Liz Pulley

From:

Ellie White <

Sent:

10 June 2015 17:20

To:

Neighbourhood Planning

Subject:

Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan Representations

Attachments:

Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Representations Form.pdf

Dear Sirs,

Please find attached the representation form in respect to the Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan.

Kind Regards,

Ellie White MPlan MRTPI Land & Planning Manager



THE MCLAREN CLARK GROUP

The McLaren Clark Group

Unit 4, Park Farm, Chichester Road, Arundel, West Sussex BN18 0AG

Tel: +44(0)1903 885235 Fax: +44(0)1903 889780

www.m-c-c.biz

Email Disclaimer:

This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is private and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, employee or agent for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication and its attachments in error please notify us immediately by telephone. Please also destroy and delete the message from your computer.

MCC Planning Ltd is part of The McLaren Clark Group. Company No. 7628988. Registered in England & Wales. Registered Office: Maritime House, Suite Oscar 3, Basin Road North, Hove BN41 1WR. VAT No. 125 4447 25.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

Communications on or through Chichester District Councils computer systems may be monitored or recorded to secure effective system operation and for other lawful purposes.



Representation Form

Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 - Regulation 16

Wisborough Green Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan and supporting documents are available to view on the District Council's website: http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan.

All comments must be received by 5:00pm on 11th June 2015.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: Neighbourhood Planning, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester PO19 1TY

All comments will be publicly available, and identifiable by name and organisation (where applicable). Please note that any other personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the Data Protection Act 1998.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

oompleg	
PART A	Your Details
Full Name	Miss E White
Address	Unit 4, Park Farm, Chichester Road Arundel
Postcode	BN18 0AG
Telephone	
Email	
Organisation (if applicable)	MCC Planning
Position (if applicable)	Land and Planning Manager
Date	09/06/2015

PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	Page 73	Policy Potoronas	004	
		Policy Reference:	SS4	
Do you support, oppose, o	or wish to comment o	on this paragraph? (P	ease tick one answer)	
	with modifications X	Oppose	Have Comments	
Please give details of your	reasons for support	opposition, or make	other comments here	
We recognise the hard wor and we would like to suppo concern for which we would	rk and time that has k ort the plan moving fo ld like to suggest a m	peen spent formulatin orward. However, we lodification.	g the submission plan do currently have one	
In order to meet local hous be suitable for housing dev inclusion of Policy SS4, lar identified for housing deve periods.	on at Winterfold Durk	plan period. Whilst w	e welcome the	
Whilst we specifically refer all sites are given phasing t removed for the following t	unichannes and we al	d at Winterfold, Durb re of the opinion that	ans Road, we note that these should be	
Unfortunately, we are not all timeframes have arisen or valued at Winterfold, Durbans yet the site is sustainable, a application within the next section.	Road, is considered available now and the	t into each phasing c	ategory. For example,	
The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires sustainable development to be approved without delay. The NPPF also requires planning authorities to deliver a five year supply of housing land. Whilst Chichester District Council are moving towards the adoption of a new Local Plan, new targets are not in line with the districts objectively assessed needs and the examiner has therefore requested that, should the plan be adopted, a review take place within the next 5 years. Introducing phasing periods and therefore restricting the development of sustainable sites means suitable sites are not able to contribute towards this need. This therefore means that sustainable development cannot be bought forward without delay and the neighbourhood plan is not in accordance with the key policies of the NPPF.				
We would also like to make s Examiners Report. Newick N it sought to introduce specif report that this approach fail	ieignbournood Plan v ic timeframes for dev	was similar to this sul		

We would also like to make specific reference to the Newick Neighbourhood Plan Examiners Report. Newick Neighbourhood Plan was similar to this submission plan in that it sought to introduce specific timeframes for development. The Examiner concluded in his report that this approach failed to have regard to the NPPF as it did not allow for sustainable development to be approved without delay. The Examiner also determined that introducing timeslots would severely limit the ability of the plan to be flexible. The NPPF requires affordable housing policies to be flexible in order to take account of market conditions over time. Introducing policies that do not conform with the NPPF do not meet the basic conditions. The Examiner therefore recommended that the phasing slots be removed in order to ensure the delivery of a wide choice of high quality homes in line with the NPPF. These recommendations were taken on board within the Referendum Version.

Page 72 specifically states that the site was identified as suitable due to its proximity to the village centre and the ability to develop the site with minimal visual effects. There is pedestrian access to village facilities and the school, it is close to the village centre and it could seek to offer additional green and recreational space for use by the community. The site is sustainable in economic, social and environmental terms and should therefore be bought forward without delay in like with the NPPF and district requirements as opposed to being restricted due to phasing requirements.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

		_	
What improvements of		aralds	ALL CHARACTS
Mihat improvamants (ir modifications	wome	rou suduest:
Willat Illibi Ovellients (i illouilloutiono		

We would suggest removing the phasing periods to allow sustainable, deliverable sites to be developed without delay to meet the district objectively assessed needs. This would help to ensure that the Neighbourhood Plan meets the basic conditions and conforms with the overarching policies outlined within the National Planning Policy Framework.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)