

Subject: FW: Loxwood
Date: Thursday, 4 December 2014 16:22
From: janet long <janet@planitconsulting.co.uk>
To: janet long <janet@planitconsulting.co.uk>

----- Forwarded Message

From: Michael Stephens <ms@castle-land.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 10 Oct 2014 15:59:56 +0100
To: janet long <janet@planitconsulting.co.uk>
Subject: Loxwood

Janet

Please response from Stuart Woodin - he seems to confirm that the wording is incorrect.

It also seems odd that in the URS Transport Evidence (page 21) our site (site 9) appears to get a clean bill of health but then when the Parish assess the access *Does the site have suitable vehicle and pedestrian access points or could access points be created?* they down grade it when compared to the other two sites in the Assessment table?

Michael P. Stephens
Castle Land and Development
M: 07884 021700
E:ms@castle-land.co.uk
T: 01428 641034
W: www.castle-land.co.uk <<http://www.castle-land.co.uk/>>



Castle Land and Development (Southern) LLP Georgian Court 56 High Street, Haslemere, Surrey, GU27 2LA. Regd England OC 328853. Reg Office 30 City Road, London, EC1Y 2AB.

IMPORTANT NOTE: This transmission has been sent by or on behalf of Castle Land and Development LLP. The information in this transmission is for the intended addressee only and is confidential to that intended addressee. If either you know or you ought reasonably to conclude that you are not, or may not be, the intended addressee, you are hereby given notice that any unauthorised dissemination or copying of this transmission and any disclosure or use of the information transmitted is strictly prohibited and may be illegal. In such circumstances we ask for your assistance in notifying us by e-mail, telephone or letter and we will meet the disbursement cost of any such notification.

From: Woodin, Stuart [mailto:stuart.woodin@urs.com]
Sent: 10 October 2014 13:07
To: michael.stephe@btconnect.com
Cc: Paez, Maria
Subject: RE: Neighbourhood Planning Technical Support and Consulting

Dear Michael,

Sorry for delay in responding, I've been off work. I think you are probably right in terms of the distinction you make.

We have recently re-worked our site allocations criteria and pro-forma. Maria would be happy to send you this if you are interested, but please ensure URS is acknowledged. We can also conduct an independent review of the site assessment conclusions if you are interested.

Kind regards,

Stuart

Stuart Woodin

Technical Director, Neighbourhood Planning and Engagement
URS Infrastructure and Environment UK Limited
6-8 Greencoat Place, London, SW1P 1PL, United Kingdom

T +44 (0)20 7798 5000 / +44 (0)20 7798 5020 (DL)
F +44 (0)20 7798 5001
M +44 (0)7970 613039
E stuart.woodin@urs.com
www.ursglobal.com <<http://www.ursglobal.com>>

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

URS Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited
Place of Registration: England & Wales
Registered Number: 880328
Registered Office: Scott House, Alencon Link, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG21 7PP, United Kingdom

From: Michael Stephens [ms@castle-land.co.uk] on behalf of michael.stephe@btconnect.com
[michael.stephe@btconnect.com]
Sent: 23 September 2014 15:41
To: Woodin, Stuart
Subject: FW: Neighbourhood Planning Technical Support and Consulting

Dear Mr Woodin,

I was recently looking at the some of the documents supporting the Loxwood Neighbourhood Plan (LNP) and in particular the advice that URS provided regarding how to choose areas of land to allocate within a NP. The report sets out how to assess the various potential sites against clear planning focused selection criteria.

One of the criteria was '*Is the site outside the village's settlement boundary, as defined by the **Neighbourhood Plan?***'

Presumably *the settlement boundary as defined in the Neighbourhood Plan* has to be defined after the sites have been selected? Should the question have been '*Is the site outside the village's settlement boundary, as defined by the **Local Plan?***'

I

Michael P Stephens

Mobile 07884 021700

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

----- End of Forwarded Message