 residential dwellings, new vehicular access, open space, and other ancillary works. |

| Reference/Status | Proposal |
|---|--|
| SDNP/15/02426/HOUS FERNHURST WR (R Jones) In progress | Fernwood Cottage Bell Road Kingsley Green Fernhurst GU27 3LQ - Remove existing front flat roof and porch. Construct a two storey rear extension, New pitched roof over existing front extension, new dormers (resubmission of SDNP/15/00212/HOUS). |
| FU/15/00237/CONTRV WR (S Archer) In progress | Land South of The Stables, Newells Lane/Scant Road East West Ashling West Sussex – Creation of a hardstanding – appeal against enforcement notice |
| SDNP/14/05057/LIS HARTING WR (M Mew) In progress | Old Manor House West Harting Petersfield GU31 5PA - Replacement of five timber single glazed windows on the rear 1930s extension with new timber double glazed units. |
| SDNP/15/01791/LDE HARTING WR (R Jones) In Progress | 2 Ryefield Barns Killarney to Goose Green Road West Harting Petersfield West Sussex GU31 5PE - Existing domestic curtilage extension requested in line with garden boundaries on land between house and driveway. |
| LX/13/03809/OUT I (N Langford) Awaiting Decision | Land South of Loxwood Farm Place High Street Loxwood – erection of 25 no residential dwellings comprising of 14 no private residential dwellings and 11 no affordable residential dwellings, associated private amenity space and parking. |
| SDNP/14/02271/HOUS Midhurst WR (M Mew) In progress | The Old Cottage Bepton Midhurst GU29 0JB – Conservatory Linked to SDNP/14/02272/LIS |
| SDNP/14/02272/LIS Midhurst WR (M Mew) In progress | The Old Cottage Bepton Midhurst GU29 0JB - Conservatory Linked to SDNP/14/02271/HOUS |
| PS/14/03983/FUL H (R Jones) In progress Hearing to be held 9 March 2016 at 10am, Old Court Room, Chichester City Council | Nell Ball Farm Dunsfold Road Plaistow Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0BF - Retention of existing mobile home as a permanent dwelling. |
| PS/14/03665/FUL WR (P Kneen) In progress | Oakley Grange Plaistow Road Kirdford Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0JY - Proposed track across paddock adjoining Oakley Grange Kirdford. |
| PS/14/04100/FUL H (F Steven) In progress | Little Springfield Farm Plaistow Road Ifold Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0TS - Demolition of existing industrial buildings and erection of 3 no detached dwelling houses with associated landscaping, surfacing, car parking provision and access works. |

| Reference/Status | Proposal |
|---|--|
| SDNP/15/01349/HOUS ROGATE WR (R Grosso Macpherson) In progress | Lower House Durleighmarsh Rogate Petersfield West Sussex GU31 5AX - Oak framed glazed garden room extension to side. Linked to SDNP/15/01351/LIS |
| SDNP/15/01351/LIS ROGATE WR (R Grosso Macpherson) In progress | Lower House Durleighmarsh Rogate Petersfield West Sussex GU31 5AX - Oak framed glazed garden room extension to side and insertion of roof light on south elevation. Linked to SDNP/15/01349/HOUS |
| SDNP/14/04194/HOUS Rogate WR (M Mew) In progress | Tollgate Cottage Durleigh Marsh Petersfield Hampshire GU31 5AX - Single storey rear extension and various works. Linked to SDNP/14/04195/LIS |
| SDNP/14/04195/LIS Rogate WR (M Mew) In progress | Tollgate Cottage Durleigh Marsh Petersfield Hampshire GU31 5AX - Single storey rear extension and various works. Linked to SDNP/14/04194/HOUS |
| SY/15/00881/FUL WR (M Tomlinson) In progress | 82 East Street Selsey West Sussex PO20 0BS - Elevation changes to convert shop to flat. |
| SY/15/00905/COUPMB WR (N McKellar) In progress | Ferry Farm Chichester Road Selsey West Sussex - Proposed change of use from agricultural building to 1 no dwelling (C3 Use Class). |
| SI/14/04249/ELD WR (P Kneen) In progress | Magnolia Cottage Cloverlands Chalder Lane Sidlesham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7RJ- To continue use of building as a single dwelling. |
| SI/15/00157/CONMHC H (R Hawks) Hearing to be held 9 February 2016 at 10am, Chichester District Council | Land South of Green Lane Piggeries Ham Road Sidlesham West Sussex - Stationing of a mobile home and engineering works. Appeal against enforcement notice. |
| SDNP/15/00136/OPDEV WR (A Simpson) In progress | Manor Farm A286 Town Lane to the Grove Singleton Chichester West Sussex PO18 0EX – Sand school and earth works – appeal against enforcement notice |

| Reference/Status | Proposal |
|--|--|
| SB/11/00022/CONDWE I (S Archer) In progress | R B S Nurseries Thornham Lane Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8DD - Use of a building as a dwellinghouse – appeal against enforcement notice. |
| SB/11/00022/CONDWE I (S Archer) In progress | R B S Nurseries Thornham Lane Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8DD - Use of a building as a dwellinghouse as above - relates to a different enforcement notice |
| SB/15/00113/FUL WR (F Stevens) In progress | Land between Meadowsweet and Appletrees Lumley Road Southbourne West Sussex - Construction of a dwelling. |
| WE/14/00911/FUL I (J Bushell) Awaiting Decision | Land on the North Side of Long Copse Lane Westbourne West Sussex - Erection of 16 no dwellings, vehicular and pedestrian access, car and cycle parking and landscaping |
| WE/14/01217/FUL H (J Bell) Awaiting Decision | Land West of Harwood Cemetery Lane Woodmancote Westbourne West Sussex - Provision of five Gypsy and Traveller pitches incorporating the re-design of an existing pitch (including the removal of stables granted in permission WE/13/03867/FUL) and the use of land for the stationing of caravans for residential purposes for an additional 4 no gypsy pitches, together with the formation of additional hard standing and utility/ dayrooms ancillary to that use. |
| WE/15/00134/CONACC WR (S Pattie) In Progress | Hambrook Car Wash Common Road Hambrook Westbourne West Sussex - Use of the land as a car wash. Linked to WE/15/01814/FUL – appeal against enforcement notice |
| WE/15/01814/FUL WR (F Stevens) In progress | Hambrook Car Wash Common Road Hambrook Westbourne West Sussex - Creation of an access and removal of trees Linked to WE/15/00134/CONACC |
| WW/15/00353/FUL WR (P Kneen) In Progress | Land to the Rear of Tanglewood Briar Avenue East Wittering West Sussex - Temporary retention for a period of three years of eight no lorry containers for storage purposes. Linked to WW/15/00363/FUL |
| WW/15/00363/FUL WR (P Kneen) In Progress | Land to the Rear of Tanglewood Briar Avenue East Wittering West Sussex - Proposed six pitch static caravan site with warden’s caravan for holiday proposes only. Linked to WW/15/00353/FUL |
| WR/15/00038/CONMHC WR (R Hawks) In progress | 1 Newfields Newpound Wisborough Green Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0AX - Stationing of two mobile homes - appeal against enforcement notices |
| WR/15/00498/ELD I (C Boddy) In progress | Beech Farm Roundstreet Common Loxwood Wisborough Green West Sussex RH14 0AN - The siting of a mobile home for the purposes of human habitation independently to Beech Farm House |

4. VARIATIONS TO SECTION 106 AGREEMENTS

5. CALLED-IN APPLICATIONS

| Reference | Proposal | Stage |
|-----------|----------|-------|
| NONE | | |

6. COURT AND OTHER MATTERS

| Injunctions | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Site | Breach | Stage |
| Land at Premier Site Birdham Road | Stable and other preparatory works in the AONB without planning permission. | Contempt proceedings took place at the Crown Court has given a hearing date of 5 October 2015. Defendant found guilty after Court agreed to hear the evidence of officers in the absence of the defendant who failed to attend the hearing. On 30 November 2015 the defendant attended court for the handing down of the sentence. They pleaded not guilty and the matter has been adjourned for 14 days for legal submissions to be made. Due to ongoing breaches and further works injunctions, against further parties, are being sought in addition to further enforcement action taken. |
| Land at Scant Road East | Preparation to convert use to residential without planning permission. | Investigations ongoing and injunction being prepared if other methods of enforcement prove not to prevent the planning harm identified. Planning application now made. Court proceedings suspended. |

| Prosecutions | | |
|--|---|--|
| Site | Breach | Stage |
| Nell Ball Farm Plaistow | Failure to comply with planning enforcement notices | (i) Prosecution authorised and papers passed to Legal Services (containers on the land). (ii) Prosecution proceedings to be recommenced in relation to the stationing of mobile homes. |
| Dean Ale and Cider House West Dean | Failure to comply with planning enforcement notice | Planning application has been received. Matter is listed to 25 September 2015 but likely to be adjourned and will be withdrawn if planning permission for relevant enforcement notice is granted. 25.09.15 – Court hearing adjourned until determination of the application. Prosecution postponed pending outcome of an appeal |
| Site | Breach | Stage |

| High Court | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------|
| Site | Matters Prohibited by the Order | Stage |
| Planning Injunction | | |
| NONE | | |
| Magistrates Court | | |
| NONE | | |

7. POLICY MATTERS

NONE