



Representation Form

Westbourne Neighbourhood Plan

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations
2012 - Regulation 16

Westbourne Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Westbourne Neighbourhood Plan and supporting documents are available to view on the District Council's website: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan>.

All comments must be received by 5:00pm on 24 July 2017.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: **Neighbourhood Planning, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester PO19 1TY**

Important Note: All comments will be publicly available, and identifiable by name and organisation (where applicable). Please note that any other personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the Data Protection Act 1998.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details
Full Name	Cllr David Guest
Address	Havant Borough Council Public Service Plaza Civic Centre Road Havant
Postcode	PO9 2AX
Telephone	02392 446539
Email	Policy.design@havant.gov.uk
Organisation (if applicable)	Havant Borough Council (HBC)
Position (if applicable)	Cabinet Lead for Economy, Planning, Development and Prosperity Havant.
Date	18 th July 2017

PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	2.4.1 and 2.4.2	Policy Reference:	n/a
------------------	-----------------	-------------------	-----

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

The content of these paragraphs states that the Westbourne Doctors Surgery is managed by the Emsworth Practice. The council understands that the Emsworth Surgery is looking to relocate which may also affect the provision in Westbourne. One of the options being considered is a location on the Redlands Grange development (previously known as Hampshire Farm) in North Emsworth which is close to the border with Westbourne. We recommend that you contact the Emsworth Surgery and the South East Hampshire NHS Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) for further information and their current position.

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

n/a – the above is for information only.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	2.8.1 (Bullet Point 8)	Policy Reference:	n/a
------------------	------------------------	-------------------	-----

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

Following HBC's comments on the previous edition of the Westbourne Neighbourhood Plan, we are pleased to see that you are aware of site UE76 (Land north of Long Copse Lane) which has been identified in the Local Plan Housing Statement (LPHS). The LPHS was adopted by Full Council on 7th December 2016 and is a clear position statement as to which sites Havant Borough Council considers could deliver sustainable development to meet the housing need up to 2036; providing the necessary infrastructure is provided alongside new housing.

The site will be considered further in the Draft Local Plan 2036. The Draft Plan is due to go out to Public Consultation in January and February 2018. We hope to receive a representation by Westbourne Parish Council/WNPSG during this time.

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

n/a – the above is for information only.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	Various	Policy Reference:	LD4
------------------	---------	-------------------	-----

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

HBC have noted the locations of Inter Gaps 1 and 2.

The woodland area in the north of Inter Gap 1 is in close proximity to the Hampshire border and Southleigh Forest. Previous Bat surveys have found that Bechstein's Bat inhabits the former Forest of Bere woodlands in this area.

As such, the council supports the inclusion of the woodland area in the north of Inter Gap 1. The protection of this woodland area from development will safeguard this important habitat for a rare and (both nationally and internationally) protected Bat species.

If you require further information on Bechstein's Bat, then please contact HBC.

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

The council would suggest making reference to Bechstein's Bat in the woodland area of Inter Gap 1.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	n/a	Policy Reference:	SS1
------------------	-----	-------------------	-----

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

The council has noted the allocation of the 6 dwellings at the land west of Monk's Hill (Policy SS1).

The site is in close proximity to the Hampshire border and Southleigh Forest. Previous Bat surveys have found that Bechstein's Bat inhabits the former Forest of Bere woodlands in this area. The green infrastructure that will be provided with the development is supported by HBC for this reason.

Even though this is a small proposed development, it is situated on the fringes of potentially suitable habitat for Bechstein's Bat and is potentially within 3km of known roosting sites. Therefore, relevant surveys will be required to determine the presence of Bechstein's Bat and their flight routes in this area.

If you require further information on Bechstein's Bat, then please contact HBC.

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

The council suggests making reference to the sites proximity to Southleigh Forest and the presence of Bechstein's Bat within this woodland. The council also recommends that a developer requirement is added to Policy SS1 which states that appropriate Bechstein's Bat surveys are carried out prior to development. If the presence of the species is found, either by roosting sites, flight paths or foraging sites, then appropriate mitigation will be required. Due to the rarity and limited information on the species, all Bechstein's Bat surveys should only be undertaken by suitably-qualified and licensed ecologists using the correct equipment.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	Appendix 7 – site 10	Policy Reference:	n/a
------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-----

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

Site 10 (Land Rear of Parish Hall) has not been included in the Westbourne Neighbourhood Plan as it would provide only 3 units (below the 5 unit threshold for allocation) and is identified in Flood Zone 3. This is supported by HBC as the site is in close proximity to the border with Emsworth and any development in an identified Flood Zone could have implications for adjacent areas in terms of flooding.

Nevertheless, in the summary table of Appendix 7 (page 68), the site is referenced as "N/A" under the column "Suitable for development?" as it is a windfall site. As the table consists of a number of windfall sites which have been identified as not suitable for development, HBC believe that this site should also be identified as not suitable for development due to its location in Flood Zone 3.

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

The council suggest altering the "N/A" to a "No" under the "Suitable for development?" column.