

Representation Form

Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 - Regulation 16

Wisborough Green Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan and supporting documents are available to view on the District Council's website: http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan.

All comments must be received by 5:00pm on 11th June 2015.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: Neighbourhood Planning, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester PO19 1TY

All comments will be publicly available, and identifiable by name and organisation (where applicable). Please note that any other personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the Data Protection Act 1998.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details		
Full Name Address	Sam Thomson		
	Newpound Lane		
	Wisborough Green		
	West Sussex		
Postcode	RH14 0EE		
Telephone			
Email			
Organisation (if applicable)			
Position (if applicable)	0.4100/0045		
Date	04/06/2015		

PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	Pg 63 Para 2	Policy Referen	nce:	Policies – site specific
Do you support, oppose	e, or wish to comment	on this paragra	 ph? (Р	lease tick one answer)
Support Supp	ort with modifications [] Oppose	\boxtimes	Have Comments
Please give details of your lawsh to question one mailocal people demonstrated proposes four potential situation. In addition there was a prelarge sites. This plan only homes suggested by CDC. I am also bemused by the yet the overt undermining of inappropriate large scale developed (Meadowbank's CDC that those approvals houses in addition to these	d a preference for development of the whole proposes four areas which hence 15+ each. political weight which is possible the whole principle of the developments which he developments which	ppment of Brown assified as Green of a number of ich presumably resumably resident being attributed flocal neighbour ave been approved to a service of the presumable of the p	it was field sit of small states to the whood placed by the states of th	acknowledged that the tes. Yet this plan sites rather than a few account for the circa 60 value of this process and lanning' by the number CDC while it is being
		(Continue	on sep	arate sheet if necessary)
What improvements or m	odifications would you	u suggest?		
More use of Brownfield site		-		
Thoughtful use of space an than a small number of large	id insertion of smaller de le developments.	evelopments in n	nore fre	quent places rather
CDC having respect for the	planning process and n	ot approving an	y furthe	r developments until the
NP is actually finished.				
NP is actually finished.				
NP is actually finished.				
NP is actually finished.				
NP is actually finished.				

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/ addressed or attached.