**Chichester District Council**

**Infrastructure Business Plan**

**2019/2024**

**CDC logo**

[**Link to Planning Policy website page**](http://www.chichester.gov.uk/planningpolicy)

February 2019

**Contents**

[Foreword 3](#_Toc51600883)

[1 Purpose of the Infrastructure Business Plan 4](#_Toc51600884)

[2 Infrastructure Projects 5](#_Toc51600885)

[3 CIL Implementation Plan 18](#_Toc51600886)

[4 CIL Cash flow and Spending Plan 23](#_Toc51600887)

[Conclusions 28](#_Toc51600888)

[APPENDICES 29](#_Toc51600889)

[Appendix A Full Project list by source 30](#_Toc51600890)

[Appendix B 96](#_Toc51600891)

[Appendix C 101](#_Toc51600892)

[Appendix D Funding Source Review 108](#_Toc51600893)

[Appendix E Project Pro-forma 124](#_Toc51600894)

[Appendix F Regulation 123 list 125](#_Toc51600895)

[Appendix G - IBP Glossary 127](#_Toc51600896)

# Foreword

This Infrastructure Business Plan (IBP) covers the Chichester Local Plan area, it excludes parts of the district that fall within the South Downs National Park because the South Downs National Park Authority is responsible for this area.

Local communities are frequently concerned that the provision of infrastructure (by which we mean roads, flood defences, schools, doctors’ surgeries, children’s playgrounds etc.) does not keep pace with the rate of new house building. One purpose of the IBP is to ensure that infrastructure is provided at the right time and in the right place so that this problem does not get worse in the future.

Infrastructure can be paid for in several different ways, for example:

* Customer bills – to telephone and broadband companies and water companies to supply fresh water and to take away and treat wastewater.
* Government grants, to help provide school places (or other grant sources from Europe or the Local Economic Partnership).
* Planning obligations – S106 (infrastructure that provides site specific mitigation).
* Community Infrastructure Levy *(a levy on certain types of new development which creates net additional floorspace)*
* Section 278 Agreements completed between the developer and relevant highways authority (as set out in the Chichester Planning Obligations and Affordable Housing SPD), where development requires work to be carried out on the existing adopted highway.

Sometimes different funding sources have to be combined to pay for new infrastructure. The IBP shows which funding sources will contribute to each infrastructure project. It also identifies funding shortfalls, and the appendix contains the method for prioritising the infrastructure which could be funded from CIL.

CIL eligible projects relate to the cumulative growth of the area. In the early years from the introduction of CIL there will be little money collected, so fewer, or less expensive projects will be funded from the CIL (this does not negate the importance of prioritising these). As the years progress, and development gets underway, the amount of money collected from CIL will steadily increase, which will enable more substantial infrastructure projects to be delivered.

The IBP can never be precise about the amount of money that will be available; it is just the best estimate at any given point in time. Because of this it is a ‘living’ document which will be kept under review, and updated and rolled forward each year to reflect how much money has been collected, and for future years how much CIL is predicted to be collected from future development.

Some of the CIL will be passed to the parish councils to be spent on infrastructure of their choice. Parishes which don’t have a Neighbourhood Plan will get 15% of the CIL collected from new development in the parish (capped at £100 per existing Council tax dwelling each year). This increases to 25% (uncapped) for those that have made Neighbourhood Plans.

I would like to thank the organisations who contributed to this document, and I hope that you will find it useful.

**Councillor Susan Taylor, Cabinet Member for Planning**

# 1 Purpose of the Infrastructure Business Plan

## Introduction

1.1 This Infrastructure Business Plan (IBP) sets out the current understanding of infrastructure required to support the delivery of the Chichester Local Plan to 2029, and sets out a method for prioritising the projects to be funded from Chichester’s Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), which was implemented on 1 February 2016.

1.2 The IBP has been prepared by officers from Chichester District Council and West Sussex County Council with input from the Parish and Town Councils and Ward Members within the Local Plan area; nominated County Councillors; and relevant Infrastructure Delivery Commissioners.

1.3 The IBP prioritises infrastructure via a five year rolling programme for its delivery, and identifies other possible sources of funding. The CIL Regulation 123 list identifies which types of infrastructure could be funded from CIL. Funding from S106 sources and provided entirely from infrastructure delivery partners is considered within this IBP to be committed.

1.4 The IBP identifies the extent of the funding gap. CIL will help to bridge the gap, but won’t completely fill it. There will therefore be a need for prioritisation along with exploration of external funding opportunities and innovative approaches to financing which will require strong partnership working arrangements with infrastructure providers.

1.5 Prioritisation will be informed by the Local Plan housing trajectory (the phasing of development and its supporting infrastructure). This will ensure infrastructure delivery is aligned with growth. The governance arrangements which have been put in place to prioritise and ensure the timely delivery of projects are set out in Appendix C.

1.6 The IBP five year rolling programme is updated each year to reflect the most up to date housing trajectory and infrastructure requirements across the plan area. It is thus a ‘living’ document.

# 2 Infrastructure Projects

## Introduction

2.1 Before prioritising infrastructure it is necessary to consider infrastructure needs across the plan area in their totality. Consequently, the IBP identifies all strategic infrastructure requirements necessary to support the anticipated growth in the Local Plan to 2029. The project list will evolve as further details are known, but will reflect the best information available at the time.

2.2 An Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP), October 2014 identified the original infrastructure requirements associated with the planned growth across the Chichester Plan area to 2029. This IDP was submitted as supporting evidence to both the Local Plan and CIL Charging Schedule examinations.

2.3 The IDP has subsequently been kept up to date through the IBP. The projects presented in this chapter were reviewed by the IBP Officers Group between April and June 2018. The projects were reviewed in light of the following key factors and, therefore, the project list included within this IBP reflects current understanding and must not be taken to represent an exhaustive list of requirements through to 2029:

Infrastructure demand levels and adequacy of the infrastructure project list based on the

latest understanding of housing and other development proposals

The timing of project delivery based on the latest housing trajectory (January 2019)

Best information currently available for existing or planned infrastructure capacity across the plan area

2.4 It should be noted that costs identified for a project are indicative as, in many cases, full design and implementation costs have not yet been determined. The indicative project cost is based on 2018 figures and will be reviewed where necessary as part of the annual update of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

2.5 A summary of all strategic infrastructure projects (excluding Parish Projects) from all funding sources, categorised by Local Plan spatial area, is provided in table 2. The S106 projects are linked to specific planning applications, whereas the CIL and other funding source projects relate to cumulative growth of the Local Plan area. The total list of projects including those put forward by the City, Town and Parish Councils is provided in appendix A.

## Potential Projects and Spending Profile for IBP from all funding sources

| **Key to colour coding** | **Funding Sources** |
| --- | --- |
|  | Mainly CIL |
|  | Other |
|  | Mainly S106 |
|  | Mainly government grant with S278 and other |
|  | Unknown at present |

**Table 2: List of strategic infrastructure projects from all funding sources (this excludes City Town and Parish projects, which are shown in Appendix A)**

## Short term projects (to 2024)

| **IBP Id** | **Location** | **Category** | **CIL S106 Other** | **Planning app.** | **Scheme** | **Funding Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **Cost Range** | **Total Max Cost £** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IBP/350 | District Wide | Transport | CIL |  | Smarter choices Bike It project |  | Developers / WSCC / CDC | £60,000 | £60,000.00 |
| IBP/679 | District Wide | Transport | CIL |  | Smarter choices Bike It project |  | Developers / WSCC / CDC | £75,000 | £75,000.00 |
| IBP/680 | District Wide | Transport | CIL |  | Smarter choices Bike It project |  | Developers / WSCC / CDC | £75,000 | £75,000.00 |
| IBP/288 | District Wide | Green Infrastructure | Other |  | Local Drainage - Local watercourse network improvements identified on the West Sussex Local Flood Risk Managements Priority List. | WSCC | PC, CDC & WSCC | £250k | £250,000.00 |
| IBP/707 | District Wide | Public services | CIL |  | Mobile ANPR camera to be fitted into fleet vehicle |  | Sussex Police | £14,000 | £14,000.00 |
| IBP/706 | District Wide | Public services | CIL |  | Fixed site ANPR (with no infrastructure in place) |  | Sussex Police | £24,000 | £24,000.00 |
| IBP/705 | District Wide | Public services | CIL |  | 2 additional vehicles to increase Chichester fleet capacity |  | Sussex Police | £63,360 | £63,360.00 |
| IBP/580 | District Wide | Utility Services | Other |  | Ensure superfast broadband coverage of 95% of the area and basic broadband coverage of 100% of the area in line with government targets | Public and commercial funding | Openreach/WSCC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/357 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Southgate Gyratory junction improvement | CIL | WSCC | £200,000 | £200,000.00 |
| IBP/660 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | School access improvements - Bourne. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. |  | WSCC | £50,000 | £50,000.00 |
| IBP/658 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | City Centre cycle parking. |  | WSCC | £250,000 | £250,000.00 |
| IBP/657 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | School access improvements - Chichester. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. |  | WSCC | £50,000 | £50,000.00 |
| IBP/656 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Sustainable Transport Corridor - City Centre to Portfield and improvements to sustainable transport facilities on Oving Road corridor. |  | WSCC | £500,000 | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/655 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management required in West Chichester. |  | WSCC | 250,000 | £250,000.00 |
| IBP/654 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management required in North East Chichester. |  | WSCC | 250,000 | £250,000.00 |
| IBP/665 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management in Chichester City. |  | WSCC | 250,000 | £250,000.00 |
| IBP/358 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Gap-filling to complete the Chichester Cycle Network: Whyke, Stockbridge, Summersdale, City Centre, south-west of the City Centre, east of the City Centre. | CIL | WSCC | £500,000 | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/356 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Variable Message Signing (VMS) | CIL | WSCC | £8,000 | £8,000.00 |
| IBP/355 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | RTPI screens at key locations |  | WSCC | £120,000 (12 screens) | £120,000.00 |
| IBP/353 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Westhampnett Road/ St Pancras/ Spitalfield Lane/ St James Road double mini roundabouts junction improvement. To include improvements to sustainable transport facilities along Westhampnett Road. | CIL | WSCC / CDC | £3,500,000 | £3,500,000.00 |
| IBP/359 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Portfield cycle route | CIL | WSCC | £120,000 | £120,000.00 |
| IBP/669 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of public bridleway from B2145 along public footpath 190 to new A27 foot and cycle bridge |  | WSCC | £100,000 | £100,000.00 |
| IBP/670 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of cycle route between Whitehouse Farm development (west of Chichester) and Salthill Road |  | WSCC | £65,000 | £65,000.00 |
| IBP/676 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Improve links between the communities of Hambrook and Woodmancote by upgrading FP251 to bridleway |  |  | £120,000 | £120,000.00 |
| IBP/678 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Improve the surface of the Chichester Canal towpath for walkers and cyclists |  | WSCC | £170,000 | £170,000.00 |
| IBP/682 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Smarter choices Bike It project | S106 | Developers / WSCC / CDC | £80,000 | £80,000.00 |
| IBP/206 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Chichester -Southern Gateway Area should be properly masterplanned to include the provision of a bus/rail interchange and proposed improvements to traffic and pedestrian circulation (Cross reference IBP/351) | LEP/WSCC/Selcted developer | CDC/WSCC | £5.3m | £5,300,000 |
| IBP/341 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | Graylingwell cycle route 2 along north side of Westhampnett Road (opp St James’ Road to connect with existing footpath rear of Story Road) | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/340 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | Graylingwell cycle route 1 Wellington Road – Oaklands Way | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/342 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | Toucan crossing on Oaklands Way | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/343 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | Westhampnett Road / Portfield Way (nr Sainsbury's) junction improvement | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/344 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | Kingsmead Avenue / Palmers Field Avenue traffic management | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/345 | East West Corridor | Transport | S278 | O/11/05283/OUT | Foot / cycle bridge across the A27 south of Portfield Roundabout | S278 | Highways England |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/346 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | Foot / cycle bridge across the A27 to Coach Road | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/347 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | Shared footway / cycleway along south side of A27 to new access to Shopwyke site | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/348 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | Shopwyke Road diversion | S106 | Developer | Directly providing | £0.00 |
| IBP/367 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | St Paul’s cycle route | S106 | Developer | £140,000 | £140,000.00 |
| IBP/539 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | Extension/diversion of number 55 bus route | S106 | Developer |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/339 | East West Corridor | Transport | S278 | 14/04284/OUT | A27 improvements to junctions:  Fishbourne roundabout inc Terminus Road/Cathedral Way (£6,870,000); Stockbridge roundabout (£5,380,000); Stockbridge link road (£23,170,000); Whyke junction (£4,820,000); Bognor Road roundabout inc Vinnetrow Road (£16,100,000); Portfield (£2,310,000); Oving Road (£1,290,000) | Highways England. | Highways England | £59,940,000 | £59,940,000 |
| IBP/330 | East West Corridor | Education | CIL | SB/14/02800/OUT | Expansion of existing primary school(s) across the Chichester locality by up to 1/2 Form Entry | Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | WSCC / academy provider | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | £3,000,000.00 |
| IBP/331 | East West Corridor | Education | CIL |  | Expansion of existing primary schools across the Bourne locality in excess of 1/2 Form Entry | Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | WSCC / academy provider | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | £3,000,000.00 |
| IBP/378 | East West Corridor | Education | Other |  | Music Teaching Building | University funded | University | ca £3.5m | £3,500,000.00 |
| IBP/377 | East West Corridor | Education | Other |  | Academic Teaching Building | University funded | University | ca £5.9m | £5,900,000.00 |
| IBP/328 | East West Corridor | Education | S106 |  | School site and provision of a new 1Form Entry primary school for the Tangmere SDL; the site should be expandable to 2Form Entry | S106 &WSCC (including Basic Need Grant) | WSCC / academy provider | £5.4 - £6m (1Form Entry) £9.5-£10,6m (2Form Entry) | £10,600,000.00 |
| IBP/327 | East West Corridor | Education | S106 |  | School site and provision of a new primary school for the West of Chichester SDL; 1 Form Entry initially but the site should be expandable to 2Form Entry to accommodate the latter phases of development | S106 &WSCC (including Basic Need Grant) | WSCC / academy provider | £5.4 - £6m (1Form Entry) £9.5-£10,6m (2Form Entry) | £10,600,000.00 |
| IBP/398 | East West Corridor | Health | CIL |  | NHS Medical Centre West of Chichester SDL | £4,500,000 total NHS sources/LIFT/third party development (£2.75m expected to be funded by LIFT) | Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | 4,500,000 | £4,500,000.00 |
| IBP/726 | East West Corridor | Health | CIL |  | Improvements at Southbourne Surgery |  | Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | £370,000 | £370,000.00 |
| IBP/189 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | Shopwhyke – Temporary community Facilities | Provide by Developer under S106 | Developer, will require a community lead either Oving PC, or other nominated or new group | Unknown | £0.00 |
| IBP/190 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | S106 |  | West of Chichester – Temporary community facilities | Provided by Developer under S106 | Developer, will require a community lead either Chichester City Council, or other nominated or new group | Unknown | £0.00 |
| IBP/711 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure |  |  | Parklands Chichester daylighting of culvert with landscaping. |  | WSCC | £500,000 | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/306 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Youth skate park (Southbourne) (links with 304 & 305) | WSCC, Developer contributions and Parish Council |  | £80k - £120k From WSCC, Developer contributions, Parish Council | £120,000.00 |
| IBP/307 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Establishment and maintenance of an accessible Green Ring around the village of Southbourne, providing a variety of green infrastructure assets, including informal open space, allotments, a playing field, a footpath/cycleway network, children’s play areas | Cost unknown, Sport England, Sustrans, WSCC, Parish Council | Southbourne Parish Council | £? From Developer contributions, Sport England, Sustrans, WSCC | £0.00 |
| IBP/196 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Brandy Hole Copse – restoration and enhancement works at Brandy Hole local Nature Reserve | CIL | CDC, BHC Management Board | £10,000 | £10,000.00 |
| IBP/291 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Local Drainage - The Avenue, Hambrook Watercourse re-construction | None | CDC, WSCC | £10k | £10,000.00 |
| IBP/194 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Enhancements to the Lavant Biodiversity Opportunity Area – enhancements to the stretch of the Lavant, north of the Westhampnett strategic development site, connecting to the SDNP. | Cost unknown, grant funding, local fundraising. | EA, CDC, Goodwood Estates (Landowner), Sussex Wildlife Trust, Contractor, SDNPA, Southern Water. | 50,000 | £50,000.00 |
| IBP/302 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Resite football club (Bosham) | Parish Council |  | £500k | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/304 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Provision of Youth facilities (Southbourne) (links with 305 & 306) | WSCC and developer contributions |  | £? From WSCC, Developer contributions | £0.00 |
| IBP/324 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Improvements to sports pavilion (Boxgrove) | S106 £27,000  WSCC £10,000  SOLAR £5,000  INERT £10,000  TBC & CIL £1,505 |  | £53,505 | £53,505 |
| IBP/305 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Provision of Artificial Grass Pitch/MUGA (Southbourne) (links with 304 & 306) | Bourne Community College, WSCC, Developer contributions and Sport England |  | £700k - £1m From WSCC, Developer contributions, Sport England, Bourne Community College | £1,000,000.00 |
| IBP/303 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | New Sports pitch (Bosham) | Parish/WSCC |  | £100k From WSCC | £100,000.00 |
| IBP/308 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | S106 |  | Amenity tree planting Harbour SPA Solent Disturbance & mitigation Project | Parish Council |  | £? From Developer contributions, WSCC, CDC | £0.00 |
| IBP/391 | East West Corridor | Utility Services | Other |  | Water, drainage and power to support University developments | University, utility companies and private | University | Not known as yet The cost and allocation of costs to the University, private partners and utility companies is still to be determined | £0.00 |
| IBP/728 | East West Corridor | Utility Services | Other |  | West of Chichester to Tangmere waste water treatment works transfer pipeline. |  | Southern Water |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/397 | East West Corridor | Utility Services | Other |  | Upgrade to Tangmere Wastewater treatment Works (WWTW) | Investment by Southern Water | Southern Water |  |  |
| IBP/379 | East West Corridor | Housing | Other |  | Student Residential - Redevelopment of Havenstoke (252 new units) and redevelopment of Hammond (77 new units) | University/private funded | University | ca £15m | £15,000,000.00 |
| IBP/349 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | A286 Birdham Road / B2201 (Selsey Tram Roundabout) junction improvement | S106 | WSCC / Developer | £150,000 | £150,000.00 |
| IBP/667 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Green Links across the Manhood. (GLaM project). North Selsey to Medmerry Trail - provision of public bridleway route from Paddock Lane, along Golf Links Lane to access track that circles the new Environment Agency tidal bund |  | WSCC | £160,000 | £160,000.00 |
| IBP/659 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | School access improvements - Manhood. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. |  | WSCC | £50,000 | £50,000.00 |
| IBP/672 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of footpath linking East Bracklesham Drive to beach (opposite FP4) |  | WSCC | £10,000 | £10,000.00 |
| IBP/666 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | S106 |  | Green links across the Manhood (GLaM project) Bracklesham to Medmerry trail - provision of public bridleway route between B2198 and access track that circles the new Environment Agency tidal bund. | Capital Funding | WSCC | £300,000 | £300,000.00 |
| IBP/544 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | S106 | HN/15/03489/FUL | Hunston Road cycle scheme - shared use pedestrian/cycle path to link the proposed Highways England footbridge at Whyke roundabout with the south of the A27 |  | WSCC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/332 | Manhood Peninsula | Education | CIL |  | Expansion of existing primary schools across the Manhood locality in excess of 1/2 Form Entry | Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | WSCC / academy provider | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | £3,000,000.00 |
| IBP/193 | Manhood Peninsula | Social Infrastructure | S106 | D/07/04732/FUL, D/11/01198/FUL; D/12/04410/FUL | Donnington Church Hall – extension | Local fundraising and private donations, S106, NHB or grants? | Donnington PCC through Management Committee (although are identifying some capacity issues or lack of relevant experience to project manage) | £250-300k | £300,000.00 |
| IBP/293 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | Other |  | Local land Drainage - East Beach Sea Outfall |  | CDC | 100,000-150,000 | £150,000.00 |
| IBP/290 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Coast Protection -Selsey – Wittering Beach Management 2016-2021 | FDGIA est. £750k CDC est. £250k | CDC | £1,000,000 | £1,000,000.00 |
| IBP/289 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Local Drainage - Crooked Lane, Birdham Surface Water Drainage Improvements | FDGIA/WSCC | WSCC | £100k | £100,000.00 |
| IBP/197 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | Other |  | FLOW Project (Fixing and Linking Our Wetlands) – improving and enhancing the wetlands habitat on the Manhood Peninsula | Heritage Lottery Funding secured. | MWHG and FLOW Project Board (including CDC) | 545,300 | £545,300.00 |
| IBP/319 | North of the District | Transport | CIL |  | Improve local footpaths, cycle tracks & equestrian ways (Kirdford) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/321 | North of the District | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Village Social & Recreational Hub (Kirdford) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/322 | North of the District | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Improvements or rebuild of Sports Association Pavilion to create community sports facility | CIL and other | Sports Association/Parish Council | £500,000 | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/320 | North of the District | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | New Road, Parking area and SUDS pond and play area (Kirdford) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/318 | North of the District | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | New footpaths & Community Amenity Space (Kirdford) |  |  |  | £0.00 |

## Medium to long term projects (2024-2029)

| **IBP Id** | **Location** | **Category** | **CIL S106 Other** | **Planning app.** | **Scheme** | **Funding Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **Cost Range** | **Total Max Cost £** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IBP/629 | East West Corridor | Transport |  |  | Construction of chord to enable trains to run directly between Bognor Regis and Chichester, rather than via an interchange at Barnham. |  | Network Rail |  |  |
| IBP/351 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Chichester bus / rail interchange improvements (Cross reference IBP/206) | CIL | WSCC / CDC/ Stagecoach / Network Rail | TBC | £0.00 |
| IBP/352 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Northgate Gyratory junction improvement | CIL | WSCC / CDC | £986,000 - £1.6m | £1,600,000.00 |
| IBP/354 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Bus lane along A259 approaching Bognor Road Roundabout | CIL | WSCC / CDC/ bus operators | £1.2m | £1,200,000.00 |
| IBP/360 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Summersdale cycle route | CIL | WSCC | £230,000 | £230,000.00 |
| IBP/671 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of cycle route between Summersdale and East Lavant |  | WSCC | £150,000 | £150,000.00 |
| IBP/668 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Green Links across the Manhood. (GLaM project). Public bridleway connection between bridleways 192\_1 and 2792 across Vinnetrow Road. A user controlled crossing of Vinnetrow Road is possible but likely will be determined by Highways England review of A27 and associated network |  | WSCC | £250,000 | £250,000.00 |
| IBP/366 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | North / south link road and improvements to nearby roads connecting with southern access to West of Chichester SDL | S106 | Developer | TBC | £0.00 |
| IBP/365 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Road link between A27 / A285 junction and Tangmere Road | S106 | Developer |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/368 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Parklands cycle route | S106 | Developer | £440,000 | £440,000.00 |
| IBP/369 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Sherborne Road traffic calming | S106 | Developer | TBC | £0.00 |
| IBP/371 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Cathedral Way / Via Ravenna junction improvement | S106 | Developer | £372,500 | £372,500 |
| IBP/364 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | TG/07/04577/FUL; TG/11/04058/FUL, TG/12/011739/OUT, TG/14/00797/FUL | Chichester - Tangmere cycle route | S106 | Developer | £630,000 | £630,000.00 |
| IBP/370 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Sherborne Road / St Paul’s Road junction improvement | S106 | Developer | £540,000 | £540,000.00 |
| IBP/725 | East West Corridor | Health | CIL |  | Improvements at Tangmere Surgery | CIL | Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | £1,428,677 | £1,428,677 |
| IBP/335 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Library provision as part of a new community centre or school for the West of Chichester SDL; to include shelving and a self- service terminal | CIL | WSCC & developer | £75,000 - £100,000 | £100,000.00 |
| IBP/336 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Library provision as part of a new community centre for the Tangmere SDL; to include shelving and a self- service terminal | CIL | WSCC & developer | £75,000 - £100,000 | £100,000.00 |
| IBP/192 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | CIL | SB/14/02800/OUT | Southbourne – replacement of Age Concern Building (multi-use community building) | Contributions to be sought form a number of Southbourne permissions | Age Concern Southbourne, hopefully with the support of the PC and NP group. | £500k broad estimate (assuming tenure of land secured without purchase) | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/396 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Bosham Harbour new inland defences. | FCRM GiA/Contributions | Environment Agency | 460,000 | £460,000.00 |
| IBP/710 | East West Corridor | Public and Community Services | CIL |  | Reconfiguration of Westhampnett transfer station/household waste recycling site |  | WSCC | 5,000,000 | £5,000,000.00 |
| IBP/362 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Selsey – Witterings cycle route | CIL | WSCC | £200,000 | £200,000.00 |
| IBP/363 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | B2145 / B2166 junction improvement | CIL | WSCC / Developer | £223,500 | £223,500.00 |
| IBP/675 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of bridleway link between South Mundham and Birdham, possibly along existing public footpaths |  | WSCC | £400,000 | £400,000.00 |
| IBP/674 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of cycle and equestrian link between Keynor Lane and Highleigh along public footpath 64 |  | WSCC | £50,000 | £50,000.00 |
| IBP/673 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Provision of public bridleway along public footpaths 75 and 3662 |  | WSCC | £60,000 | £60,000.00 |
| IBP/361 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | CIL |  | Chichester – Selsey cycle route | CIL | WSCC | TBC | £0.00 |
| IBP/570 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Coast Protection -Selsey – Wittering Beach Management 2021-2026 | FDGIA est. £750k CDC est. £250k | CDC | £1,000,000 | £1,000,000.00 |
| IBP/287 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Coast Protection - Selsey East Beach – Raising of the Sea Wall | FDGIA, a contribution likely to be required (shortfall) | CDC | £5m | £5,000,000.00 |
| IBP/586 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | Other |  | New visitor centre at Pagham Harbour Local Nature Reserve | to be confirmed | RSPB |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/333 | North of the District | Education | CIL |  | Further expansion of existing primary schools across the Billingshurst locality by up to 1/2 Form Entry. Wisborough Green expanded to become a 1 FE primary school 2017. Loxwood increased their published admission numbers to become a 1FE primary school in 2017. | CIL & WSCC (including Basic Need Grant) | WSCC / academy provider | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | £3,000,000.00 |

## Projects where phasing is not yet known

| **IBP Id** | **Location** | **Category** | **CIL S106 Other** | **Planning app** | **Scheme** | **Funding Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **Cost Range** | **Total Max Cost £** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IBP/372 | District Wide | Transport |  |  | Air Quality Action Plan measures – still investigating |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/386 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Cycle route/Footway with lighting extension from the University central area to Graylingwell North | University to fund part with Local Authority CIL | University | ca £0.1m | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/211 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Fishbourne -Traffic Calming Measures |  | Fishbourne Parish Council, CDC, WSCC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/210 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Fishbourne - Improve pavements |  | WSCC, Fisbourne Parish Council |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/213 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Halnaker - Improvements to pedestrian safety and reducing traffic speeds in Halnaker, particularly along the A286, whilst protecting the special character of the conservation area |  | Boxgrove Parish Council, CDC, WSCC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/383 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Cycle route/Footway with lighting to the centre of the Campus | University to fund part with Local Authority CIL | University | ca £0.1m | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/385 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Eastern Access Road | Assumed to be funded by HCA and Linden LLP as a part of planning consent and S106 | HCA and Linden LLP | provided by HCA/Linden LLP | £0.00 |
| IBP/199 | East West Corridor | Transport | CIL |  | Boxgrove - Improvements to pedestrian safety and reducing traffic speeds in Boxgrove, whilst protecting the special character of the conservation area |  | Boxgrove Parish Council, CDC & WSCC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/387 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | College Lane Traffic Calming/Change - One Way access and Public Realm works to College Lane and Spitalfield Lane | No funding by University defined | WSCC | ca £300k | £300,000.00 |
| IBP/388 | East West Corridor | Transport | Other |  | Multi level Car Park | University to fund | University | tbc | £0.00 |
| IBP/538 | East West Corridor | Transport | S278 | O/11/05283/OUT | Oving Road crossroads closure | S278 Highways England | Highways England |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/540 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | Oving cycle route | S106 | Developer |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/541 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Direct and frequent bus services between Tangmere and Chichester City. | S106 | Developer |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/542 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Regular bus services between west of Chichester SDL and the City centre. | S106 | Developer |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/543 | East West Corridor | Transport | S106 |  | Regular bus services between Westhampnett SDL and the City centre. | S106 | Developer |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/724 | East West Corridor | Transport | Other |  | A27/B2233 Nyton Road junction improvement Cost: £202,000 - £300,000 |  | WSCC |  |  |
| IBP/384 | East West Corridor | Transport | Other |  | New Internal Campus Road and Link to Eastern Access Road | University to fund but there is a significant funding gap | University | ca £0.5m | £500,000.00 |
| IBP/382 | East West Corridor | Education | Other |  | Other Academic and Support facilities - Learning Resource Extension, Sports Building, Gymnasium, Students Union building extension | No detail as yet | University | Not known at present | £0.00 |
| IBP/593 | East West Corridor | Education | CIL |  | For the west of Chichester SDL 40 new nursery places to be provided as part of new primary school. |  | WSCC | £1.8 - £2.1m | £2,100,000.00 |
| IBP/730 | East West Corridor | Education | CIL |  | For the Tangmere SDL 32 new nursery places to be provided as part of new primary school. |  | WSCC | £1.2 - £1.5m | £1,500,000.00 |
| IBP/208 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Chichester - Re-introduction of natural stone paving within the City centre, particularly for The Pallants, Westgate, Northgate, Southgate and Eastgate Square, as funds permit. |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/207 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Chichester - Preservation and maintenance of traditional stone flagged streets, which must be protected. To ensure that all of these surfaces are protected and repaired as necessary, using traditional techniques and materials. |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/204 | East West Corridor | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | St Martin's Street/ Crooked S Twitten, Chichester This is a popular pedestrian route currently poorly maintained and detailed. Area should be redesigned to include the provision of new paving and new street furniture, as well as a new retail unit. |  | CDC, WSCC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/301 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Store and toilet facility at New Park Road (Chichester) | S106, CDC Capital |  | £100k? | £100,000.00 |
| IBP/300 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Improved sports pitches and pavilion at the Southern end of Oaklands Park. | S106, Football Foundation, ECB |  | £200k? | £200,000.00 |
| IBP/299 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Permanent indoor tennis courts (Chichester) | Lawn Tennis Association, Club funds, CDC grant | Chichester Racquet and Fitness Club |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/298 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Completion of 400m running track at University of Chichester. | University of Chichester, CR&AC, CIL, NHB, Sport England | University of Chichester/CR&AC | £1.365m | £1,365,000.00 |
| IBP/297 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | 3G football pitches at Chichester City United FC (Chichester) | University of Chichester, Sports Club, National Governing Bodies, Sport England, National Lottery | CDC/University of Chichester | £0.5 -£1m | £1,000,000.00 |
| IBP/296 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Development of new clubhouse for Chichester Bowmen to incorporate an indoor shooting range (Chichester) | Sport England Grants/Loans, Club reserves, CDC grant | Chichester Bowmen | £150k | £150,000.00 |
| IBP/295 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Development of Artificial Grass Pitch for hockey and associated pavilion/clubhouse | CPPHC Club Fundraising, England Hockey, Sport England, CIL | CPPHC | £1.3m | £1,300,000.00 |
| IBP/294 | East West Corridor | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Development of a new cricket pavilion for Chichester Priory Park Cricket Club | Sport England Grants, Club fundraising and others | CDC | £450k | £450,000.00 |
| IBP/212 | East West Corridor | Utility Services | CIL |  | Fishbourne - Relocating overhead services underground |  | Utility Companies |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/314 | Manhood Peninsula | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Soft play area/indoor play area for children (Selsey) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/309 | Manhood Peninsula | Social Infrastructure | CIL |  | Public space enhancements by East Beach green (in addition to skate park, better play facilities, all weather sports courts) (Selsey) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/313 | Manhood Peninsula | Social Infrastructure | S106 | SY/14/02186/OUTEIA; SY/15/00490/FUL | Extension to Selsey Centre |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/113 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Development of better facilities at East Beach (showers, changing, restaurant/café, water sports) |  | Selsey Town Council, CDC |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/325 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Watersports Centre at Bracklesham Bay (East Wittering and Bracklesham) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/326 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Outdoor Gym (East Wittering and Bracklesham) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/114 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Football and Cricket clubhouse |  | Sports Dream | £400,000 match funding available | £400,000.00 |
| IBP/315 | Manhood Peninsula | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Access improvements to and establishment of coastal path with way finding (Manhood Peninsular) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| IBP/323 | North of the District | Green Infrastructure | CIL |  | Reserve football and cricket pitches | CIL and other | Sports Association/Parish Council | £150,000 | £150,000.00 |

# 3 CIL Implementation Plan

3.1. Table 3 below sets out all of the strategic projects put forward, which could be funded in whole or in part by the CIL for the short term. These have been prioritised using the methodology set out in Appendix C.

**Table 3: List of the strategic infrastructure projects put forward for CIL funding in the short term (to 2024)**

| **Prioritisation** | **Location** | **Project Type** | **Project Name** | **Project Status** | **Est Cost Funding Sources** | **Requested CIL** | **Amount to be granted from CIL by year** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 Critical | No CIL  Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/350 | District Wide | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | Not Selected | £60,000 | £370,000 requested over 5 year period |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/654 | East West Corridor | Transport | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management required in North East Chichester. To better manage demand for parking and network management aspirations (ie sustainable mode priority) for key routes in the area). | Selected | 250,000 | £250,000.00 | £250,000 in year 2020/2021 |
| 2 Essential IBP/655 | East West Corridor | Transport | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management required in West Chichester. To better manage demand for parking and network management aspirations (ie sustainable mode priority) for key routes in the area). | Selected | 250,000 | £250,000.00 | £250,000 in year 2020/2021 |
| 2 Essential IBP/656 | East West Corridor | Transport | Sustainable Transport Corridor - City Centre to Portfield and improvements to sustainable transport facilities on Oving Road corridor. To increase sustainable transport mode share. Considering improvements to road space allocation. | Selected | £500,000 | £500,000.00 | £25,000 in year 2021-2022 and £50,000 in year 2022-2023 and £425,000 in year 2023-2024 |
| 2 Essential IBP/657 | East West Corridor | Transport | School access improvements - Chichester. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to and from school. | Selected | £50,000 | £50,000.00 | £50,000 in year 2021-2022 |
| 2 Essential IBP/658 | East West Corridor | Cycle infrastructure | City Centre cycle parking. To increase cycling for the short trips to the City Centre. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £250,000 | £250,000.00 |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/659 | Manhood Peninsula | Transport | School access improvements - Manhood. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to and from school. | Selected | £50,000 | £50,000.00 | £50,000 in year 2019-2020 |
| 2 Essential IBP/660 | East West Corridor | Transport | School access improvements - Bourne. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to and from school. | Selected | £50,000 | £50,000.00 | £50,000 in year 2021-2022 |
| 2 Essential IBP/665 | East West Corridor | Transport | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management in Chichester City. To better manage demand for parking and network management aspirations (ie sustainable mode priority) for key routes in the area). | Selected | £250,000 | £250,000.00 | £250,000 in year 2020/2021 |
| 2 Essential IBP/359 | East West Corridor | Cycle infrastructure | Portfield cycle route Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | Project not yet ready to be selected. | £120,000 CIL | £120,000.00 |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/353 | East West Corridor | Local road network | Westhampnett Road/ St Pancras/ Spitalfield Lane/ St James Road double mini roundabouts junction improvement. To include improvements to sustainable transport facilities along Westhampnett Road. Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | Selected | £3,500,000 CIL | £500,000.00 | £500,000 in year 2019-2020 |
| 2 Essential IBP/357 | East West Corridor | Local road network | Southgate Gyratory junction improvement Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | Project not yet ready to be selected | £200,000 CIL | £200,000.00 |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/349 | Manhood Peninsula | Local road network | A286 Birdham Road / B2201 (Selsey Tram Roundabout) junction improvement Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | Selected | £150,000 S106 | £111,000.00 | £111,000 in year 2020-2021 |
| 2 Essential IBP/679 | District Wide | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | Not Selected | £75,000 | £370,000 requested over 5 year period |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/680 | District Wide | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | Not Selected | £75,000 | £370,000 requested over 5 year period |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/682 | East West Corridor | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | Not Selected | £80,000 S106 | £370,000 requested over 5 year period |  |
| 2 Essential IBP/332 | Manhood Peninsula | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Expansion of existing primary schools across the Manhood locality in excess of 1/2 Form Entry To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | Selected. | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | £3,000,000.00 | £800,000 in year 2019-2020 |
| 2 Essential IBP/331 | East West Corridor | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Expansion of existing primary schools across the Bourne locality in excess of 1/2 Form Entry To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | Selected | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | £3,000,000.00 | £1,200,000 in year 2021-2022 |
| 2 Essential IBP/330 | East West Corridor | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Expansion of existing primary school(s) across the Chichester locality by up to 1/2 Form Entry To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | Selected. | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | £3,000,000.00 | £1,200,000 in year 2021-2022 |
| 2 Essential IBP/398 | East West Corridor | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | NHS Medical Centre West of Chichester SDL To accommodate new residents/patients from planned developments, which will be supplemented by additional funding to enable restructure and consolidation of primary care resources to serve Chichester over next 20 years as per emergent GP estate strategy. | Selected | 4,500,000 £4,500,000 total NHS sources/LIFT/third party development (£2.75m expected to be funded by LIFT) | £1,750,000.00 | £1.75m in year 2020-2021 |
| 2 Essential IBP/726 | East West Corridor | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Improvements at Southbourne Surgery To accommodate influx of additional residents who will reside in the catchment boundary of Southbourne Surgery | Project not yet ready to be selected | £370,000 |  |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/358 | East West Corridor | Cycle infrastructure | Gap-filling to complete the Chichester Cycle Network: Whyke, Stockbridge, Summersdale, City Centre, south-west of the City Centre, east of the City Centre. Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | Project not yet ready to be selected | £500,000 CIL | £500,000.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/356 | East West Corridor | Local road network | Variable Message Signing (VMS) Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion | Project not yet ready to be selected | £8,000 CIL | £8,000.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/355 | East West Corridor | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | RTPI screens at key locations Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | Selected | £120,000 (12 screens) | £120,000.00 | £60,000 in year 2019-2020 and £60,000 in year 2020-2021 |
| 3 Policy High IBP/196 | East West Corridor | Biodiversity measures | Brandy Hole Copse – restoration and enhancement works at Brandy Hole local Nature Reserve NPPF policy 117. As above. Policy 15. West of Chichester Strategic Development Site (draft Local Plan) | Selected | £10,000 CIL | £10,000.00 | £10,000 in year 2018-2019 |
| 3 Policy High IBP/291 | East West Corridor | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Local Drainage - The Avenue, Hambrook Watercourse re-construction West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2015 | Project not yet ready to be selected | £10k None | £10,000.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/290 | Manhood Peninsula | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Coast Protection -Selsey – Wittering Beach Management 2016-2021 Policy 10 of Draft Local Plan “Mitigating and adapting to climate change” | Project not yet ready to be selected | £1,000,000 FDGIA est. £750k CDC est. £250k | £0.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/194 | East West Corridor | Biodiversity measures | Enhancements to the Lavant Biodiversity Opportunity Area – enhancements to the stretch of the Lavant, north of the Westhampnett strategic development site, connecting to the SDNP. To comply with NPPF 109, 114 and 117 and   Draft Local Plan Policy 49: Biodiversity | Selected | 50,000 Cost unknown, grant funding, local fundraising. | £50,000.00 | £10,000 in year 2017-2018 and £40,000 in year 2018-2019 |
| 3 Policy High IBP/307 | East West Corridor | Public open space | Establishment and maintenance of an accessible Green Ring around the village of Southbourne, providing a variety of green infrastructure assets, including informal open space, allotments, a playing field, a footpath/cycleway network, children’s play areas NPPF Section 8 Promoting Healthy Communities, CDC Open Space, Sport & Recreation Facilities Study 2013-2029. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Policies 2,3,7,8 and 9 and proposal 2. Provision of alternative informal recreation/leisure facilities | Project not yet ready to be selected | £? From Developer contributions, Sport England, Sustrans, WSCC Cost unknown, Sport England, Sustrans, WSCC, Parish Council | £0.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/289 | Manhood Peninsula | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Local Drainage - Crooked Lane, Birdham Surface Water Drainage Improvements West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2015 | Project not yet ready to be selected | £100k FDGIA/WSCC | £100,000.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/706 | District Wide | Police and emergency services | Fixed site ANPR (with no infrastructure in place) New housing will place an increased demand upon the existing level of policing. In the absence of developer contributions towards additional infrastructure, Sussex Police would be unable to retain the high level of policing that is currently delivered. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £24,000 | £24,000.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/705 | District Wide | Police and emergency services | 2 additional vehicles to increase Chichester fleet capacity New housing will place an increased demand upon the existing level of policing. In the absence of developer contributions towards additional infrastructure, Sussex Police would be unable to retain the high level of policing that is currently delivered. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £63,360 | £63,360.00 |  |
| 3 Policy High IBP/707 | District Wide | Police and emergency services | Mobile ANPR camera to be fitted into fleet vehicle New housing will place an increased demand upon the existing level of policing. In the absence of developer contributions towards additional infrastructure, Sussex Police would be unable to retain the high level of policing that is currently delivered. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £14,000 | £14,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/319 | North of the District | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve local footpaths, cycle tracks & equestrian ways (Kirdford) Parish-wide | Project not yet ready to be selected |  | £0.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/678 | East West Corridor | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve the surface of the Chichester Canal towpath for walkers and cyclists The canal towpath is a popular route for access to/from Chichester for walkers and cyclists. It is also designated part of NCN2. The pressure on the surface has increased greatly from extra use and needs improvement. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £170,000 | £170,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/667 | Manhood Peninsula | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Green Links across the Manhood. (GLaM project). North Selsey to Medmerry Trail - provision of public bridleway route from Paddock Lane, along Golf Links Lane to access track that circles the new Environment Agency tidal bund Part of route already agreed via planning consent to be dedicated bridleway. Remainder of route is already public footpath and needs uplifting to bridleway status. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £160,000 | £160,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/676 | East West Corridor | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve links between the communities of Hambrook and Woodmancote by upgrading FP251 to bridleway Upgrading FP251 to bridleway would provide cyclists and equestrians a safer alternative to the local road network and safer access to and from the South Downs National Park. WSLAF ambition. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £120,000 | £120,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/672 | Manhood Peninsula | Pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of footpath linking East Bracklesham Drive to beach (opposite FP4) Secure a new public access to beach, which otherwise is only lawfully accessible from the car park at southern point of B2198. An ambition West Sussex Local Access Forum (WSLAF) | Project not yet ready to be selected | £10,000 | £10,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/670 | East West Corridor | Cycle infrastructure | Provision of cycle route between Whitehouse Farm development (west of Chichester) and Salthill Road Provide a largely off-road cycle link between Chichester and entry to the South Downs National Park east of A286. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £65,000 | £65,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/669 | East West Corridor | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of public bridleway from B2145 along public footpath 190 to new A27 foot and cycle bridge Will provide NMUs with greater connectivity in local network. Route will also allow horse riders access to bridleways east of B2145 which are currently inaccessible | Project not yet ready to be selected | £100,000 | £100,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/206 | East West Corridor | Public transport | Chichester -Southern Gateway Area should be properly masterplanned to include the provision of a bus/rail interchange and proposed improvements to traffic and pedestrian circulation (Cross reference IBP/351) Improve the environment and enhance conservation area character – including settings of listed buildings. Improve access to City Centre. Would help the city accommodate impact of growth around the periphery. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £5,300,000 LEP, WSCC & selected Developer |  |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/321 | North of the District | Community facilities | Village Social & Recreational Hub (Kirdford) On land south east of Townfield | Project not yet ready to be selected |  | £0.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/302 | East West Corridor | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Resite football club (Bosham) Shared use of recreation ground public/school/FC unsatisfactory & prohibitive to promotion/advancement | Project not yet ready to be selected | £500k Parish Council | £500,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/303 | East West Corridor | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | New Sports pitch (Bosham) Improve public spaces and allow football to meet safety standards | Project not yet ready to be selected | £100k From WSCC Parish/WSCC | £100,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/304 | East West Corridor | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Provision of Youth facilities (Southbourne) (links with 305 & 306) CDC Open Space, Sport & Recreation Facilities Study 2013-2029. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Proposal 2 | Project not yet ready to be selected | £? From WSCC, Developer contributions WSCC and developer contributions | £0.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/305 | East West Corridor | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Provision of Artificial Grass Pitch/MUGA (Southbourne) (links with 304 & 306) CDC Open Space, Sport & Recreation Facilities Study 2013-2029. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Policy 8 and Proposal 2 | Project not yet ready to be selected | £700k - £1m From WSCC, Developer contributions, Sport England, Bourne Community College Bourne Community College, WSCC, Developer contributions and Sport England | £885,522.20 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/306 | East West Corridor | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Youth skate park (Southbourne) (links with 304 & 305) SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Proposal 2 | Project not yet ready to be selected | £80k - £120k From WSCC, Developer contributions, Parish Council WSCC, Developer contributions and Parish Council | £120,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/320 | North of the District | Public open space | New Road, Parking area and SUDS pond and play area (Kirdford) Butts Common | Project not yet ready to be selected |  | £0.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/322 | North of the District | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Improvements or rebuild of Sports Association Pavilion to create community sports facility Community social and health improvements Current sports pavilion inadequate – needs updating | Project not yet ready to be selected | £500,000 CIL and other | £500,000.00 |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/324 | East West Corridor | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Improvements to sports pavilion (Boxgrove) Existing cricket pavilion in need of improvements to meet the requirements for the teams using Boxgrove cricket pitch. | Project not yet ready to be selected | £53,505 S106 - £27,000 WSCC - £10,000 SOLAR - £5,000 INERT - £10,000 ? Tbc & CIL £1,505 |  |  |
| 4 Desirable IBP/318 | North of the District | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | New footpaths & Community Amenity Space (Kirdford) Development Site North of Village | Project not yet ready to be selected |  | £0.00 | £0 |

# 4 CIL Cash flow and Spending Plan

## Introduction

4.1 The IBP identifies the prioritised strategic infrastructure project requirements within the Chichester Local Plan area and the potential cost of delivering it, including exploration of potential funding streams that could fill the funding gaps. An estimation of CIL receipts has been included based on the current housing site trajectory and the current CIL charging rates.

4.2 The identification of likely cash flow provides an opportunity to review the projects which require priority funding through the CIL income stream.

## Estimated CIL Receipt Income

4.3 For the purposes of this IBP an estimation of CIL receipts between 2018 and 2029 has been calculated. This information will be updated as further information becomes available. Until the CIL is actually demanded, it can only ever be a best estimate, and it has been based on the following assumptions:

The trajectory of January 2019 has been used.

An average residential unit has been applied at 90sqm internal floorspace

An affordable housing rate of 30% has been applied to all developments.

Calculations are based on a CIL rate of £120sqm for development in the south of the plan area and £200sqm in the north of the plan area. No index linking has been applied to account for inflation over time.

It does not take into account the payment by instalment policy, so in practice there will be a time delay in the CIL money being collected, particularly for larger schemes.

No account has been taken for CIL receipts that might be collected from windfall housing sites, student housing or retail developments, this is because these projects are speculative in nature and as such do not have a timeframe attached to them. Once such projects and their phasing are known they will be included in the CIL spending plan.

It also does not take account of the 5% allowed to be used for administration of the CIL.

4.4 Table 7 in Appendix B shows the housing trajectory for planned housing sites for 6 or more houses on a geographical and parish basis, and identifies how much CIL is likely to be collected in each parish area. The table shows that the CIL is expected to raise approximately **£27m** over the lifetime of the plan.

4.5 Table 5 in Appendix B shows the estimated amount of CIL to be passed to the City, Town and Parish Councils. The City, Town and Parish Council should use this information to inform their CIL spending priorities. It shows that the Parishes are projected to receive £4,972,296of the £27,221,760 over the lifetime of the plan.

4.6Table 7 in Appendix B shows the total potential CIL receipts by geographical sub area by phase, before administrative costs of up to 5% are deducted. This identifies that:

£15,024,960 is available to contribute to the priorities identified during this third IBP period (2019-2024) inclusive of parish proportion or £12,431,024 without parish proportion.

4.7 Table 10 below shows the total cost of short term projects by priority category, which were put forward for CIL funding. This identifies a funding gap which means that the projects need to be prioritised for CIL funding.

**Table 10: Total cost of projects by priority category put forward for CIL funding (excluding un-phased projects)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Short Term  (2019-2024) | Medium Term  (2024-2029) | Total of Short & Medium Term projects (Local Plan period) |
| Critical Project Costs | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Essential Project Costs | £13,701,000.00 | £7,323,500.00 | £21,024,500.00 |
| Policy High Project Costs | £899,360.00 | £8,290,000.00 | £9,189,360.00 |
| Desirable Project Costs | £2,730,522.20 | £1,147,645.15 | £3,878,167.35 |
| **Total Project Costs** | **£17,330,882.20** | **£16,761,145.15** | **£34,092,027.35** |
| **Assuming CIL Income\***  **This includes the Parish proportion, and includes a 5% deduction for the administration of the CIL.** | £15,024,960 less £751,248 = **£14,273,712** | £12,196,800 less £609,840 =  **£11,586,960** | £27,221,760 less £1,361,088 = **£25,860,672** |
| **Additional Funding Required to meet shortfall** | **£3,057,170.20** | **£5,174,185.15** | **£8,231,355.35** |

4.8 The ability to identify appropriate funding sources is therefore essential given the anticipated funding gap. CIL receipts should only be considered as one source that is available to fund infrastructure and not the only tool. Appendix D provides a review of funding sources but the onus must be on individual stakeholders to explore opportunities for cost efficiencies under delivery and/or funding sources that will reduce the call upon CIL Monies.

## CIL received since the CIL was implemented on 1 February 2016 to 1 April 2018.

4.9 Since the implementation of the CIL on 1 February 2016 £6,102,183.07 has been collected to date (5 December 2018). This includes £305,109.15 (5%), which potentially could have been used for monitoring (although we only used £101,723.32 as of the end of the last financial year), and £4,578,381.59 for District Council CIL spend. At the end of October 2018 the total amount handed over to Parishes was £1,110,445.47.

## Projects delivered either from CIL or other sources during the past three years.

### Projects delivered during 2016/17

IBP/533 – Chichester South Ambulance Community Response Post:

IBP/421 A285- Halnaker Speed limit reduction and traffic calming measures;

IBP/416 footpath, cycleway, bridleway improvements Whyke roundabout A27 – pedestrian/cycle link from Highways England Bridge to link Chichester City with the south of the A27;

IBP/532 Chichester North Ambulance Community Response Post;

IBP/67 Soundproofing of small hall at Fishbourne Centre;

IBP/395 Itchenor Ditch Outfall Flapvalve;

IBP/316 Elevation of footpath to North Hall, Loxwood;

IBP/112 Concrete Skate Park, Selsey;

IBP/393 Development and implementation of the Selsey, Bracklesham and East Wittering Beach Management;

IBP/591 Provision of new footway and dropped kerbs - Malcolm Road junction with Tangmere Road;

IBP/156 Outdoor recreation area, Tangmere;

IBP/146 Skate Park, Tangmere;

IBP/394 West Wittering Flood Banks

IBP/462 Speed limit B2179, Piggery Hall Lane, Witterings.

### Projects delivered during 2017/18

IBP/292 Hunston Local Drainage, Pelleys Farm.

IBP/376 Green Links across the Manhood, Pagham to Medmerry.

IBP/534 Part refurbishment of Chichester Police Station

IBP/92 Footpath from affordable housing (Canal Mead) to junction of Church Road and B2166, North Mundham;

IBP/536 Expansion of existing primary school provision by 5 places per year of age in the Billingshurst locality falling within Chichester District;

IBP/635 Upgrade fencing along southern edge of Churchwood Drive open space to metal, Tangmere;

IBP/661 School access improvements – North of the District. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools;

IBP/664 Provision of integrated PA and AV system, Loxwood;

### Projects delivered during 2018/19

IBP/5 Refurbishment of Children’s play area, Birdham;

IBP/7 Landscaping and tree and hedge planting along western edge of playing field, Birdham;

IBP/56 Road colouring and 30mph roundels at village entrances, Fishbourne;

IBP/58 Vehicle activated speed sign Salthill Road northern part of parish boundary (SIDs in 5 sites), Fishbourne;

IBP/66 Seating around village, Fishbourne

IBP/47 Youth club facilities, East Wittering and Bracklesham;

IBP/155 Bus shelter to serve City Fields Business Park and Blenheim Park housing development, Tangmere;

IBP/735 Hearing Loop, Chidham and Hambrook Village Hall;

IBP/614 Maintenance of the Dell Public Open Space, Chidham and Hambrook:

IBP/645 Provision of storage for equipment to undertake community projects e.g. path maintenance and construction, Lavant.

IBP/697 Vehicle Activated Sign poles, Loxwood.

Table 11 below shows the projects selected to be funded from Chichester’s proportion of the CIL in this fourth year IBP period by year.

**Table 11: Projects selected for CIL funding from the long list in table 3**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2016/17** | **2017/18** | **2018/19** | **2019/20** | **2020/21** | **2021/22** | **2022/23** | **2023/24** | **2024/25** |
| **1st April b/fwd** | **-** | **609,148.27** | **2,768,154.82** | **4,534,523.14** | **3,943,447.14** | **3,149,343.14** | **2,079,643.14** | **3,352,643.14** | **7,570,995.14** |
| **INCOME** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Gross Income** | 775,847.84 | 2,852,376.37 | 2,473,958.85 | 1,741,320.00 | 2,681,280.00 | 2,079,000.00 | 1,890,000.00 | 6,633,360.00 | 3,213,000.00 |
| **Parish Share** | 120,392.28 | 564,407.46 | 533,892.59 | 435,330.00 | 670,320.00 | 519,750.00 | 472,500.00 | 1,658,340.00 | 803,250.00 |
| **Admin** | 38,792.39 | 142,618.82 | 123,697.94 | 87,066.00 | 134,064.00 | 103,950.00 | 94,500.00 | 331,668.00 | 160,650.00 |
| **CDC Net Income** | **616,663.17** | **2,145,350.09** | **1,816,368.32** | **1,218,924.00** | **1,876,896.00** | **1,455,300.00** | **1,323,000.00** | **4,643,352.00** | **2,249,100.00** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Interest to 31st March** | 10,854.00 | 23,656.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Funds Available** | **627,517.17** | **2,778,154.82** | **4,584,523.14** | **5,753,447.14** | **5,820,343.14** | **4,604,643.14** | **3,402,643.14** | **7,995,995.14** | **9,820,095.14** |
| **EXPENDITURE** | **£** | **£** | **£** | **£** | **£** | **£** | **£** | **£** | **£** |
| Ambulance response Post Chichester South Project 533 | 18,368.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enhancements to the Lavant Biodiversity Opportunity Area -the stretch of the Lavant north of the Westhampnett SDL. Project 194 |  | 10,000.00 | 40,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brandy Hole Copse Project 196 |  |  | 10,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary School places E-W project 330 Chichester (subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,200,000.00 |  |  |  |
| School access improvements at expanded primary school(s) Chichester. Project 657 |  |  |  |  |  | 50,000.00 |  |  |  |
| Sustainable transport corridor – City Centre to Portfield part of project 656 (subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  |  |  | 25,000.00 | 50,000.00 | 425,000.00 |  |
| RTPI screens at Chichester City Project 355 |  |  |  | 60,000.00 | 60,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Sustainable transport corridor – City Centre to Westhampnett. Project 353 (subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  | 500,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Centre W of Chichester. Project 398 (Subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  |  | 1,750,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary School places Bournes. Project 331 (subject to further detail & evaluation) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,200,000.00 |  |  |  |
| School access improvements at expanded primary school(s) Bournes. Project 660 |  |  |  |  |  | 50,000.00 |  |  |  |
| Primary School places Manhood Peninsula. Project 332 (subject to further detail & evaluation |  |  |  | 1,200,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| School access improvements at expanded primary school(s) Manhood. Project 659 |  |  |  | 50,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A286 Birdham Rd/B2201 (Selsey Rd Roundabout) Junction Improvement Project 349 |  |  |  |  | 111,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Area-wide parking management North East Chichester. Project 654(subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  |  | 250,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Area -wide parking management West Chichester. Project 655  (subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  |  | 250,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Area-wide parking mangement Chichester City. Project 665  (subject to further detail and evaluation) |  |  |  |  | 250,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| **Total expenditure** | **18,368.90** | **10,000.00** | **50,000.00** | **1,810,000.00** | **2,671,000.00** | **2,525,000.00** | **50,000.00** | **425,000.00** | **-** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **31st March c/fwd** | **609,148.27** | **2,768,154.82** | **4,534,523.14** | **3,943,447.14** | **3,149,343.14** | **2,079,643.14** | **3,352,643.14** | **7,570,995.14** | **9,820,095.14** |

# Conclusions

## Introduction

8.1 This IBP has set out the current understanding of infrastructure required to support the anticipated levels of growth during the fourth IBP period relating to the Local Plan 2019- 2024. Projects have been summarised by spatial area and project type with a clearly defined approach to project classification and prioritisation.

8.2 This IBP is critical in establishing the agreed focus for spend during the five year rolling period, and provides vital information for all infrastructure providers, to assist their spending plans, as well as providing assurance to the public about what infrastructure will be provided within this period.

## The Current Situation

8.3 It has been the purpose of this IBP to capture the current understanding of all strategic infrastructure projects considered necessary to support the delivery of the Chichester Local Plan, and set out an approach to prioritising projects from the full list as candidates for funding support through the Chichester Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), which came into force on 1February 2016.

Despite a clear approach to infrastructure prioritisation being set out and an initial attempt to model infrastructure both by level of priority and timeframe for delivery there remains a significant funding gap in the short, medium and long term. This is detailed across chapter 4 which presents the current cash flow and spending plan. Whilst the deficit is not unexpected, future iterations of the IBP will need to scrutinise the cost breakdown of infrastructure projects and their ability to meet the legal tests set out for CIL funding. This will be facilitated by a more refined development trajectory as time progresses as further details of project delivery is known. This greater level of detail will benefit future decision-making as it will show more detail on the candidate projects for funding support, the ways in which the project will be delivered and managed, and any link between CIL funding support and levering in other private/public funding sources.

8.4 This document therefore provides the means to further define and inform the next steps, guiding the approach towards management of CIL receipts across the future five year rolling IBP programme.

8.5 In exceptional circumstances, some projects might be funded from other sources in advance of sufficient CIL reserves, whilst other projects may have to wait until sufficient CIL reserves have been collected. All CIL receipts will be put into an interest bearing account until they are spent. However, the costs associated with the administration of the CIL (up to 5%) will be drawn upon as needed, and the City, town and parish councils’ portion will be handed over bi-annually in accordance with the CIL regulations.

# APPENDICES

A Full Project list

B CIL Applicable Housing trajectories

C Project categorisation process including Implementation, Monitoring & Governance arrangements

D Funding Source review

E Project proforma

F Regulation 123 list

G IBP Glossary

# Appendix A Full Project list by source

## City, Town & Parish Projects

| **Org**  **Name** | **IBP**  **Id** | **Category** | **Project Type** | **Scheme** | **Justification** | **Phasing** | **Term**  **Time** | **Cost**  **Range** | **Funding**  **Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **CIL**  **S106**  **Other** | **Planning Ref** | **Priority Category** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Birdham Parish Council | IBP/2 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Wheel Chair/Cycle route to Chichester. Possible upgrade to Salterns Way and Canal. | Major developments in the Bell Lane area requiring more social facilities for a growing village population. | Unknown |  | Unknown | S106 & CIL | WSCC | CIL | BI/12/04147/OUT; BI/13/00284/FUL | 3 Policy High |
| Birdham Parish Council | IBP/1 | Transport | Local road network | Traffic calming of the A286 together with methods of improving pedestrian safety either via pedestrian crossing or bridging the A286 and Bell Lane | Major developments in the Bell Lane area requiring safe pedestrian movements in crossing Bell Lane for schools and shopping | Unknown |  | Unknown | S106 & CIL | WSCC | CIL | BI/12/04147/OUT; BI/13/00284/FUL | 2 Essential |
| Birdham Parish Council | IBP/3 | Green Infrastructure | Allotments | Turn land bequest into allotments | Parish Duty to provide if requested | Unknown |  | Unknown | S106 & CIL | Birdham Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Birdham Parish Council | IBP/188 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | Repairs to Canal Locks |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Birdham Parish Council | IBP/6 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | Extending & Improving the Village Pond | Major developments throughout the village requiring that surface water is drained as quickly as possible to prevent flooding | Unknown |  | Approx. £40k | S106 & CIL | Birdham Parish Council | CIL | BI/12/04147/OUT; BI/13/00284/FUL | 4 Desirable |
| Birdham Parish Council | IBP/4 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Draining the Playing field and providing Changing Facilities | Major developments in the Bell Lane area requiring more social facilities for a growing village population. | Unknown |  | Unknown | S106 & CIL | Birdham Parish Council | CIL | BI/07/05640/FUL; BI/12/04147/OUT | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/20 | Transport | Car parking | Broadbridge parking bays | Provide adequate parking facilities off verges |  |  | £40,000 | WSCC/CDC, CIL/PC, CIL | Bosham Parish Council, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/11 | Transport | Car parking | Harbour Car Park | Tourism friendly |  |  | £100,000 | CDC (revenue from Car Park) | Bosham Parish Council, CDC | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/18 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Investigating dropped kerbs at Swan roundabout | Sustainable modes of transport |  | Short term (2016-2024) |  | SusTrans/WSCC/Big Society funds | WSCC, Adjacent Parishes | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/16 | Transport | Local road network | 20mph Village | Safety as expressed in T&P Strategy adopted in January 2015 |  |  | £10,000 | WSCC/CiL | WSCC, Bosham Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/10 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | A259 Pelican Crossing | Safety/ Safe routes to school |  |  | £50,000 | CDC/WSCC/SusTrans/CiL | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/9 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Walton Lane Footpath | Safety/ Safe routes to school |  |  | £700,000 | WSCC/CDC, CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/21 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Village Hall provision | Ongoing maintenance/ improvements/refurbishment |  |  | £100,000 | CDC/PC, CIL/New Homes | Bosham Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/12 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | High Street Improvement | Safety & Tourism – Shared surfaces |  |  | £100,000 | WSCC/CDC/Cil/HLF & Townscape Heritage Imitative | Bosham Parish Council, WSCC | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/14 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Wastewater & Harbour drains | Current system compromised in wet weather |  |  |  | Flood risk management authorities. | Flood risk management authorities. | Other |  | 3 Policy High |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/13 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Relocate Football Pitch | Football safety standards avoiding shared use with school and public |  |  | £100,00 | CiL/Sport England/National playing fields Association | Bosham Parish Council, WSCC | CIL | BI/13/00284/FUL | 4 Desirable |
| Bosham Parish Council | IBP/17 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Recreation space | Extend & improve green recreational spaces for sustainable living |  |  |  | Developers/CDC CiL/PC CiL | Bosham Parish Council, CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Boxgrove Parish Council | IBP/420 | Transport |  | The Street near the community centre - SRTS improvements? | Improve crossing point on – high level of use by school children and concerns with visibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Boxgrove Parish Council | IBP/649 | Transport | Local road network | Traffic calming at Halnaker crossroads. | Identified in the Neighbourhood Plan. |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Boxgrove Parish Council | IBP/736 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | New play area | Existing play area dilapidated/out of date. Needs to be completely refurbished. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/738 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Replacement of cycle racks | To improve the condition of cycle racks in the City | 2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | £40,000 |  | Chichester City Council with Chichester District | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/25 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Improved Cycle Ways around City | To improve safe access for cyclists. | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL/S106 | WSCC & CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/24 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Provision for slow moving electric vehicles for the elderly. | Improve access for elderly people in City Centre. | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL | WSCC & CDC/Commercial provider. | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/708 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Bus shelters | Provision of additional bus shelters within the City to meet demand from local residents. | 2017 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | City Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/22 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | A complete resurfacing of the existing pedestrian precinct. Widening of the footpaths in key streets approaching the pedestrian area (e.g. North Street and South Street) to achieve improved public. A general improvement in the signage, streetscape, street furniture and green open spaces to improve the visitor experience to the City | Over 40 years old and very uneven, better HGV/pavement definition. Increased pedestrian flows anticipated from increased population. Refer to Public Realm and Accessibility Enhancement Strategy September 2005 | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL & S106 | CDC, WSCC & City Centre BID. | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/712 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Improve City signage. |  | 2017-2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | £20,000 |  | Chichester City Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chichester City Council | IBP/739 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Lighting in Littern Gardens and 8 Heritage Columns at the war memorial. | To improve the lighting and security | 2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | £28,578.91 |  | Chichester City Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/603 | Transport | Car parking | Improve residents’ parking in the following areas: East side of Chidham Lane to the Meadow, both sides of Broad Road by Broad Meadow, outside Mansfield Cottages, bottom of Cot Lane. Car parking space to be provided on the east side of Flatt Road. | Improve parking |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/604 | Transport | Car parking | Identify areas for and provide unobtrusive parking for visitors , resurface layby opposite The Barleycorn for visitors’ use |  |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/600 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Provision of dedicated cycle route the whole length of the Parish | Support the Chemroute campaign |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/598 | Transport | Local road network | Speed restrictions of 30mph on the peninsula and along the A259 through the Parish. Linked wiht CHEMROUTE and cycle routes IBP 676. | Speed reduction |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/599 | Transport | Local road network | Reduce speed limit on the Bosham straight from 60mph to 50 mph | Speed reduction |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/601 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Improve public footpaths to give residents better access to the countryside, particularly in Hambrook. | improve walking and pavement route |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/742 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of pedestrian refuges/crossings on A259/Broad Road. |  |  |  |  | Local Transport Improvement programme - £160,000 towards sustainable transport. |  | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/741 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Resurface/improve walking and pavement routes |  |  |  |  | Local Transport Improvement programme - £160,000 towards sustainable transport. |  | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/602 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of pavement on West side of Broad Road from Post Office to Children’s Play Area | Safety |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/508 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | School Safety Zone and Safer Routes to School Scheme - Chidham Parochial Primary School, Chidham Lane | Pedestrian Safety |  |  |  |  |  | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/745 | Transport | Public transport | Greater frequency of trains stopping at Nutbourne station during busy periods. |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/734 | Transport | Transport | A community bus or other form of transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/605 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Work to sustain Chidham Parochial Primary School to accommodate expanding capacity | Support the school to keep the admission numbers manageable and increase the percentage attending from catchment |  |  |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/749 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Provision of medical/dentist surgery. |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/611 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Maximum refurbishment of the Chidham and Hambrook Village Hall |  |  |  |  |  | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/612 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Create a Community Recreation Centre with outdoor facilities for all ages |  |  |  |  |  | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/713 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Improvements to St Wilfrid's Church Hall. | To enable them to continue to support the community. | 2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | £57,368 |  | St Wilfrid's PCC | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/733 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Implementation of a community shop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/743 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | A community centre of a modular design that can be expanded in size as developing needs arise. |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/616 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Improve signage to Parish amenities |  |  |  |  |  | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/699 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Reduce light pollution where possible (Maybush Copse) | For the amenity of residents and visitors. |  |  |  |  | Chidham and Hambrook PC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/746 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Placement of additional litter/dog waste bins in appropriate areas of the parish. |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/744 | Green Infrastructure | Allotments | Preparation of allotments |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/747 | Green Infrastructure | Biodiversity measures | Historical/wildlife information board to be sited along Catch Pond. |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/737 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | Maybush Copse - wheelchair access | Improvements and extensions to the wheelchair access to bring it up to required standards |  |  |  | NHB | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/748 | Utility Services | Utility services | Improved broadband for the parish |  | 2018 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/624 | Utility Services | Utility services | Install WiFi to the Village Hall |  |  |  |  |  | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Chidham and Hambrook Parish Council | IBP/709 | Public and Community Services | Cemetery | St Mary's Church Graveyard, Cot Lane, Chidham. Looking to extend graveyard. Local farmer willing to donate land adjacent to main churchyard. | Existing extension graveyard will be full in 18-24 months time. |  |  | £9,240 |  | Chidham and Hambrook PC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/650 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Canal towpath surface improvements between Canal Walk and Waterside Drive and the underpass. | Necessary to ensure an adequate walking surface for the increasing numbers of pedestrians living and commenting through Donnington. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/42 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Cycle network | Extend through Parish | On-going | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/36 | Transport | Local road network | Air quality monitor in Donnington | To record levels of air pollution in the Parish to better understand the potential impact of additional vehicles on the health of residents. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/38 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Medical Centre including pharmacy | There is no surgery or pharmacy in Donnington and residents must travel into the City for these services. A surgery in Donnington could also service the increasing population on the Manhood Peninsula and free up spaces in City surgeries where increased p |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/35 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Improvements and additional equipment for village hall | The hall is over subscribed and needs more rooms/spaces. Additional equipment would open the hall up to wider use amongst the community e.g. families/young people. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/43 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Village Hall extension | Improved community use | On approval of planning permission | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/34 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Additional signage for playing field | Encourage more visitors to existing facilities |  |  |  |  |  | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Donnington Parish Council | IBP/33 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Additional equipment for playing fields | New housing has brought families to the area. Older children are not as well catered for by existing facilities |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Earnley Parish Council | IBP/685 | Transport | Local road network | Village Gateways | To reduce speeding through parish and in particular in the 2 conservation areas as per recommended in Conservation Area Appraisal. | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £5,000 | CIL | Earnley Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Earnley Parish Council | IBP/684 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Village meeting room and office space. | Following the loss of Earnley Concourse there is no community meeting facilities. | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £100,000 | CIL/New Homes Bonus and precept | Earnley Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Earnley Parish Council | IBP/686 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Village Green | To provide central focal point for the Parish to enable community events. | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £10,000 | CIL, New Homes Bonus & precept | Earnley Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/44 | Transport | Car parking | Increase parking in East Wittering & Bracklesham | Insufficient provision means parking is a major issue for the smaller shopping centre in Bracklehsam and the larger centre in East Wittering. Plus the area is a significant tourist destination making parking more difficult during April-September. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/750 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Development of new cycle routes to link key sites in the sommunity and improve links across the peninsula and in to Chichester. | To encourage sustainable transport and improve safety. |  | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/752 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Improvements to bus stops/creation of laybys, additional street lighting and pavement improvements. | To improve public safety and the built environment. |  | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | WSCC, EWBPC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/45 | Transport | Public transport | Extend bus service to include later evenings. | Residents without cars (including young people) cannot access the services or employment - in particular shift workers, entertainment and leisure facilities - which are in Chichester during the evening as the bus stops its service fairly early. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/46 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Satellite doctors surgery in Bracklesham. | More housing is being built in Bracklesham than East Wittering and the elderly and infirm would have easier access to medical facilities if there was provision in Bracklesham. East Wittering is a bus or car ride away for this sector of the community |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/751 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Development of community services support hub. | To allow residents access to essential services without the need to travel to Chichester. |  | Short term (2016-2024) | £150,000 |  | WSCC, CDC, EWBPC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/54 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | The seafront at both E. Wittering and Bracklesham need enhancing | To improve visitor experience. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/53 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | In E. Wittering the steps and handrails, retaining wall and pathways need refurbishing. | These are old, rusty and poorly maintained. The retraining wall is cracked and leaning over towards the road. The street scene is in need of work. This appearance is detrimental to our visitor experience. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/52 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | The street scene and layout of both East Wittering and Bracklesham needs improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/51 | Utility Services | Utility services | Mobile phone coverage improvement | The villages are poorly served by most service providers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham Parish Council | IBP/50 | Utility Services | Utility services | Sewage system improvements. | To support new development and ensure that the risk of flooding to existing properties is not unacceptably increased. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Fishbourne Parish Council | IBP/70 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Safety issue: Lighting along Emperor Way | Used a lot in the dark so low level lighting would decrease risk of attack | September 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | Depends on extent left unlit | CIL & NHB 2018 | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Fishbourne Parish Council | IBP/68 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Footpath southwards from Fishbourne Centre parallel with Blackboy Lane. There is a need for a bridge over the ditch. | To provide safer access to Pre-school, Children’s Play Area and Fishbourne Centre | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £10,000 (approx) | CIL, WSCC new grant system and Garfield Western Anniversary Grant | FPFA via FPC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Fishbourne Parish Council | IBP/69 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Safety issue: Lighting of footpath southwards from Fishbourne Centre parallel with Blackboy Lane | Importance of protecting the young | September 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL, WSCC new grant system and Garfield Western Anniversary Grant | FPFA via FPC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Fishbourne Parish Council | IBP/57 | Transport | Public transport | Bus shelters throughout the village | Fishbourne Neighbourhood Plan Priority | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £5,000 | CIL | Fishbourne Parish Council | CIL | FB/09/02431/OUT | 4 Desirable |
| Fishbourne Parish Council | IBP/60 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Provision of medical facilities even if just nurse-led clinic | Priority in previous village plans and in FNP but no interest from local doctors’ surgeries | Unlikely |  |  | ? |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Hunston Parish Council | IBP/753 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | New light controlled crossing outside Village Hall and provision of short footpath from the bus stop opposite 10 Oakview. | To facilitate safer access at these locations. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/80 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve local footpaths, cycle tracks and equestrian ways | Parish-wide | 2015-2029 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/77 | Transport | Local road network | Highway alterations, parking provision and landscaping | Townfield/Cornwood | 2015-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/76 | Transport | Local road network | Highway alterations | Cornwood to enable development for young/elderly housing | 2015-2021, sequential with GI projects | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/79 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | New footpaths & Community Amenity Space | Development Site North of Village | 2015-2029 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/75 | Transport | Public transport | Bus on demand |  | 2015 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/78 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Provision of additional Primary School Places | Cross Plan area (north parishes) | 2015 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 2 Essential |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/83 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Community Stores - Extension to Building and Parking | To increase cafe area and storage provision and enhancing the external picnic area and parking | 2015-2018 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/85 | Green Infrastructure | Allotments | Community allotments and/or farm with orchard and appropriate storage facilities and parking | On site east of Bramley Close. | 2017-2018 3-5 years | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/86 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Play area off School Court |  | 2016-2017 2-4 years | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | Parish/HAS | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/81 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | New Road, Parking area and SUDS pond and play area | Butts Common | 2015-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Kirdford Parish Council | IBP/87 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Village Green - Butts Common |  | 2016-2017 2-5 years | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | Parish | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lavant Parish Council | IBP/89 | Transport | Local road network | Important traffic calming measures within the village | Continuing problems with fast traffic and complaints from residents | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) | As yet unknown | As yet unknown | Lavant Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lavant Parish Council | IBP/643 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Either extension to pavement so children can get to and from school or provision of layby and pavement to enable children to get to and from school or a car park for parents to drop off and pick up children from school. | Improved safety at Lavant Primary School. (Parents have to park on road and walk children to school, there is no pavement beyond the allotments) |  | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lavant Parish Council | IBP/585 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Footpath maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lavant Parish Council | IBP/595 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Maintenance of ditches | To keep ditches clear to prevent flooding. |  |  |  |  | Lavant Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lavant Parish Council | IBP/647 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | A new play area | Existing area the equipment is dilapidated. |  |  |  |  | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/317 | Transport | Car parking | To increase car park capacity (Loxwood) | Increased numbers using North Hall put pressure on parking. This could be alleviated by introducing car park to south of entrance drive. | 2019-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £12,000 |  | North Hall Trustees | CIL | LX/13/02025/FUL | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/696 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Pedestrian crossing B2133 Loxwood Nursery site | Increase footfall across the road in particular children crossing from new development to get to school and in the other direction and in the other direction, residents crossing to the new village stores. | 2019-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £200,000 | Community Highways Funding | Loxwood Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/571 | Transport | Transport | To improve vehicular access to North Hall | The entrance is on the inside of a bend in the B2133 with difficult access. A wider, well signed drive with enhanced sight-lines is required. | 2019-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | 10,000 |  | North Hall Trustees | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/573 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Extension to storage facility. | An increasing number of North Hall regular (weekly) users have used all of the available storage space. Various options to increase space are being considered. | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | 50,000 |  | North Hall Trustees | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/731 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | A new website | To improve communications to a broader audience especially new residents. | 2018-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £2,000 |  | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/754 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Entry gate for North Hall |  |  |  | £6,000 |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Loxwood Parish Council | IBP/698 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Resurfacing of North Hall playground | The playground surface is messed grass and has suffered from subsidence and areas of erosion. | 2018-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £20,000 |  | Loxwood Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lynchmere Parish Council | IBP/569 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Renovations to St. Michael’s Hall & Hardman Hoyle Memorial Hall Linchmere Road | Increase in community activity groups more community space for local use required. | When funds available (within next 12-18 months) | Short term (2016-2024) | £60,000 | Community fundraising & grants. | Parish Council | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lynchmere Parish Council | IBP/568 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Purchase of St. Michael’s Hall, Linchmere Road | Hall being sold and is needed to supplement lack of community facilities for numerous local groups/activities | Within the next 6 months | Short term (2016-2024) | £50,000 | £50,000 from Parish Council, or from community fundraising. | Parish Council | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Lynchmere Parish Council | IBP/567 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Rebuilding of Camelsdale pavilion. | Existing pavilion is outdated, newer larger facilities are needed to meet modern requirements and accommodate the hugely increased community, & sport based use. | Over the next 24 months | Short term (2016-2024) | £180,000 (ex vat) | £30,000 from New Homes Bonus ((CDC). £35,000 from Parish Council reserves. Remaining £115,00 hoped to come from sport & lottery grants | Parish Council | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| North Mundham Parish Council | IBP/91 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Footpath/cycleway along B2166 from Runcton to farm shop – and perhaps onwards to parish boundary to link with footpaths/cycleways from Bognor and Pagham | Would enable local residents to avoid using a car for short journeys, and would facilitate sustainable transport links (cycleways) between Bognor, Pagham and Chichester. PC could carry out work under licence. PC to manage scheme within Parish boundary. | Needed now, but should integrate with development of other transport links | Short term (2016-2024) | £110,000 for portion between Runcton and farm shop | S106, CIL and other sources supporting sustainable transport | WSCC Highways | CIL |  | 2 Essential |
| North Mundham Parish Council | IBP/95 | Green Infrastructure | Biodiversity measures | Develop route of disused canal as green infrastructure and wildlife haven to encourage biodiversity | Improves landscape and provides environmental benefits for local population | Site available now | Short term (2016-2024) | Variable – can be funded on progressive basis as work proceeds | CIL, New Homes Bonus, local self-help | North Mundham Parish Council | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| North Mundham Parish Council | IBP/94 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Safe surface for Children’s Play Area | Provides health and leisure benefits for local community. Mitigates safety and upkeep problems of present mix of grass and resilient surfacing | Site available now | Short term (2016-2024) | £10,000 | CIL or New Homes Bonus | Playing Fields Trust | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| North Mundham Parish Council | IBP/93 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Outdoor gym/exercise equipment – to be sited on playing fields | Provides health and leisure benefits for local community. No comparable facility exists in the parish | Site could be made available in short term | Short term (2016-2024) | £20,000 - £30,000 (estimate) | New Homes Bonus | North Mundham Parish Council or Playing Fields Trust | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/634 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Footpaths, bridle paths and local roads |  |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  |  |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/633 | Transport | Public transport | Public bus improvements and provision of minibus to access city. |  |  |  |  |  |  | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT |  |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/631 | Education | Early years and childcare | Pre-school facilities |  |  | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 4 Desirable |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/99 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Schools/colleges | Essential | ASAP | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | County & Government | Government | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/98 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Hospital & doctors surgeries | Essential | Now | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | County funds | NHS | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/101 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Community Facilities | Essential | Ongoing | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | Parish & City Councils (CIL) | Many | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/632 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Indoor and outdoor sports/recreation facilities. | Essential to meet demand from planned developments. |  |  |  |  |  | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT |  |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/100 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Flood control | Essential | ASAP | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | County, Govt, Utility Companies | Environment Agency | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/97 | Utility Services | Utility services | Sewerage (pipes) waste water treatment (Tangmere WWTW) | Essential to need demand from planned developments | 2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | Southern Water | Southern Water | Other |  | 1 Critical |
| Oving Parish Council | IBP/96 | Utility Services | Utility services | Chichester Bypass Improvements | Critical to all CDC developments | 2018 - 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £90 million | Government | Highways England | S106 |  | 1 Critical |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/104 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | B2145 Improvements – Commuting cycle path to Chichester/Pagham (Selsey to Chichester following route off B2145 but off road) | Only transport link to Town (to introduce a safer environment for cyclists) | 2015 | Short term (2016-2024) | 300,000 (£200,000 per kilometre) | (Grants as and when available) | STC/WSCC (WSCC & Sustrans) | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/102 | Transport | Local road network | B2145 Improvements – Bus and Tractor Pull off points | Only transport link to Town (to improve traffic flow) | 2015 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | Selsey Town Council, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/103 | Transport | Local road network | B2145 Improvements – Ferry Bend improvements | Only transport link to Town (to improve traffic flow) | 2015 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | STC/WSCC (WSCC & Developer) | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/756 | Transport | Public transport | Selsey to Chichester tramway | High speed, traffic free, sustainable link offering alternative route to Town. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/755 | Transport | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Electric vehicle charging points at Warners Yard, East Beach and East Street car parks. | Lack of current facility and distance to nearest option. |  | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | STC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/121 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Provision of post-16 education | Lack of current facility and distance to nearest option. |  |  |  |  | STC, WSCC, Chichester College, Academy |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/109 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Development of Community Arts Centre | Helps define Selsey as an art/craft location. To be linked to the potential development of an out of town supermarket or with the museum. |  |  |  |  | Arts Dream | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/107 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Cinema/Theatre refurbishment | Lack of current facility and distance to nearest option |  |  | £300,000 match funding available | Private Operator (Grants as and when available) | STC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/116 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Soft play area/indoor play area for children | Local demand and nearest facility is 20 miles away and is not accessible by public transport |  |  |  |  | Selsey Town Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/115 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Extension to Selsey Centre | Space required to support additional user groups. |  |  |  | Cost unknown, grant funding, local fundraising. | Selsey Town Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/757 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Wayfinding scheme | To enhance visitor attraction and tourism product, linking up different areas of the town. |  |  |  |  | STC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/105 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Pedestrianisation of section of High Street to provide central community/pedestrian space | Enhance public realm to support High Street shops and encourage use of local amenities. |  |  |  |  | Selsey Town Council, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/108 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Development of a Town Square | Creation of a central community space as nothing currently in place. Enhance public realm to support High Street shops and to encourage use of local amenities. |  |  |  |  | Selsey Town Council, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/111 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Public space enhancements ay East Beach shops | Identified in CDC's study of 2007 as a need of regeneration |  |  | £100,000 |  | Selsey Town Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/132 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | Access improvements to and establishment of coastal path with way finding | National policy to create a coastal path. To enhance visitor attraction and tourism product and foster better links with the sea. |  |  |  |  | Selsey Town Council, CDC, WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/759 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Public space enhancements at Manor Green Park - play and wellbeing equipment. | To enhance residents wellbeing and visitor attraction. |  |  |  |  | STC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/758 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Public space enhancements at the Recreation Ground - playing and wellbeing equipment. | To enhance residents wellbeing and visitor attraction. |  |  |  |  | STC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/110 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Public space enhancements at East Beach green (in addition to skate park, better play facilities, all weather sports courts) | To enhance visitor attraction and tourism product and foster better links with the sea. |  |  |  |  | Selsey Town Council, CDC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Selsey Town Council | IBP/587 | Economic | Employment/Economic | Selsey Haven | Coastal defence; security, safety and sustainability of the fishing industry; tourism; economy. | 2017 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | DEFRA, European and Marine Fisheries Fund, LEADER, Coast to Capital, LEP | CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/134 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of green corridor habitat and walking /cycling routes extending from Pagham Harbour as part of GLAM | Need to spread visitor pressure away from over concentration on Pagham Harbour and provide non car bourne access routes into area. Provision of wildlife corridors to link habitat areas | Initial implementation mid 2015 and ongoing | Short term (2016-2024) | Linked to drainage and other infrastructure work Est. £30k | Through MPP and possible MWHG. Natural England | Possibly MWHG and MPP and PC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/139 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Commuter cycle path Selsey to Chichester and as tourist / recreational asset | Need for safe / segregated route for commuters and other users | Feasibility Mid 2015 and ongoing | Short term (2016-2024) | £500k | Possible Sport England /Big Lottery WSCC /CDC and others | Joint project group | Other |  | 3 Policy High |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/136 | Transport | Local road network | B2145 within Sidlesham- environmental improvement programme | Deterioration of roadside environment and general disfigurement of landscape. Lack of ownership responsibility for public realm | Possible start late 2015 ongoing | Short term (2016-2024) | Est. £20k | WSCC other grants and possible use of S106 and business contribution | Sidlesham Parish Council | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/133 | Social Infrastructure | Built sport and leisure facilities | Refurbishment and possible future extension of community sports building | Current building dilapidated state and risk of loss to community | Late 2015 –through 2016/17 | Short term (2016-2024) | Phase 1 £100k Phase 2 £ 50 k | Football Foundation, Football Association, Sport England, CDC& WSCC | Sidlesham FC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/137 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Contingency plan for public building (hall) | Possible loss of existing church hall at end of lease. Possible failure of proposals to refurbish fully community sports building .Need for contingency approach in order that parish is not left without a usable building | Contingency scoping and initial analysis study mid 2016 | Short term (2016-2024) | Study In house minimal cost. Adapted structure cost range £200-300k  New building assuming no land cost in range £500k-£800k | Big Lottery Community Buildings CDC /WSCC Numerous other funding sources | Sidlesham Parish Council and others | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/135 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Phase 3 of Sidlesham Flood and Land Drainage Group (SFLDG) emergent forward plan | Continued risk of flooding from ground water and sea and Rife | Start late 2015 ongoing | Short term (2016-2024) | Initial phase £20-30k |  | SFLDG and WSCC as Lead Flood and Land Drainage Auth.National Flood forum | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Sidlesham Parish Council | IBP/138 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | Structural Tree Planting to reduce water table and provide biomass from coppice | Need to control ground water levels / need to provide renewable energy sources to combat Global Warming | Depends on possible support- if supported within next five year period and then ongoing | Short term (2016-2024) | £20 -30K for tree planting and fencing assuming no land cost | LEADER and others | Possible lead MWHG | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/521 | Transport |  | Parking - Double yellow lines at the junctions of Lumley Road/Main Road, Lumley Road/Pagham Close and Pagham Close/Sadlers Walk - request from resident TRO |  |  |  | £7,000 | Southbourne Parish Council | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/694 | Transport | Car parking | Improvements to the car park at Prinsted. | Road safety and to increase the usage of the carpark whilst reducing maintenance costs. |  |  | £30 |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/691 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Access to the southside of the Railway station | From a safety aspect, to help keep children off the main roads and encourage people to cycle and creates to future footbridge. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/662 | Transport | Local road network | New link road to the West of Stein Road | Need identified in Neighbourhood Plan to relieve pressure on Stein Road from increasing traffic and new developments |  |  |  |  |  | S106 | SB/15/02505/OUT | 3 Policy High |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/663 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | New footbridge over railway line to the east of Stein Road. | Identified in Neighbourhood Plan for Green Ring |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/693 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Improvements to the Southbourne Village Hall | Existing facilities within the Village Hall are very basic, eg. more storage is required. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/700 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Update/refurbish the Sea Scout Hut, Prinsted Lane | It is well used (they have a long waiting list to join) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/714 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Parish owned street light replacement |  |  |  | £30,000-£40,000 | + Parish precept |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Southbourne Parish Council | IBP/692 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Improvements to the recreation ground and pavilion | The current facility is barely fit for purpose. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/141 | Transport | Car parking | New Car parking for St. Andrew’s Church | Current parking congestion on Church Lane during services/events will be exacerbated as village expands. TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  | St Andrews Church | St Andrews Church | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/150 | Transport | Car parking | Village Centre Car Park | Tarmac. Current surface is worn and floods in heavy rain. Area requires drainage and a tarmac surface installed with marked out parking spaces to make best use of area available and facilitate mobility impaired access. |  |  |  | S106/NHB | Tangmere Parish Council | S106 | TG/14/00797/FUL; TG/17/00540/FUL | 2 Essential |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/716 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Tangmere airfield orbital cycle/bridleway/pedestrian/public rights of way with links to Chichester and Barnham | Improve sustainable and green transport network, utilising existing public rights of way desire lines, Church Lane (south of airfield) and perimeter track. LPP 18, TNPP 8 and 9, WSCC walking and cycling strategy App1, scheme id 192, 145, 291, 194 and 292. |  |  |  |  | Developer/WSCC | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/148 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Cycle routes | Cycle routes and pathways - improve cycle routes through village to encourage use of sustainable transport and physical activity. TNPP 8 & 9, WSCC Walking and Cycling Strategy App 1, scheme ids: 192, 145, 291, 194, 292 |  |  |  | Existing S106 - TAD funds | WSCC and Tangmere Parish Council | S106 | TG/14/00797/FUL; TG/11/04058/FUL | 2 Essential |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/140 | Transport | Local road network | Traffic Calming on Meadow Way and Malcolm Road | TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  |  | WSCC and Tangmere Parish Council | S106 |  | 2 Essential |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/160 | Transport | Local road network | Traffic calming on Tangmere Road | This road is subject to "rat running" and high vehicle speeds which require inhibiting measures. Would also make road more attractive for walking and cycling. TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  | S106 |  | 2 Essential |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/638 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Link(s) between Marsh Lane PROW 292 and WSCC solar farm perimeter permissive path. | To improve connectivity between existing recreational paths along existing desire lines. TNP Policy 9 |  |  |  |  | WSCC and Tangmere Parish Council. |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/637 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Marsh Lane PROW 292 - upgrade surface to replace current water logged/mud sections | To enable year round foot/cycle access between Tangmere/Barnham areas and recreational use for expanding populations. TNP Policy 9 |  |  |  | SDL, Hanger, Meadow Way, S106 and NHB | WSCC and Tangmere Parish Council. | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/636 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Improvements to Chestnut Walk - St Andrews Church footway E73/FP282 | To enable limited mobility users access along route. |  |  |  | Hanger site, TAD, S106 or SDL S106 and NHB. | WSCC, Tangmere Parish Council and St Andrews Church |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/717 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Extend footway on north side of Church Lane o/s Tangmere House. | Required as part of (refused) 50 dwelling proposal on Church Lane (12/02378/OUT and 13/03804/OUT) therefore carry over to SDL. |  |  |  |  | Developer/WSCC | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/161 | Social Infrastructure | Built sport and leisure facilities | Sports Hall(s) | Sports Centre - To provide a multiple sports facility for the enlarged village to be located in the centre of any new large development within the parish. |  |  | £500,000.00 | SDL/Hanger/Meadow Way S106 and NHB | Tangmere Parish Council and Developers | S106 | TG/17/00540/FUL; TG/14/00797/FUL | 3 Policy High |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/153 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Community Centre | New large community centre required to cater for the village, which the existing Village Centre cannot accommodate any more due to it being so well used. The Village Centre is limited in size for the population. TNP Policy 2 and 9. LPP 18 |  |  | £500,000.00 | SDL/Hanger/Meadow Way S106 and NHB. | Tangmere Parish Council and Developer | S106 | TG/17/00540/FUL; TG/14/00797/FUL; TG/11/04058/FUL | 2 Essential |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/162 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Church Hall (St Andrews) | TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  | SDL S106, Scouts and St Andrews Church | St Andrews Church and Scouts | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/143 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Improvements to existing Community Facilities | Small scale improvements to facilities within Village Centre to improve utility of building for users. TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  | S106 and New Homes Bonus | Tangmere Parish Council and Developers | S106 | TG/17/00540/FUL; TG/14/00797/FUL, TG/11/04058/FUL | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/144 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Extension to St Andrew’s Churchyard for burial space | Required to cater for long term need arising from expanded population. TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  | SDL S106 | St Andrews Church | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/149 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Allotment relocation | Due to the expansion of Tangmere Aviation Museum, the existing allotments will relocate to a new site within the SDL. |  |  |  | SDL S106 |  | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/147 | Green Infrastructure | Allotments | Improvements to existing allotments | Additional equipment required to upgrade facilities - water troughs, composting bins, (this is a large scale project) access to toilets. |  |  | £5,000.00 | New Homes Bonus | Tangmere Parish Council | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/722 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Tangmere Road (Jerrard Rd to Chestnut Walk) | Numerous defects and blockages within pipework on both sides of Tangmere Rd resulting in surface flows along/across carriageways and junctions. Requires relaying of defective pipework. |  |  |  | Operation Watershed, NHB, CIL |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/719 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Diversion of Church Lane/Bayley Rd flows. | Current discharge is via a level gradient to Tangmere Rd/Church Lane junction resulting in inundation of Church Lane. New drainage proposed via fields south of Church Lane to link with existing ditch crossing the Tangmere Straight west of Museum bend. |  |  |  | Operation Watershed, NHB, CIL and SDL drainage infrastructure. |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/720 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Garland Square new soakaways | Reline surface water drain to connect Garland Sq system to new soakaways on Bishops Road. |  |  | £3,300 | Operation Watershed, NHB, CIL | Hyde Group | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/721 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Cheshire Crescent | New soakaway sw corner (land owned by 100 Mannock Rd). Failure of soakaways on Cheshire Crescent/Mannock Rd increased flows to estate low point creates inundation of carriageway and domestic curtilage, flooding of dwellings and sewage pumping station. |  |  |  | Operation Watershed, NHB, CIL |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/718 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Malcolm Road diversion of surplus flows from recreation field ditch to existing soakaways within recreation field. | Loss of drainage line, Chestnut Walk, surcharging of gullies on Malcolm Rd leads to carriageway and domestic inundation on Malcolm Rd, surface flows on to Tangmere Rd (NB, OPUS recommendation for new channel to discharge West of Cheshire Crescent Estate). |  |  |  | Operation Watershed, NHB, CIL and SDL drainage infrastructure. |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/723 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Nettleton Avenue | New soakaway in recreation field to serve existing and new road gullies, utilising redundant foul sewer lines and access pits for conveyance and storage. To provide a diversion of flows from existing system arrangements which discharge on to Tangmere Rd. |  |  |  | Operation Watershed, NHB, CIL |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/715 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | New and replacement trees and hedgerows throughout the Parish. | Amenity, biodiversity and drainage management improvements. Note requirement to include this in IBP to support future NHB applications. |  |  |  | NHB, S106 |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/159 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Outdoor recreation areas | Overall provision of outdoor recreation areas below that required for existing and permitted Village size - see TPC response to latest CDC LPR related Open Space Study Consultation. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/152 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Current changing/Sports Pavilion | Changing rooms are currently very tired and need modernisation. This is to meet current day requirements and standards and multi use availability. New showers and tiled areas required plus replacement of wash basins and installation of hot water supply. |  |  | £20,000.00 | Hanger/Meadow Way S106 and NHB | Tangmere Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/157 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Malcolm Rd Recreation Field sports pitch area - land drainage | Current poor land drainage leading to poor quality playing surface, match cancellations, maintenance difficulties and surface water run off. |  |  | £40,700 | Verti-drain/sandfill - £10,000, Topo survey - £700, Land drainage system - £30,000 S106 (Hanger/Meadow Way sport S106) | Tangmere Parish Council | S106 | TG/17/00540/FUL; TG/14/00797/FUL | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/592 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Tangmere SDL specific green infrastructure (all types) | Local Plan policy 18, Tangmere Neighbourhood Plan, policies 2 ,8 and 9. Separates out projects specific to this SDL. |  |  |  | SDL S106 | Developers | S106 |  | 3 Policy High |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/142 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Land to be made available for community groups to develop for suitable purposes | To expand community orchard and/or community garden provision. TNP Section 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/639 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Hedge around Malcolm Road recreation ground. | To improve biodiversity connectivity and bolster unauthorised vehicular access preventative measures. |  |  | £2500 | NHB, CIL | Tangmere Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Tangmere Parish Council | IBP/158 | Utility Services | Utility services | Broadband coverage | Requires provision of infrastructure to support superfast standards. |  |  |  |  | Developers/Telecom providers |  |  |  |
| West Wittering Parish Council | IBP/729 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Preparation of a neighbourhood plan. |  | 2016-2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | £20,000 | Parish precept and Locality grant. | Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/640 | Transport | Car parking | A car park that can be used by residents/visitors | To ease congestion on the roads, help shoppers use the local facilities. | 2019/2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/558 | Transport | Local road network | Street lighting, some need replacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/563 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Development of a parish hall. | Westbourne doesn’t have a parish hall and is very reliant on the facilities provided by the Baptist Church and St Johns Church. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/557 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Public seats around the parish could do with replacing. On the corner of East Street, the Parish Council is having to get rid of two. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/559 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | The Meeting Place - The hall would benefit from refurbishment to make it a better more sophisticated community facility. |  | 2018/2019 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | S106 | WE/14/00911/FUL | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/555 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | The Cub Scout Hall needs a good face-lift. | It is a WW2 army building with agricultural asbestos in the roof. The hut is used by the scouts and by other community groups/events. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/556 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Two more picnic benches required at Monks Hill due to success of those already installed. |  |  |  | £700 |  | Westbourne Parish Council | Other |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/565 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | The play equipment at Monks Hill needs replacing. | The play equipment is approaching the end of its sell-by-date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westbourne Parish Council | IBP/554 | Public and Community Services | Cemetery | Development of the cemetery’s new 2 acre field to make it suitable for burials. Includes plot structure and layout of pathways. | The existing cemetery will be full in 2-5 years. A new field has been purchased and needs to be made ready | Needs to be used in 2-5 years. | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 2 Essential |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/176 | Transport | Car parking | Stane Street parking | Parking restricts traffic flow and ped’ road crossing | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/174 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Cycle Paths into Chichester | Lack of provision | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 2 Essential |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/175 | Transport | Local road network | Madgwick Lane Traffic calming | Excessive speeding between RaB and Barns | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/168 | Transport | Local road network | Speed cameras to Madgwick Lane | Excessive speed between RaB and Barns | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/167 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Street lighting to Madgwick Lane | Lack of provision | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/169 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Pavements to Madgwick Lane | Pedestrian hazards road crossing | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/177 | Transport | Transport - A27 | Acoustic fencing to the A27 | Sound pollution from traffic | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Highways |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/163 | Education | Preschool and Primary school | New Primary School and Preschool | Double existing population | In readiness for proposed housing developments | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Education |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/166 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | New GP surgery and dispensary | Doubling population | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | National Health |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/171 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Parish Hall | Westhampnett currently has no community buildings and has long aspired to develop one at a number of locations. | Timeline is dependant on the phasing of two different development sites – Madgewick Lane strategic site, and Maudlin Nurseries | Short term (2016-2024) | £1,500,000 (Scale of building still to be determined based on complexity of bringing) | S106 (historic receipt). S106 to be secured. New Homes Bonus | To be delivered by developer in partnership with Westhampnett PC | S106 | WH/04/03947/OUT; WH/15/03524/OUTEIA | 2 Essential |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/687 | Green Infrastructure | Allotments | Allotment site | Would like one. |  | Medium to long term (2024-2029) |  |  | Westhampnett Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/179 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | New Surface water measures | To support new development and ensure that the risk of flooding to existing properties is not acceptably increased. | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Developer |  | CIL |  | 3 Policy High |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/178 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Sewage system improvements | To support new development and ensure that the risk of flooding to existing properties is not unacceptably increased. | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Developer |  | Other |  | 1 Critical |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/164 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Ditch clearance | No current provision other than volunteers | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CDC |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/170 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Outside sports facilities Football, Cricket, changing rooms | Mitigation for Westhampnett SDL | As soon as possible | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Sport England CDC |  | S106 |  | 2 Essential |
| Westhampnett Parish Council | IBP/172 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | MUGA outside play area | Mitigation for Westhampnett SDL | ASAP | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Sport England |  | S106 |  | 2 Essential |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/229 | Transport | Local road network | Lengthening double yellow lines outside the Cricketers Arms | Village Centre - to improve safety at the junction. | 2016-2017 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/228 | Transport | Local road network | Creating a buffer zone before the 30mph zone on A272 west side of village | Reduce speed on A272 - road and pedestrian safety. | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/690 | Transport | Local road network | Built out in Durbans Road | Reduce speed through centre of village (linked with new Winterfold development) | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/689 | Transport | Local road network | Highway alterations | Village centre - to improve safety and to reduce speed at the junction. | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/226 | Transport | Local road network | Provision of laybys in Durbans Road | Increase safe parking areas around the Green and also for use by the School | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/224 | Transport | Local road network | Traffic calming throughout the village | Wisborough Green are currently developing a Traffic Management Plan - new issues may come through as a result and older issues may be removed in place |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/227 | Transport | Local road network | School Safety Zone - Wisborough Green Primary School | Create drop off area in School Road to improve safety and improve on site parking at school. | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/588 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Improvements to the Village Hall. | Current building needs modernisation, improved accessibility for all and storage. | within next 5 years | Short term (2016-2024) | £500,000 | CIL/S106 | Village Hall Management Committee and Parish Council | CIL | WR/14/00748/OUT | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/589 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Improvements to public toilets | Modernisation and DDA compliance. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/590 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Village Green drainage | To reduce water logging to improve surface for sports and community use. |  |  | £65,000 |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |
| Wisborough Green Parish Council | IBP/688 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Trim trail exercise path and associated wild flower meadow | Reduce pressure on the Village Green and creation of new public open space. |  |  |  | CIL and other | Wisborough Green Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |

## Chichester District Council projects

| **Org Name** | **IBP Id** | **Category** | **Project**  **Type** | **Scheme** | **Justification** | **Phasing** | **Term Time** | **Cost Range** | **Funding Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **CIL S106Other** | **Planning Ref** | **Priority Category** | **Project Status** | **Parish Area** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/319 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve local footpaths, cycle tracks & equestrian ways (Kirdford) | Parish-wide | 2015-2029 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Kirdford |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/199 | Transport | Local road network | Boxgrove - Improvements to pedestrian safety and reducing traffic speeds in Boxgrove, whilst protecting the special character of the conservation area |  |  |  |  |  | Boxgrove Parish Council, CDC & WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Boxgrove |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/211 | Transport | Local road network | Fishbourne -Traffic Calming Measures | Reduce traffic speeds and improve the environment and enhance conservation area character – including settings of listed buildings |  |  |  |  | Fishbourne Parish Council, CDC, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Parish may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Fishbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/213 | Transport | Local road network | Halnaker - Improvements to pedestrian safety and reducing traffic speeds in Halnaker, particularly along the A286, whilst protecting the special character of the conservation area | Conservation and enhancement of historic environment |  |  |  |  | Boxgrove Parish Council, CDC, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Halnaker |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/210 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Fishbourne - Improve pavements | Improve pedestrian safety and also enhance the historic environment. Boost local economy. Will also improve capacity to accommodate growth |  |  |  |  | WSCC, Fisbourne Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Parish may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Fishbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/206 | Transport | Public transport | Chichester -Southern Gateway Area should be properly masterplanned to include the provision of a bus/rail interchange and proposed improvements to traffic and pedestrian circulation (Cross reference IBP/351) | Improve the environment and enhance conservation area character – including settings of listed buildings. Improve access to City Centre. Would help the city accommodate impact of growth around the periphery. | 2020 onwards | Short term (2016-2024) | £5,300,000 | LEP, WSCC & selected Developer | CSC/WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/190 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | West of Chichester – Temporary community facilities | Experience of large developments with protracted build out demonstrates the need for early delivery of community space, temporary provision of same, or “meanwhile” use of other designated space, to facilitate early development of community | Before first 100 units | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | Provided by Developer under S106 | Developer, will require a community lead either Chichester City Council, or other nominated or new group | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/193 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Donnington Church Hall – extension | Existing building can no longer cope with the level of demand given local population growth. Devised a side extension that would provide additional meeting space, dedicated youth area | Subject to planning permission project likely to commence 2016 | Short term (2016-2024) | £250-300k | Local fundraising and private donations, S106, NHB or grants? | Donnington PCC through Management Committee (although are identifying some capacity issues or lack of relevant experience to project manage) | S106 | D/07/04732/FUL, D/11/01198/FUL; D/12/04410/FUL | 4 Desirable | Committed | Donnington |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/321 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Village Social & Recreational Hub (Kirdford) | On land south east of Townfield | 2015-2025 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Kirdford |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/189 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Shopwhyke – Temporary community Facilities | Experience of large developments with protracted build out demonstrates the need for early delivery of community space, temporary provision of same, or “meanwhile” use of other designated space, to facilitate early development of community | Before first 100 units | Short term (2016-2024) | Unknown | Provide by Developer under S106 | Developer, will require a community lead either Oving PC, or other nominated or new group | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Oving |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/314 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Soft play area/indoor play area for children (Selsey) | Nearest facility is 20 miles away and is not accessible by public transport |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/313 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Extension to Selsey Centre | Required for storage and additional, regularly requested facilities |  |  |  |  |  | S106 | SY/14/02186/OUTEIA; SY/15/00490/FUL | 4 Desirable | Committed | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/192 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Southbourne – replacement of Age Concern Building (multi-use community building) | Existing building is beyond its useful life and needs redevelopment to meet the needs of the growing community (identified within NP) | Linked to the phasing of permitted sites around Southbourne, but the next five years will require the resolution of land tenure, development of a formal scheme for redevelopment etc. | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £500k broad estimate (assuming tenure of land secured without purchase) | Contributions to be sought form a number of Southbourne permissions | Age Concern Southbourne, hopefully with the support of the PC and NP group. | CIL | SB/14/02800/OUT | 4 Desirable | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Southbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/204 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | St Martin's Street/ Crooked S Twitten, Chichester This is a popular pedestrian route currently poorly maintained and detailed. Area should be redesigned to include the provision of new paving and new street furniture, as well as a new retail unit. | Improve the environment and enhance conservation area character – including settings of listed buildings. May also improve capacity to meet growth. Improved visitor experience and economic benefits for City Centre. |  |  |  |  | CDC, WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | City Council may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/208 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Chichester - Re-introduction of natural stone paving within the City centre, particularly for The Pallants, Westgate, Northgate, Southgate and Eastgate Square, as funds permit. | Conservation and enhancement of historic environment. Refer to Public Realm and Accessibility Enhancement Strategy September 2005. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | City Council may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/207 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Chichester - Preservation and maintenance of traditional stone flagged streets, which must be protected. To ensure that all of these surfaces are protected and repaired as necessary, using traditional techniques and materials. | Conservation and enhancement of historic environment. Refer to Public Realm and Accessibility Enhancement Strategy September 2005. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | City Council may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/309 | Social Infrastructure | Streetscene and built environment | Public space enhancements by East Beach green (in addition to skate park, better play facilities, all weather sports courts) (Selsey) | In alignment with the East Beach Masterplan by CDC |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/196 | Green Infrastructure | Biodiversity measures | Brandy Hole Copse – restoration and enhancement works at Brandy Hole local Nature Reserve | NPPF policy 117. As above. Policy 15. West of Chichester Strategic Development Site (draft Local Plan) | 2018-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £10,000 | CIL | CDC, BHC Management Board | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Selected | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/194 | Green Infrastructure | Biodiversity measures | Enhancements to the Lavant Biodiversity Opportunity Area – enhancements to the stretch of the Lavant, north of the Westhampnett strategic development site, connecting to the SDNP. | To comply with NPPF 109, 114 and 117 and   Draft Local Plan Policy 49: Biodiversity | 2016-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | 50,000 | Cost unknown, grant funding, local fundraising. | EA, CDC, Goodwood Estates (Landowner), Sussex Wildlife Trust, Contractor, SDNPA, Southern Water. | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Selected | Lavant and Westhampnett |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/197 | Green Infrastructure | Biodiversity measures | FLOW Project (Fixing and Linking Our Wetlands) – improving and enhancing the wetlands habitat on the Manhood Peninsula | Lawton Report and Natural Environment White Paper (2011)  We must: • improve the quality of current wildlife sites by better habitat management; • increase the size of existing wildlife sites; • enhance connections between sites, either through physical c | 2016 – 2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | 545,300 | Heritage Lottery Funding secured. | MWHG and FLOW Project Board (including CDC) | Other |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Manhood Peninsula |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/289 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Local Drainage - Crooked Lane, Birdham Surface Water Drainage Improvements | West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2015 | 2015-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £100k | FDGIA/WSCC | WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | Birdham |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/288 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Local Drainage - Local watercourse network improvements identified on the West Sussex Local Flood Risk Managements Priority List. | Local Flood Risk Management West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2015 | 2015-2025 | Short term (2016-2024) | £250k | WSCC | PC, CDC & WSCC | Other |  | 3 Policy High | Selected | District wide |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/291 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Local Drainage - The Avenue, Hambrook Watercourse re-construction | West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2015 | 2015-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £10k | None | CDC, WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | Hambrook |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/287 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Coast Protection - Selsey East Beach – Raising of the Sea Wall | Policy 10 of Draft Local Plan “Mitigating and adapting to climate change” | 2020 – 2025 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £5m | FDGIA, a contribution likely to be required (shortfall) | CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/315 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Access improvements to and establishment of coastal path with way finding (Manhood Peninsular) | Development of a good path round the whole peninsula with facilities at various locations around it. |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/570 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Coast Protection -Selsey – Wittering Beach Management 2021-2026 | Policy 10 of Draft Local Plan “Mitigating and adapting to climate change” | 2020-2025 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £1,000,000 | FDGIA est. £750k CDC est. £250k | CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Reserved for next phasing period | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/293 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Local land Drainage - East Beach Sea Outfall | Policy 10 of Draft Local Plan “Mitigating and adapting to climate change” West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2015 | 2018-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | 100,000-150,000 |  | CDC | Other |  | 3 Policy High | Select for CIL funding if the majority of money is match funded. This project can demonstrate it can assist the growth of the area. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/290 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Coast Protection -Selsey – Wittering Beach Management 2016-2021 | Policy 10 of Draft Local Plan “Mitigating and adapting to climate change” | 2015-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £1,000,000 | FDGIA est. £750k CDC est. £250k | CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/318 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | New footpaths & Community Amenity Space (Kirdford) | Development Site North of Village | 2015-2029 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Kirdford |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/308 | Green Infrastructure | Landscaping, planting and woodland creation and public rights of way | Amenity tree planting Harbour SPA Solent Disturbance & mitigation Project | Improvement of street scene, increased biodiversity, contribution to improved air quality. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Proposal 2 | 2014 - 2029 | Short term (2016-2024) | £? From Developer contributions, WSCC, CDC | Parish Council |  | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Southbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/302 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Resite football club (Bosham) | Shared use of recreation ground public/school/FC unsatisfactory & prohibitive to promotion/advancement | 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £500k | Parish Council |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Bosham |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/303 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | New Sports pitch (Bosham) | Improve public spaces and allow football to meet safety standards | 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £100k From WSCC | Parish/WSCC |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Bosham |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/324 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Improvements to sports pavilion (Boxgrove) | Existing cricket pavilion in need of improvements to meet the requirements for the teams using Boxgrove cricket pitch. | June 2018 (start) | Short term (2016-2024) | £53,505 | S106 - £27,000 WSCC - £10,000 SOLAR - £5,000 INERT - £10,000 ? Tbc & CIL £1,505 |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Boxgrove |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/325 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Watersports Centre at Bracklesham Bay (East Wittering and Bracklesham) | Provision of storage, showers and teaching space for watersports at Bracklesham Bay |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Bracklesham Bay |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/326 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Outdoor Gym (East Wittering and Bracklesham) | Provision of outdoor gym equipment and exercise circuit at Beech Avenue, Bracklesham Bay |  |  |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Bracklesham Bay |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/297 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | 3G football pitches at Chichester City United FC (Chichester) | Clubs single pitch currently cannot accommodate all of the training and match requirements for the club. Club are looking to develop 3G full size and/or small sided pitches to enable club to cater for all teams including senior, youth and ladies. |  |  | £500,000-£1,000,000 | University of Chichester, Sports Club, National Governing Bodies, Sport England, National Lottery | University of Chichester and CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/301 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Store and toilet facility at New Park Road (Chichester) | Provision of a small built facility to serve the mini and junior pitch provision at New Park Road |  |  | £100k? | S106, CDC Capital |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | City Council may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/294 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Development of a new cricket pavilion for Chichester Priory Park Cricket Club | Existing facility does not meet requirements of ECB |  |  | £450,000 | Sport England Grants, Club fundraising and others | CDC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/296 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Development of new clubhouse for Chichester Bowmen to incorporate an indoor shooting range (Chichester) | Existing facility is storage and clubhouse. Does not meet DDA requirement and club have a number of disabled participants. An indoor range would allow them to shoot indoors during the winter without the need to hire other facilities which cost the club. |  |  | £150k | Sport England Grants/Loans, Club reserves, CDC grant | Chichester Bowmen | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | City Council may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/298 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Completion of 400m running track at University of Chichester. | Currently a sprint strip exists at the University of Chichester but the aspirations of the University and the Chichester Runners and Athletics Club is to complete the track to provide a 400m running track with associated jump and throw facilities. |  |  | £1.365m | University of Chichester, CR&AC, CIL, NHB, Sport England | University of Chichester/CR&AC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/299 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Permanent indoor tennis courts (Chichester) | Currently the club have a temporary dome structure covering some of their outdoor courts during the winter months. This structure is coming to the end of its life and a permanent solution is sought. |  |  |  | Lawn Tennis Association, Club funds, CDC grant | Chichester Racquet and Fitness Club | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/300 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Improved sports pitches and pavilion at the Southern end of Oaklands Park. | Currently the pitches at the southern end of Oaklands Park suffer during wet periods as the pitches become unusable. The gradient of the pitches also makes them undesirable. A cut and fill and drainage scheme could assist to provide additional pitches f |  |  | £200k? | S106, Football Foundation, ECB |  | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/295 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Development of Artificial Grass Pitch for hockey and associated pavilion/clubhouse | Chichester Priory Park Hockey Club have progressed well in league competition but they require improved facilities to meet league requirements. Current clubhouse facilities are shared with the Cricket Club in Priory Park. |  |  | £1.3m | CPPHC Club Fundraising, England Hockey, Sport England, CIL | CPPHC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/113 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Development of better facilities at East Beach (showers, changing, restaurant/café, water sports) | Dependent upon securing tenure of land from CDC, economic priority as would create a number of local jobs. Enhancements in alignment with the East Beach Masterplan by CDC. To enhance visitor attraction and tourism product and foster better links. |  |  |  |  | Selsey Town Council, CDC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/114 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Football and Cricket clubhouse | Local community requirements for better facilities |  |  | £400,000 match funding available |  | Sports Dream | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Selsey |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/306 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Youth skate park (Southbourne) (links with 304 & 305) | SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Proposal 2 | 2014 - 2029 | Short term (2016-2024) | £80k - £120k From WSCC, Developer contributions, Parish Council | WSCC, Developer contributions and Parish Council |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Southbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/304 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Provision of Youth facilities (Southbourne) (links with 305 & 306) | CDC Open Space, Sport & Recreation Facilities Study 2013-2029. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Proposal 2 | 2014 - 2029 | Short term (2016-2024) | £? From WSCC, Developer contributions | WSCC and developer contributions |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Southbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/305 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Provision of Artificial Grass Pitch/MUGA (Southbourne) (links with 304 & 306) | CDC Open Space, Sport & Recreation Facilities Study 2013-2029. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Policy 8 and Proposal 2 | 2014 - 2029 | Short term (2016-2024) | £700k - £1m From WSCC, Developer contributions, Sport England, Bourne Community College | Bourne Community College, WSCC, Developer contributions and Sport England |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Southbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/322 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Improvements or rebuild of Sports Association Pavilion to create community sports facility | Community social and health improvements Current sports pavilion inadequate – needs updating | 2016-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £500,000 | CIL and other | Sports Association/Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Wisborough Green |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/323 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Reserve football and cricket pitches | Reduce pressure on the village green. |  |  | £150,000 | CIL and other | Sports Association/Parish Council | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Parish may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Wisborough Green |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/320 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | New Road, Parking area and SUDS pond and play area (Kirdford) | Butts Common | 2015-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Kirdford |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/307 | Green Infrastructure | Public open space | Establishment and maintenance of an accessible Green Ring around the village of Southbourne, providing a variety of green infrastructure assets, including informal open space, allotments, a playing field, a footpath/cycleway network, children’s play areas | NPPF Section 8 Promoting Healthy Communities, CDC Open Space, Sport & Recreation Facilities Study 2013-2029. SPNP Pre-Sub Plan Policies 2,3,7,8 and 9 and proposal 2. Provision of alternative informal recreation/leisure facilities | 2014 - 2029 | Short term (2016-2024) | £? From Developer contributions, Sport England, Sustrans, WSCC | Cost unknown, Sport England, Sustrans, WSCC, Parish Council | Southbourne Parish Council | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | Southbourne |
| Chichester District Council | IBP/212 | Utility Services | Utility services | Fishbourne - Relocating overhead services underground | Improve the environment and enhance conservation area character – including settings of listed buildings. May also improve capacity to meet growth |  |  |  |  | Utility Companies | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Parish may wish to consider funding from their CIL | Fishbourne |

## West Sussex County Council Projects

| **Org Name** | **IBP Id** | **Category** | **Project Type** | **Scheme** | **Justification** | **Phasing** | **Term Time** | **Cost Range** | **Funding Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **CIL S106Other** | **Planning Ref** | **Priority Category** | **Project Status** | **Parish Area** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/668 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Green Links across the Manhood. (GLaM project). Public bridleway connection between bridleways 192\_1 and 2792 across Vinnetrow Road. A user controlled crossing of Vinnetrow Road is possible but likely will be determined by Highways England review of A27 and associated local network | Existing local horse riders are deterred from using bridleways due to high volume of traffic on Vinnetrow Road. Links can be created to benefit cyclists travelling to/from Chichester, also employees of local businesses who are known to walk to work | 2022 onwards | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £250,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |  |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/669 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of public bridleway from B2145 along public footpath 190 to new A27 foot and cycle bridge | Will provide NMUs with greater connectivity in local network. Route will also allow horse riders access to bridleways east of B2145 which are currently inaccessible | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £100,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/678 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve the surface of the Chichester Canal towpath for walkers and cyclists | The canal towpath is a popular route for access to/from Chichester for walkers and cyclists. It is also designated part of NCN2. The pressure on the surface has increased greatly from extra use and needs improvement. | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £170,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/676 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Improve links between the communities of Hambrook and Woodmancote by upgrading FP251 to bridleway | Upgrading FP251 to bridleway would provide cyclists and equestrians a safer alternative to the local road network and safer access to and from the South Downs National Park. WSLAF ambition. | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £120,000 |  |  | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/674 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of cycle and equestrian link between Keynor Lane and Highleigh along public footpath 64 | An ambition of WSLAF. Will enhance the local off-road network for cyclists and equestrian to and from Medmerry, so adding value to those works, supporting the local tourist economy and encouraging sustainable access | 2022 onwards | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £50,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |  |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/675 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of bridleway link between South Mundham and Birdham, possibly along existing public footpaths | Whilst a number of routes for cyclists have been created/being created, these are north-south. There needs to be an east - west link. This could possibly be achieved along FPs 44, 86, 85, 82 | 2022 onwards | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £400,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |  | Birdham and Mundham |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/345 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Foot / cycle bridge across the A27 south of Portfield Roundabout | Shopwyke mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | S278 Highways England | Highways England | S278 | O/11/05283/OUT | 1 Critical | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/544 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Hunston Road cycle scheme - shared use pedestrian/cycle path to link the proposed Highways England footbridge at Whyke roundabout with the south of the A27 | New Free School being developed HN/15/03498/FUL on Hunston Road. This project will provide an important sustainable link across the A27 to the School and for development south of the A27 into the City. | 2018-2023 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | WSCC | S106 | HN/15/03489/FUL | 3 Policy High | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Hunston and North Mundham |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/347 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Shared footway / cycleway along south side of A27 to new access to Shopwyke site | Shopwyke mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Oving |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/346 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Foot / cycle bridge across the A27 to Coach Road | Shopwyke mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 1 Critical | Committed | Oving, Westhampnett |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/667 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Green Links across the Manhood. (GLaM project). North Selsey to Medmerry Trail - provision of public bridleway route from Paddock Lane, along Golf Links Lane to access track that circles the new Environment Agency tidal bund | Part of route already agreed via planning consent to be dedicated bridleway. Remainder of route is already public footpath and needs uplifting to bridleway status. | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £160,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected | Selsey |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/666 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Green links across the Manhood (GLaM project) Bracklesham to Medmerry trail - provision of public bridleway route between B2198 and access track that circles the new Environment Agency tidal bund. | Development already consented on land north-east of Beech Avenue. Use of Clappers Lane for access to/from Medmerry is not attractive due to lane being narrow and carrying increasing vehicle traffic volume. | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £300,000 | Capital Funding | WSCC | S106 |  | 4 Desirable |  | Selsey, Bracklesham and East Wittering |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/670 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Provision of cycle route between Whitehouse Farm development (west of Chichester) and Salthill Road | Provide a largely off-road cycle link between Chichester and entry to the South Downs National Park east of A286. | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £65,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/658 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | City Centre cycle parking. | To increase cycling for the short trips to the City Centre. | 2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £250,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Project not yet ready to be selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/367 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | St Paul’s cycle route | Mitigation - to reduce car trips from SDLs to city centre | 2020+ | Short term (2016-2024) | £140,000 | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/340 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Graylingwell cycle route 1 Wellington Road – Oaklands Way | Graylingwell mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/360 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Summersdale cycle route | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £230,000 | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/358 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Gap-filling to complete the Chichester Cycle Network: Whyke, Stockbridge, Summersdale, City Centre, south-west of the City Centre, east of the City Centre. | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | 2020+ | Short term (2016-2024) | £500,000 | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/359 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Portfield cycle route | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | 2020+ | Short term (2016-2024) | £120,000 | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Project not yet ready to be selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/341 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Graylingwell cycle route 2 along north side of Westhampnett Road (opp St James’ Road to connect with existing footpath rear of Story Road) | Graylingwell mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/368 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Parklands cycle route | Mitigation - to reduce car trips from SDLs to city centre | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £440,000 | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/364 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Chichester - Tangmere cycle route | Mitigation - to reduce car trips from SDLs to city centre | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £630,000 | S106 | Developer | S106 | TG/07/04577/FUL; TG/11/04058/FUL, TG/12/011739/OUT, TG/14/00797/FUL | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester - Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/671 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Provision of cycle route between Summersdale and East Lavant | Provide a largely off-road cycle link between Chichester and entry to the South Downs National Park east of A286. | 2022 onwards | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £150,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |  | Chichester and Lavant |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/540 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Oving cycle route | Shopwyke mitigation |  |  |  | S106 | Developer | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester and Oving |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/361 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Chichester – Selsey cycle route | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | TBC | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Manhood Peninsula |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/362 | Transport | Cycle infrastructure | Selsey – Witterings cycle route | To reduce short car trips on Manhood | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £200,000 | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Manhood Peninsula |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/371 | Transport | Local road network | Cathedral Way / Via Ravenna junction improvement | Mitigation for West of Chichester SDL | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | 372,500 | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/344 | Transport | Local road network | Kingsmead Avenue / Palmers Field Avenue traffic management | Graylingwell mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/357 | Transport | Local road network | Southgate Gyratory junction improvement | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | 2020+ | Short term (2016-2024) | £200,000 | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Project not yet ready to be selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/356 | Transport | Local road network | Variable Message Signing (VMS) | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion | 2020+ | Short term (2016-2024) | £8,000 | CIL | WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/366 | Transport | Local road network | North / south link road and improvements to nearby roads connecting with southern access to West of Chichester SDL | Mitigation for West of Chichester SDL | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | TBC | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/352 | Transport | Local road network | Northgate Gyratory junction improvement | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £986,000 - £1.6m | CIL | WSCC / CDC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Reserved for next phasing period | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/353 | Transport | Local road network | Westhampnett Road/ St Pancras/ Spitalfield Lane/ St James Road double mini roundabouts junction improvement. To include improvements to sustainable transport facilities along Westhampnett Road. | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | 2019-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £3,500,000 | CIL | WSCC / CDC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | £250,000 | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/370 | Transport | Local road network | Sherborne Road / St Paul’s Road junction improvement | Mitigation for West of Chichester SDL | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £540,000 | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/343 | Transport | Local road network | Westhampnett Road / Portfield Way (nr Sainsbury's) junction improvement | Graylingwell mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/538 | Transport | Local road network | Oving Road crossroads closure | Shopwyke mitigation |  |  |  | S278 Highways England | Highways England | S278 | O/11/05283/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/369 | Transport | Local road network | Sherborne Road traffic calming | Mitigation for West of Chichester SDL | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | TBC | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/372 | Transport | Local road network | Air Quality Action Plan measures – still investigating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Details of project insufficient | District wide |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/349 | Transport | Local road network | A286 Birdham Road / B2201 (Selsey Tram Roundabout) junction improvement | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £150,000 | S106 | WSCC / Developer | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Donnington |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/363 | Transport | Local road network | B2145 / B2166 junction improvement | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety at key junctions | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £223,500 | CIL | WSCC / Developer | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Not selected for IBP years 2016-2021 as little planned development in this cycle. | Hunston |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/348 | Transport | Local road network | Shopwyke Road diversion | Shopwyke mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Oving |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/724 | Transport | Local road network | A27/B2233 Nyton Road junction improvement (Costs £202,000 - £300,000) | Project required as a result of development in Arun district. |  |  |  |  | WSCC | Other |  | 2 Essential |  | Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/365 | Transport | Local road network | Road link between A27 / A285 junction and Tangmere Road | Mitigation for Tangmere SDL | 2020+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) |  | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/672 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of footpath linking East Bracklesham Drive to beach (opposite FP4) | Secure a new public access to beach, which otherwise is only lawfully accessible from the car park at southern point of B2198. An ambition West Sussex Local Access Forum (WSLAF) | 2017-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £10,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Project not yet ready to be selected |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/673 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Provision of public bridleway along public footpaths 75 and 3662 | An ambition of GLAM and WSLAF. Will support cycle connectivity of seasonal visitors particularly to and from Medmerry, so supporting local economy | 2022 onwards | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £60,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 4 Desirable |  |  |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/342 | Transport | Pedestrian infrastructure | Toucan crossing on Oaklands Way | Graylingwell mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | Directly providing | S106 | Developer | S106 | CC/08/03533/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/351 | Transport | Public transport | Chichester bus / rail interchange improvements (Cross reference IBP/206) | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to improve sustainable transport mode share | 2020+ Dependent on nearby redevelopment opportunities | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | TBC | CIL | WSCC / CDC/ Stagecoach / Network Rail | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Reserved for next phasing period | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/354 | Transport | Public transport | Bus lane along A259 approaching Bognor Road Roundabout | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | 2023+ | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £1.2m | CIL | WSCC / CDC/ bus operators | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Reserved for next phasing period | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/539 | Transport | Public transport | Extension/diversion of number 55 bus route | Shopwyke mitigation | 2015 - 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | S106 | Developer | S106 | O/11/05283/OUT | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/542 | Transport | Public transport | Regular bus services between west of Chichester SDL and the City centre. | Mitigation for West of Chichester SDL |  |  |  | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/541 | Transport | Public transport | Direct and frequent bus services between Tangmere and Chichester City. | Mitigation for Tangmere SDL |  |  |  | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/543 | Transport | Public transport | Regular bus services between Westhampnett SDL and the City centre. | Mitigation for Westhampnett SDL |  |  |  | S106 | Developer | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Westhampnett |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/682 | Transport | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | 2022-2023 | Short term (2016-2024) | £80,000 | S106 | Developers / WSCC / CDC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Not selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/355 | Transport | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | RTPI screens at key locations | Chichester City Transport Strategy – to reduce short car trips to and from the city centre | 2020+ | Short term (2016-2024) | £120,000 (12 screens) |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/350 | Transport | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | 2018-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £60,000 |  | Developers / WSCC / CDC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Not selected | District wide |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/679 | Transport | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | 2019-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £75,000 |  | Developers / WSCC / CDC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Not selected | District wide |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/680 | Transport | Smarter Choices and promote sustainable modes of transport | Smarter choices Bike It project | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to school and linked to primary school programme and priorities identified through school travel planning (link to Safer Routes to School) | 2020-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £75,000 |  | Developers / WSCC / CDC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Not selected | District wide |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/659 | Transport | Transport | School access improvements - Manhood. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to and from school. | 2022-2023 | Short term (2016-2024) | £50,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Birdham, Earnley, East Wittering and Bracklesham, Selsey and West Wittering |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/660 | Transport | Transport | School access improvements - Bourne. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to and from school. | 2021-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £50,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Bosham, Chidham and Hambrook, Southbourne and Westbourne |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/657 | Transport | Transport | School access improvements - Chichester. Drop off/pick up arrangements at expanded schools. | To increase sustainable travel choice and modal shift for the journey to and from school. | 2019-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £50,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/654 | Transport | Transport | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management required in North East Chichester. | To better manage demand for parking and network management aspirations (ie sustainable mode priority) for key routes in the area). | 2020/2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | 250,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/655 | Transport | Transport | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management required in West Chichester. | To better manage demand for parking and network management aspirations (ie sustainable mode priority) for key routes in the area). | 2020/2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | 250,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/656 | Transport | Transport | Sustainable Transport Corridor - City Centre to Portfield and improvements to sustainable transport facilities on Oving Road corridor. | To increase sustainable transport mode share. Considering improvements to road space allocation. | 2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £500,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/665 | Transport | Transport | Following recent Road Space Audit, area-wide parking management in Chichester City. | To better manage demand for parking and network management aspirations (ie sustainable mode priority) for key routes in the area). | 2020/2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £250,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Chichester City |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/339 | Transport | Transport - A27 | A27 improvements to junctions: Fishbourne roundabout inc Terminus Road/Cathedral Way (£6,870,000); Stockbridge roundabout (£5,380,000); Stockbridge link road (£23,170,000); Whyke junction (£4,820,000); Bognor Road roundabout inc Vinnetrow Road (£16,100,000); Portfield (£2,310,000); Oving Road (£1,290,000) | To mitigate the area-wide impacts of Local Plan housing and employment growth. | 2020-2023 | Short term (2016-2024) | £59,940,000 | S278 | Highways England | S278 | 14/04284/OUT; HN/15/03489/FUL | 1 Critical | Committed | East West Corridor |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/593 | Education | Preschool and Primary school | For the west of Chichester SDL 40 new nursery places to be provided as part of new primary school. | Require new nursery classroom as the number of nursery places is dependent upon national requirements introduced through the Child Care Bill. |  |  | £1.8 - £2.1m |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential |  | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/730 | Education | Preschool and Primary school | For the Tangmere SDL 32 new nursery places to be provided as part of new primary school. | Require new nursery classroom as the number of nursery places is dependent upon national requirements introduced through the Child Care Bill. |  |  | £1.2 - £1.5m |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential |  | Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/332 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Expansion of existing primary schools across the Manhood locality in excess of 1/2 Form Entry | To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | 2022-2023 | Short term (2016-2024) | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | WSCC / academy provider | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Birdham, Earnley, East Wittering and Bracklesham, Selsey and West Wittering |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/331 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Expansion of existing primary schools across the Bourne locality in excess of 1/2 Form Entry | To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | 2021-2022 | Short term (2016-2024) | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | WSCC / academy provider | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | Bosham, Chidham and Hambrook, Southbourne and Westbourne |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/330 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Expansion of existing primary school(s) across the Chichester locality by up to 1/2 Form Entry | To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | 2019-2020 | Short term (2016-2024) | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | Basic Needs Grant will need to be secured to reduce the funding required from CIL. | WSCC / academy provider | CIL | SB/14/02800/OUT | 2 Essential | Selected | Boxgrove, Chichester, Donnington, Fishbourne, Hunston and North Mundham |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/327 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | School site and provision of a new primary school for the West of Chichester SDL; 1 Form Entry initially but the site should be expandable to 2Form Entry to accommodate the latter phases of development | To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | Temporary accommodation to be provided for 2021. Access to clear & unencumbered site for 2024/25 opening. | Short term (2016-2024) | £5.4 - £6m (1Form Entry) £9.5-£10,6m (2Form Entry) | S106 &WSCC (including Basic Need Grant) | WSCC / academy provider | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/333 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | Further expansion of existing primary schools across the Billingshurst locality by up to 1/2 Form Entry. Wisborough Green expanded to become a 1 FE primary school 2017. Loxwood increased their published admission numbers to become a 1 FE primary school in 2017 | To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | Remainder of half form entry expansion. | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £3 million for half form entry Subject to feasibility & site assessment | CIL & WSCC (including Basic Need Grant) | WSCC / academy provider | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Reserved for next phasing period | Kirdford, Lynchmere, Loxwood, Plaistow, Ifold and Wisborough Green |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/328 | Education | Primary, Secondary, sixth form and special educational needs | School site and provision of a new 1Form Entry primary school for the Tangmere SDL; the site should be expandable to 2Form Entry | To meet statutory duty to ensure sufficient supply of school places for pupils arising from new development (mitigation) | Temporary accommodation to be provided for 2023. Access to clear & unencumbered site for 2026/27 opening. | Short term (2016-2024) | £5.4 - £6m (1Form Entry) £9.5-£10,6m (2Form Entry) | S106 &WSCC (including Basic Need Grant) | WSCC / academy provider | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/335 | Social Infrastructure | Libraries | Library provision as part of a new community centre or school for the West of Chichester SDL; to include shelving and a self- service terminal | Development is likely to create additional demand on the service (50% of population of West Sussex are members of library service) | Dependent on phasing of community centre or school | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £75,000 - £100,000 | CIL | WSCC & developer | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Reserved for next phasing period | East West Corridor (west) |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/336 | Social Infrastructure | Libraries | Library provision as part of a new community centre for the Tangmere SDL; to include shelving and a self- service terminal | Development is likely to create additional demand on the service (50% of population of West Sussex are members of library service) | Dependent on phasing of community centre | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £75,000 - £100,000 | CIL | WSCC & developer | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Reserved for next phasing period | Tangmere |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/711 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Parklands Chichester daylighting of culvert with landscaping. | Primary benefit of natural flood attenuation/reduce downstream flood risk. Additional benefits include improved amenity and biodiversity in the area. | 2018-2023 | Short term (2016-2024) | £500,000 |  | WSCC |  |  | 2 Essential |  | Chichester City |
| West Sussex County Council | IBP/710 | Public and Community Services | Waste | Reconfiguration of Westhampnett transfer station/household waste recycling site | Increase capacity to meet future demand from planned housing delivery across the area. | 2024-2029 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | 5,000,000 |  | WSCC | CIL |  | 2 Essential |  | Westhampnett |

## Infrastructure Commissioners Projects

| **Org Name** | **IBP Id** | **Category** | **Project Type** | **Scheme** | **Justification** | **Phasing** | **Term Time** | **Cost Range** | **Funding Sources** | **Delivery Lead** | **CIL S106Other** | **Planning Ref** | **Priority Category** | **Project Status** | **ParishArea** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| BT Openreach | IBP/580 | Utility Services | Utility services | Ensure superfast broadband coverage of 95% of the area and basic broadband coverage of 100% of the area in line with government targets. | Improve business and social communication. | 2016 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Public and commercial funding | Openreach/WSCC | Other |  | 3 Policy High | Committed | District Wide |
| Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | IBP/398 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | NHS Medical Centre West of Chichester SDL | To accommodate new residents/patients from planned developments, which will be supplemented by additional funding to enable restructure and consolidation of primary care resources to serve Chichester over next 20 years as per emergent GP estate strategy. | 2018-2025 | Short term (2016-2024) | 4,500,000 | £4,500,000 total NHS sources/LIFT/third party development (£2.75m expected to be funded by LIFT) | Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Selected | East West Corridor |
| Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | IBP/726 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Improvements at Southbourne Surgery | To accommodate influx of additional residents who will reside in the catchment boundary of Southbourne Surgery | 2019-2021 | Short term (2016-2024) | £370,000 |  | Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Project not yet ready to be selected | Southbourne |
| Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | IBP/725 | Health | Community healthcare, primary care facilities & improvements | Improvements at Tangmere Surgery | To accommodate influx of additional residents who will reside in the catchment boundary of Tangmere Surgery | Post 2025 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | £1,428,677 |  | Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group | CIL |  | 2 Essential | Select for CIL funding if the majority of money is match funded. This project can demonstrate it can assist the growth of the area. | Tangmere |
| Environment Agency | IBP/396 | Green Infrastructure | Flood and coastal erosion risk management | Bosham Harbour new inland defences. | 73 households moved out of any one of the four flood probability categories to a lower one and moved out of the very significant or significant flood probability categories | Indicative funding - 2023-2024 £50,000 and 2024-2025 £150,000 2025-2026 £260,000 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) | 460,000 | FCRM GiA/Contributions | Environment Agency | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Selected | Bosham |
| Network Rail | IBP/629 | Transport | Public transport | Construction of chord to enable trains to run directly between Bognor Regis and Chichester, rather than via an interchange at Barnham. | To reduce congestion on the roads between Bognor and Chichester, although an additional train would lead to the barriers being down for longer. | 2029 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) |  |  | Network Rail |  |  |  |  | Chichester |
| RSPB | IBP/586 | Green Infrastructure | Biodiversity measures | New visitor centre at Pagham Harbour Local Nature Reserve | This project will contribute to achieving the first objective of Policy 22 and objective 3.27 of the Local Plan. | 2021-2029 | Medium to long term (2024-2029) |  | to be confirmed | RSPB | Other |  | 3 Policy High |  | Sidlesham |
| Southern Water | IBP/728 | Utility Services | Utility services | West of Chichester to Tangmere waste water treatment works transfer pipeline. | To enable growth in the local plan whilst avoiding additional environmental impact on Chichester Harbour SSSI | 2020 | Short term (2016-2024) |  |  | Southern Water | Other |  | 1 Critical |  | Chichester - Tangmere |
| Southern Water | IBP/397 | Utility Services | Utility services | Upgrade to Tangmere Wastewater treatment Works (WWTW) | Essential for enabling level of growth in new Local Plan | 2018 | Short term (2016-2024) |  | Investment by Southern Water | Southern Water | Other |  | 1 Critical | Committed | Tangmere |
| Sussex Police | IBP/706 | Public services | Police and emergency services | Fixed site ANPR (with no infrastructure in place) | New housing will place an increased demand upon the existing level of policing. In the absence of developer contributions towards additional infrastructure, Sussex Police would be unable to retain the high level of policing that is currently delivered. | 2017-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £24,000 |  | Sussex Police | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | District wide |
| Sussex Police | IBP/707 | Public services | Police and emergency services | Mobile ANPR camera to be fitted into fleet vehicle | New housing will place an increased demand upon the existing level of policing. In the absence of developer contributions towards additional infrastructure, Sussex Police would be unable to retain the high level of policing that is currently delivered. | 2017-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £14,000 |  | Sussex Police | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | District wide |
| Sussex Police | IBP/705 | Public services | Police and emergency services | 2 additional vehicles to increase Chichester fleet capacity | New housing will place an increased demand upon the existing level of policing. In the absence of developer contributions towards additional infrastructure, Sussex Police would be unable to retain the high level of policing that is currently delivered. | 2017-2019 | Short term (2016-2024) | £63,360 |  | Sussex Police | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Project not yet ready to be selected | District wide |
| University of Chichester | IBP/388 | Transport | Car parking | Multi level Car Park | Replacement of surface level car parking in the north of the campus with a multi-level car park – the number of car spaces not increasing |  |  | tbc | University to fund | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/383 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Cycle route/Footway with lighting to the centre of the Campus |  |  |  | ca £0.1m | University to fund part with Local Authority CIL | University | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/386 | Transport | Cycle and pedestrian infrastructure | Cycle route/Footway with lighting extension from the University central area to Graylingwell North |  |  |  | ca £0.1m | University to fund part with Local Authority CIL | University | CIL |  | 3 Policy High | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/384 | Transport | Local road network | New Internal Campus Road and Link to Eastern Access Road |  |  |  | ca £0.5m | University to fund but there is a significant funding gap | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/385 | Transport | Local road network | Eastern Access Road |  |  |  | provided by HCA/Linden LLP | Assumed to be funded by HCA and Linden LLP as a part of planning consent and S106 | HCA and Linden LLP | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/387 | Transport | Local road network | College Lane Traffic Calming/Change - One Way access and Public Realm works to College Lane and Spitalfield Lane |  |  |  | ca £300k | No funding by University defined | WSCC | S106 |  | 2 Essential | Committed | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/382 | Education | Further education and higher education | Other Academic and Support facilities - Learning Resource Extension, Sports Building, Gymnasium, Students Union building extension | To support enhancement of the academic accommodation and student expansion |  |  | Not known at present | No detail as yet | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/378 | Education | Further education and higher education | Music Teaching Building | To support enhancement of the academic accommodation and student expansion | 2016-2017 | Short term (2016-2024) | ca £3.5m | University funded | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/377 | Education | Further education and higher education | Academic Teaching Building | To support academic accommodation and student expansion | 2017-2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | ca £5.9m | University funded | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/381 | Education | Further education and higher education | On campus expansion of Fine Art building including possible artists’ studios | Student growth/studio space. Could link with, substitute other existing or planned arts provision | Dependent on funding |  | Not known as yet University land and maintenance contribution at nil cost | No detail as yet | University and possible local authority, private contribution | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/380 | Social Infrastructure | Community facilities | Concert Hall - On Campus high quality Concert Hall for a Music Conservatoire and for Community Use | This is a project of local and regional significance strengthening the University’s Conservatoire Music offer and enabling the community to have a bespoke concert hall to host an orchestra and have an audience capacity of ca 800 It also offers opportunity | Dependent on funding |  | ca £5m. plus University land and maintenance contribution at nil cost | No commitments as yet but very clear there will be a major funding gap. | University with local authority, lottery, Arts Council for England and private donor partners | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/390 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Training/Changing Facilities | To provide enhanced sports facilities to maintain the competitiveness of sport/PE as one of the University’s core academic subject areas and to provide a unique community facility for the City and possibly for schools | subject to funding package being secured |  | ca £1.5m University land and maintenance contribution at nil cost | University and gap funding with local authority/Lottery/other | University | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/389 | Green Infrastructure | Playing fields, sports pitches, related build and children's play areas | Completion of running track/with internal all weather football pitch | To provide enhanced sports facilities to maintain the competitiveness of sport/PE as one of the University’s core academic subject areas and to provide a unique community facility for the City and possibly for schools. The all weather pitch could be used | subject to funding package being secured |  | ca £1m University land and maintenance contribution at nil cost | University and gap funding with local authority/Lottery/other | University | CIL |  | 4 Desirable | Consider selecting if match funding is identified as this project supports the growth of the area provided it is for genuine community use. | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/392 | Utility Services | Utility services | Carbon/Renewables Combined Heat and Power project | A scoping pre-feasibility study is currently being completed with a view to developing a CHP project on campus. It may be developed and benefit other major users such as the NHS St Richard’s and the Councils | tbc |  | Not yet established | University, local authorities, NHS St Richard’s, utility companies and private sector | Partnership and University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/391 | Utility Services | Utility services | Water, drainage and power to support University developments | A range of utility service improvements are likely to be required as a part of the above covering water, drainage and power. | 2017-2018 and beyond | Short term (2016-2024) | Not known as yet The cost and allocation of costs to the University, private partners and utility companies is still to be determined | University, utility companies and private funding | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |
| University of Chichester | IBP/379 | Housing | Student accommodation | Student Residential - Redevelopment of Havenstoke (252 new units) and redevelopment of Hammond (77 new units) | Meeting current and forecast need for on-campus accommodation | 2017/2018 | Short term (2016-2024) | ca £15m | University/private funded | University | Other |  |  | University to fund | Chichester |

# Appendix B

## CIL Applicable Housing trajectory

### Projected phasing of additional proposed housing sites of 6 or more dwellings

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Projected housing development (dwellings per year) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | Total  2018-2023 | Total  2023-2029 | Total 2018-2029 |
| **East-West Corridor** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bosham | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| Boxgrove | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| Chichester city |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- West of Chichester* | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 150 | 100 | 300 | 1,050 | 1,350 |
| *- Westhampnett/NEC (part)* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 200 |
| *- Other identified sites* | 0 | 60 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 173 | 274 |
| Chichester city total | 0 | 60 | 141 | 150 | 150 | 383 | 290 | 200 | 200 | 150 | 100 | 501 | 1,323 | 1,824 |
| Chidham & Hambrook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Fishbourne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| Funtington (part) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lavant (part) | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Oving (inc Shopwyke SDL) | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Southbourne |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- Southbourne village* | 40 | 40 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| *- Elsewhere in parish* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Southbourne total | 40 | 40 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| Tangmere (including SDL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *- Tangmere SDL* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 0 | 720 | 720 |
| *- Non-strategic NP sites* | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 57 | 63 |
| Tangmere total | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 135 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 6 | 777 | 783 |
| West Thorney | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Westbourne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| Westhampnett (part of SDL)4 | 0 | 60 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 300 |
| ***Sub-total*** | ***46*** | ***192*** | ***301*** | ***275*** | ***250*** | ***638*** | ***425*** | ***320*** | ***320*** | ***270*** | ***220*** | ***1,064*** | ***2,193*** | ***3,257*** |
| **Manhood Peninsula** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Appledram | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Birdham | 0 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 42 |
| Donnington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earnley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Hunston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| North Mundham | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Selsey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Sidlesham | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Itchenor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Wittering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***Sub-total*** | ***0*** | ***20*** | ***7*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***47*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***27*** | ***47*** | ***74*** |
| **Plan Area (North)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lynchmere | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kirdford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 114 |
| Loxwood | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Plaistow & Ifold | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Wisborough Green | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 41 |
| ***Sub-total*** | ***0*** | ***11*** | ***28*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***108*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***35*** | ***0*** | ***0*** | ***39*** | ***143*** | ***182*** |
| **TOTAL** | **46** | **223** | **336** | **275** | **250** | **793** | **425** | **320** | **355** | **270** | **220** | **1,130** | **2,383** | **3,513** |

**Table 5: Potential parish level CIL receipts assuming adopted neighbourhood plans (25% of CIL receipts)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Neighbourhood plan1 | Parish CIL contribution | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | Total  2019-2024 | Total  2024-2029 | Total 2019-2029 |
| **East-West Corridor** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bosham | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £94,500 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £94,500 | £0 | £94,500 |
| Boxgrove | No | 0.15 | £24,948 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £24,948 | £0 | £24,948 |
| Chichester city |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- West of Chichester* | *No* | *0.15* | £0 | £113,400 | £113,400 | £113,400 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £170,100 | £113,400 | £567,000 | £963,900 | £1,530,900 |
| *- Westhampnett/NEC (part)* | *No* | *0.15* | £0 | £0 | £56,700 | £56,700 | £56,700 | £56,700 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £170,100 | £56,700 | £226,800 |
| *- Other identified sites* | *No* | *0.15* | £68,040 | £46,494 | £0 | £0 | £150,822 | £45,360 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £265,356 | £45,360 | £310,716 |
| Chichester city total |  |  | £68,040 | £159,894 | £170,100 | £170,100 | £434,322 | £328,860 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £170,100 | £113,400 | £1,002,456 | £1,065,960 | £2,068,416 |
| Chidham & Hambrook | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £27,000 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £27,000 | £0 | £27,000 |
| Fishbourne | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £39,690 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £39,690 | £0 | £39,690 |
| Funtington (part) | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Lavant (part) | Yes | 0.25 | £18,900 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £18,900 | £0 | £18,900 |
| Oving (inc Shopwyke SDL) | No | 0.15 | £0 | £45,360 | £45,360 | £22,680 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £113,400 | £0 | £113,400 |
| Southbourne |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- Southbourne village* | *Yes* | *0.25* | £75,600 | £75,600 | £9,450 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £160,650 | £0 | £160,650 |
| *- Elsewhere in parish* | *Yes* | *0.25* | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Southbourne total |  |  | £75,600 | £75,600 | £9,450 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £160,650 | £0 | £160,650 |
| Tangmere (including SDL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- Tangmere SDL* | *Yes* | *0.25* | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £1,134,000 | £1,360,800 |
| *- Non-strategic NP sites* | *Yes* | *0.25* | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £79,380 | £28,350 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £79,380 | £28,350 | £107,730 |
| Tangmere total |  |  | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £306,180 | £255,150 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £226,800 | £306,180 | £1,162,350 | £1,468,530 |
| West Thorney | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Westbourne | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £32,400 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £32,400 | £0 | £32,400 |
| Westhampnett (part of SDL)2 | No | 0.15 | £39,800 | £39,800 | £39,800 | £39,800 | £39,800 | £39,800 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £199,000 | £39,800 | £238,800 |
| ***E-W Corridor sub-total*** |  |  | ***£227,288*** | ***£320,654*** | ***£264,710*** | ***£232,580*** | ***£973,892*** | ***£623,810*** | ***£453,600*** | ***£453,600*** | ***£396,900*** | ***£340,200*** | **£2,019,124** | **£2,268,110** | ***£4,287,234*** |
| **Manhood Peninsula** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appledram | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Birdham | Yes | 0.25 | £37,800 | £13,230 | £0 | £0 | £28,350 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £79,380 | £0 | £79,380 |
| Donnington | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Earnley | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £20,412 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £20,412 | £0 | £20,412 |
| Hunston | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £11,340 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £11,340 | £0 | £11,340 |
| North Mundham | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Selsey | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £13,230 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £13,230 | £0 | £13,230 |
| Sidlesham | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| West Itchenor | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| West Wittering | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| ***Manhood Pen sub-total*** |  |  | ***£37,800*** | ***£13,230*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£73,332*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | **£124,362** | **£0** | ***£124,362*** |
| **Plan Area (North)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lynchmere | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Kirdford | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £248,850 | £0 | £0 | £110,250 | £0 | £0 | £248,850 | £110,250 | £359,100 |
| Loxwood | Yes | 0.25 | £0 | £53,550 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £53,550 | £0 | £53,550 |
| Plaistow & Ifold | No | 0.15 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £18,900 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £18,900 | £0 | £18,900 |
| Wisborough Green | Yes | 0.25 | £34,650 | £34,650 | £0 | £0 | £59,850 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £129,150 | £0 | £129,150 |
| ***Plan Area (N) sub-total*** |  |  | ***£34,650*** | ***£88,200*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£327,600*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£110,250*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | **£450,450** | **£110,250** | ***£560,700*** |
| **PLAN AREA TOTAL** |  |  | **£299,738** | **£422,084** | **£264,710** | **£232,580** | **£1,374,824** | **£623,810** | **£453,600** | **£563,850** | **£396,900** | **£340,200** | **£2,593,936** | **£2,378,360** | **£4,972,296** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 7. Potential total CIL receipts from additional proposed housing sites of 6 or more dwellings**  Assumed average dwelling size (internal floor area) = 90 sq.m 30% affordable housing (CIL exempt) is assumed for developments of 6+ dwellings in designated rural parishes and for 11+ dwellings elsewhere  CIL contribution per dwelling - South of Plan area £10,800 - North of Plan area £18,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | CIL rate | % AH | Projected additional CIL receipts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | Total  2019-2024 | Total  2024-2029 | Total 2019-2029 |
| **East-West Corridor** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bosham | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £378,000 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £378,000 | £0 | £378,000 |
| Boxgrove | £10,800 | 30% | £166,320 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £166,320 | £0 | £166,320 |
| Chichester city |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- West of Chichester* | £10,800 | *30%* | £0 | £756,000 | £756,000 | £756,000 | £1,512,000 | £1,512,000 | £1,512,000 | £1,512,000 | £1,134,000 | £756,000 | £3,780,000 | £6,426,000 | £10,206,000 |
| *- Westhampnett/NEC (part)* | £10,800 | *30%* | £0 | £0 | £378,000 | £378,000 | £378,000 | £378,000 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £1,134,000 | £378,000 | £1,512,000 |
| *- Other identified sites* | £10,800 | *30%* | £453,600 | £309,960 | £0 | £0 | £1,005,480 | £302,400 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £1,769,040 | £302,400 | £2,071,440 |
| Chichester city total |  |  | £453,600 | £1,065,960 | £1,134,000 | £1,134,000 | £2,895,480 | £2,192,400 | £1,512,000 | £1,512,000 | £1,134,000 | £756,000 | £6,683,040 | £7,106,400 | £13,789,440 |
| Chidham & Hambrook | £10,800 | 0% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £108,000 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £108,000 | £0 | £108,000 |
| Fishbourne | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £158,760 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £158,760 | £0 | £158,760 |
| Funtington (part) | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Lavant (part) | £10,800 | 30% | £75,600 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £75,600 | £0 | £75,600 |
| Oving (inc Shopwyke SDL) | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £302,400 | £302,400 | £151,200 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £756,000 | £0 | £756,000 |
| Southbourne |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- Southbourne village* | £10,800 | *30%* | £302,400 | £302,400 | £37,800 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £642,600 | £0 | £642,600 |
| *- Elsewhere in parish* | £10,800 | *30%* | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Southbourne total |  |  | £302,400 | £302,400 | £37,800 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £642,600 | £0 | £642,600 |
| Tangmere (including SDL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- Tangmere SDL* | £10,800 | *30%* | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £4,536,000 | £5,443,200 |
| *- Non-strategic NP sites* | £10,800 | *30%* | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £317,520 | £113,400 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £317,520 | £113,400 | £430,920 |
| Tangmere total |  |  | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £1,224,720 | £1,020,600 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £907,200 | £1,224,720 | £4,649,400 | £5,874,120 |
| West Thorney | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Westbourne | £10,800 | 0% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £129,600 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £129,600 | £0 | £129,600 |
| Westhampnett (part of SDL) | £10,800 | 30% | £453,600 | £604,800 | £604,800 | £604,800 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £2,268,000 | £0 | £2,268,000 |
| ***E-W Corridor sub-total*** |  |  | ***£1,451,520*** | ***£2,275,560*** | ***£2,079,000*** | ***£1,890,000*** | ***£4,894,560*** | ***£3,213,000*** | ***£2,419,200*** | ***£2,419,200*** | ***£2,041,200*** | ***£1,663,200*** | **£12,590,640** | **£11,755,800** | ***£24,346,440*** |
| **Manhood Peninsula** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appledram | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Birdham | £10,800 | 30% | £151,200 | £52,920 | £0 | £0 | £113,400 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £317,520 | £0 | £317,520 |
| Donnington | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Earnley | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| East Wittering & Bracklesham | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £136,080 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £136,080 | £0 | £136,080 |
| Hunston | £10,800 | 0% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £75,600 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £75,600 | £0 | £75,600 |
| North Mundham | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Selsey | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £52,920 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £52,920 | £0 | £52,920 |
| Sidlesham | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| West Itchenor | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| West Wittering | £10,800 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| ***Manhood Pen sub-total*** |  |  | ***£151,200*** | ***£52,920*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£378,000*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | **£582,120** | **£0** | ***£582,120*** |
| **Plan Area (North)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lynchmere | £18,000 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| Kirdford | £18,000 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £995,400 | £0 | £0 | £441,000 | £0 | £0 | £995,400 | £441,000 | £1,436,400 |
| Loxwood | £18,000 | 30% | £0 | £214,200 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £214,200 | £0 | £214,200 |
| Plaistow & Ifold | £18,000 | 30% | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £126,000 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £126,000 | £0 | £126,000 |
| Wisborough Green | £18,000 | 30% | £138,600 | £138,600 | £0 | £0 | £239,400 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £0 | £516,600 | £0 | £516,600 |
| ***Plan Area (N) sub-total*** |  |  | ***£138,600*** | ***£352,800*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£1,360,800*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | ***£441,000*** | ***£0*** | ***£0*** | **£1,852,200** | **£441,000** | ***£2,293,200*** |
| **PLAN AREA TOTAL** |  |  | **£1,741,320** | **£2,681,280** | **£2,079,000** | **£1,890,000** | **£6,633,360** | **£3,213,000** | **£2,419,200** | **£2,860,200** | **£2,041,200** | **£1,663,200** | **£15,024,960** | **£12,196,800** | **£27,221,760** |

# Appendix C

## CIL Infrastructure Prioritisation

This section sets out the approach to prioritise projects to be funded via CIL. It draws upon the evidence base and Regulation 123 list that supported adoption of the CIL Charging Schedule. The approach taken within the IBP is reviewed and updated on an annual basis, to ensure appropriate categorisation of projects against the development trajectory.

## The Need to Prioritise Infrastructure

Chichester District Council recognises that the ability to fund required infrastructure is based upon the anticipated CIL cash flow. It is unlikely that CIL receipts will be sufficient to fund all infrastructure required within the plan area. It is therefore necessary to prioritise the infrastructure projects in most need of CIL funding, and to begin to identify and understand the requirements for additional funding towards particular projects.

This IBP represents the outcome of a considered approach to delivery that will effectively manage the demand and call on resources. In addition to agreement between stakeholders that have informed this IBP, it is critical that delivery partners recognise the importance of this plan and play their part in ensuring that the infrastructure for which they are responsible is delivered on time.

The document aligns infrastructure requirements with the most up to date housing trajectory and anticipated CIL receipts. At all stages the relationship between plan-wide, area based, and City, Town, and Parish Council projects will be critical and may need coordination.

The role of CIL in providing mitigating infrastructure as well as supporting viability of key development sites is recognised and therefore the strategic direction of prioritised spend is central to the IBP process.

## The Approach towards Infrastructure Prioritisation

Establishing a detailed understanding of infrastructure delivery is multi-faceted and requires consideration of a number of inter-dependent factors:

* **The Development Trajectory**
* **Prioritisation of Infrastructure Projects**
* **Phasing of infrastructure**

### The Development Trajectory

Infrastructure delivery is aligned to growth and necessary to mitigate the impacts arising from development. It is imperative that the phasing of infrastructure represents current development agreements and anticipated trajectories moving forward.

The Local Plan sets the strategic spatial planning framework for the Chichester plan area, detailing a development strategy up to 2029 and the local context for considering the long-term social, economic, environmental and resource impacts of development.

Policy 4 of the Local Plan sets out a target of 7,388 homes to be built from 2012 to 2029. This IBP is informed by the detailed development trajectories that are anticipated to deliver this growth and will need to remain reviewed in accordance with future agreements and trajectories. The Monitoring Framework implemented by CDC will be central to this process and ensure achieved and anticipated growth directly informs the IBP.

### Prioritisation of Infrastructure Projects

Following the identification of all currently identified Infrastructure Projects (for the whole plan period set out in Appendix A and for the first five years in Section 3) the IBP seeks to align each project a level of priority. This will distinguish those projects critical to enabling development and mitigating infrastructure compared to those that are important to deliver good place making principles, but would be appropriate to deliver at a later date.

**Table 1: Infrastructure Prioritisation Categories**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Definition** |
| **Critical Infrastructure** | Infrastructure that must happen to enable growth, i.e. it is a prerequisite to unlock any future works without which development cannot proceed. These infrastructure items are ‘blockers’ or ‘showstoppers’, they are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure and are usually linked to triggers controlling the commencement of development activity. It also includes Services that are required to facilitate growth or be delivered in advance of residential/commercial development, i.e. connection to the potable and wastewater network. |
| **Essential Infrastructure** | Infrastructure that is considered necessary in order to mitigate impacts arising from the operation of the development. These are projects which are usually identified as required mitigation in EIA/SEA/HRA/TIA testing to make the proposed development acceptable in planning terms and are directly related to the proposed development. These items are most common in relation to trips and population generated by the development (including school places, health requirements and public transport (service Projects), and are usually linked to triggers controlling the occupation of development sites. |
| **Policy High Priority Infrastructure** | Infrastructure that is required to support wider strategic or site specific objectives which are set out in planning policy or subject to a statutory duty, but would not necessarily prevent development from occurring. This type of infrastructure has a less direct relationship with additional population creating additional need, and is more influenced by whether a person chooses to use this facility or service (including use of community facilities and libraries and use of sports facilities). |
| **Desirable Infrastructure** | Infrastructure that is required for sustainable growth but is unlikely to prevent development in the short to medium term. This is often aligned to placemaking objectives without being essential for development to come forward. |

Within the categories outlined above, further refinement could be used in order to evaluate and compare projects within each category which would influence the priorities. These could include factors such as:

* Whether neighbouring parishes are prepared to act as a cluster and pool their CIL monies to fund infrastructure projects of mutual benefit to them
* Value for money (or return on investment)
* Number of jobs created
* Number of homes provided
* Deliverability and sustainability (whether the project is “ready to go”)
* Risk
* Other Identified funding sources to contribute towards CIL projects
* Existing infrastructure capacity.
* Direct links to the Local Plan Vision /policies (key outcomes for growth)
* Alignment with delivery partners plans/programmes
* Whether the project could be delivered another way/or through another source of funding
* Whether the project will lead to efficiencies.
* Evidence of need

The final element that supports the prioritisation of infrastructure is to ensure an appreciation of the necessary phasing of infrastructure requirements. It is this stage that is central to the Infrastructure Business Plan as it represents the primary evidence base for anticipating cash-flow from infrastructure spending against the receipt of CIL Payments.

The infrastructure prioritisation process is illustrated in the diagram below:

## Infrastructure Prioritisation Process

### Note: At all stages consideration must be given towards funding sources/options

1. Is the infrastructure already committed with full funding secured?

2. Record infrastructure as committed in the Business Plan & recognise it will not impact cash flow modelling

3. Is the infrastructure necessary to support the development trajectories?

Yes

No

Yes

No

4. If the infrastructure is necessary to unlock & enable development classify as critical. If it mitigates development impact then classify as Essential mitigation

No

Critical

Essential Mitigation

5. Is the infrastructure required on the basis of Statutory planning/duties?

Yes

Policy High Priority

7. Reconsider the request for infrastructure

6. Will the infrastructure support economic prosperity &/or provide wider placemaking benefits?

No

No

Yes

Desirable

## Implementation, Monitoring & Governance

### Introduction

A clear framework and shared understanding of infrastructure priorities between delivery partners will be required to effectively implement and monitor spend and receipt of CIL monies. The IBP sets out the relationship between the development trajectory and infrastructure provision to provide a pro-active approach in mitigating the pressures arising from growth. The IBP seeks to

identify the funding gap that exists and the requirement to identify additional funding sources as well as consideration of alternative options for delivery and implementation.

The IBP is a ‘living’ document and will be consistently reviewed in order to respond to emerging development proposals and growth requirements. As noted previously the IBP does not therefore represent an exhaustive list of defined projects but is a reflection of the current understanding that is expected to be refined with additional projects or amendments that reflect alternative approaches to project delivery under future IBPs.

The community at large, the development industry and infrastructure delivery commissioners will benefit from greater certainty about what infrastructure will be provided and its timing.

### CIL Governance

Implementation of the IBP and effective allocation of CIL receipts requires a clear governance structure to facilitate effective delivery and monitoring. The IBP Infrastructure Joint Member Liaison group was established on 2 June 2015 by CDC Cabinet. Its purpose is to consider and endorse the draft Chichester Infrastructure Business Plan (IBP) on an annual basis. The role of the IJMLG has now been taken over by a new Growth Board.

The IBP identifies funding sources and responsible delivery agencies in order to support the development growth identified in the Local Plan to 2029. The IBP is drafted by a joint CDC/WSCC officer working group. The Chichester Growth Board considers the draft for stakeholder consultation and then considers the final version in the light of that consultation.

Membership is open to elected members of WSCC and CDC. It was agreed that the Chichester Growth Board would not be a formal decision-making joint committee and so it would not be necessary that the two councils should have equality of representation. It would be for each Council to determine its mix of executive and non-executive members without being so large as to be unwieldy. Chichester has appointed the Leader of the Council the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning and a member from the Development Plan and Infrastructure Panel. WSCC has appointed two of its members from Chichester District, one of whom is the leader of the Council.

The Chichester Growth Board will meet each September to consider and endorse the draft IBP for consultation with stakeholders, including infrastructure providers and parish councils. It would then meet again in December to make any amendments resulting from the consultation.

CIL Regulation 59C states that a local council (Town, City, Parish Council) must use CIL receipts passed onto it in accordance with regulation 59A or 59B to support the development of the local council’s area, or any part of that are, by funding – (a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.

The City, Town and Parish Councils should note that if they have not spent the CIL allocations made to them within five years of receipt the District Council will ask for the monies back (see CIL Regulation 59E(10) for details). The exception to this is where a City, Town or Parish Council has identified ‘up front’ the need to fund an infrastructure project, where the CIL contributions accrued within the five year period are insufficient to fund the project, but it can be demonstrated that there is a realistic prospect of the project being delivered during the timeframe of the Local Plan.

If the City, Town or Parish Council does not feel that it has the necessary experience to manage their proportion of the CIL spend, it is imperative that they indicate this to the District Council at the earliest opportunity. In this is the case, the District Council would reserve the option to make a charge for managing the CIL on their behalf.

Final decisions on the allocation of CIL would then be made by CDC Full Council on the recommendation of Cabinet, in accordance with the endorsed IBP and as part of the process of preparing and approving the Council’s own revenue budget and capital programme.

The Council’s capital programme would include the District Council’s own infrastructure provision and planned payments of CIL towards the infrastructure of other Infrastructure Delivery Commissioners. It would not include infrastructure of other providers fully funded from other sources such as S106. It would be for Infrastructure Delivery Commissioners to manage cash flow for their infrastructure provision, including before CIL is paid over.

If the need arises for major changes to the IBP to be made outside the decision-making cycle, the Joint Member Liaison Group will be consulted and CDC’s normal decision making procedure can be followed


### Monitoring

The IBP will be monitored through the Authority’s Local Plan Monitoring Report, published annually in December. This will include a record of payments through S106 and CIL, as well as tracking development. The IBP will also be subject to scrutiny from the Corporate Governance and Audit Committee.

The Governance structure, process and timeline for the production of the IBP is set out in the diagram below.

INFORMATION GATHERING PLANNING & PRIORITISATION STRATEGY ENDORSEMENT DECISIONS

LOCATIONAL GROUPS – Identify, plan, prioritise & sequence infrastructure within locational groupings



# Appendix D Funding Source Review

This appendix examines the types of additional funding which could be accessed alongside the CIL confirmed income projections in order to help meet the outstanding costs identified in paragraph 6.4. This section examines:

* The main organisations with access to funding;
* Funding access through the LEP (The Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership);
* Other sources of funding relevant to key themes of project identified in paragraph 3.6 (Transport, Utilities

and Education); and

* Potential future funding sources.

## Main organisations with access to funding

### Chichester District Council

The main services provided by the District Council include:

 Environmental health

 Housing

 Leisure and recreation

 Planning applications

 Waste collection

The Council’s Corporate Plan is a strategic document that sets out the Council’s Themes and Aims and provides a basis for us to plan our work. It does not cover everything that we do or all the services that we provide, but seeks to focus on those issues that matter most to people, national priorities set by the Government and local challenges arising from the social, economic and environmental context of the district.

The themes are as follows:

* Improve the provision of and access to suitable housing
* Support our communities
* Manage our built and natural environments
* Improve and support the local economy

### West Sussex County Council

West Sussex County Council (WSCC) is responsible for providing many key local services. Each year the council manages public money in the provision of these services including schools, social services, Fire & rescue, the local highway network, libraries and the public records office, trading standards, transport planning and waste management.

WSCC is the local highway authority responsible for delivering the majority of the transport-related infrastructure to support the Local Plan proposals.

The County Council is seeking revenue funding from its capital programme to undertake feasibility work to progress the development of a Chichester Area Transport Package (subject to cabinet member approval).

### The Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership

The Coast to Capital LEP’s vision for its region is a high performing economy with a global outlook, where knowledge and creativity drives growth and prosperity for all. Its strategic approach is to:

* Create and maintain the right conditions for enterprise and high growth entrepreneurship to flourish;
* Ensure all young people emerging from each phase of education are ambitious and equipped with or seeking entrepreneurial skills;
* Make Coast to Capital an attractive location to start and grow a business;
* Promote social enterprises as effective forms of business for a wider group of potential entrepreneurs than has previously been considered; Ensure there is a healthy enterprise and dynamic entrepreneurial activity across our region;
* Add extra momentum to our economy by supporting those sectors and businesses which are capable of growing most quickly.

### Funding accessed through the LEP

#### Growth Deal

Coast to Capital LEP has signed a Growth Deal with central government that will see the start of a six year investment programme in jobs, infrastructure and transport. The deal is worth £202 million over six years, starting with investment of £38m of new funding in 2015/16 and it will deliver by 2021 14,000 jobs, 5,000 new homes and 190,000 sqm of employment space.

As a whole, during the period starting in 2015, the Coast to Capital region will benefit from:

* **Wood Fuel initiative with the Forestry Commission** – Sustainable use of primary natural resource to produce wood fuel as a renewable energy source and local building materials. Up to £0.8m.
* **Digital Growth** – to provide key business locations with the digital connections needed to compete internationally and to pioneer new mobile 5G technology research with neighbouring LEPs. Support to small firms to get e-commerce skills and complete the superfast broadband roll-out. Up to £3.4m.
* **Advanced Engineering Centre** – a collaboration between the University of Brighton and Ricardo in a new Centre of excellence to deliver leading automotive and environmental engineering training and research. Ricardo is a global strategic, technical and environmental engineering firm based in Shoreham. Up to £7m.
* **Flood Defences Newhaven and Shoreham** - In Newhaven, this will open up major brownfield sites for housing and employment land plus new harbour facilities. In Shoreham, the flood defences and transport access improvements will allow land to be used for housing and businesses. £1.5m in Newhaven and £9.5m in Shoreham.
* **Bognor Regis A29 re-alignment** between the new Bognor Regis Relief Road and the A27 which will bridge the West Coastway railway line, avoiding congestion points and current delay points at a level crossing. It will include 4 to 5 new junctions, plus cycle and pedestrian facilities. The realignment will allow new development of business and employment opportunities in Bognor Regis. Up to £13m.
* **Circus Street, central Brighton** - a city centre mixed use regeneration project of a site to deliver new homes, office building, student accommodation, a library and academic buildings. Up to £2.7m.
* **Preston Barracks Central Research Laboratory** - a joint venture between University of Brighton, Cathedral and Brighton Council to create a new innovation hub to commercialise academic research and incubate high growth businesses, with new housing. £7.7m
* **City College Brighton and Hove and Chichester College** - Refurbishment of dilapidated buildings and facilities to allow an increase in trainees, apprentices, disabled learners and new links to local businesses. £11m in 2015/16 with a further round for new projects of £10m in 2016/17.
* **Sustainable Transport Packages** – a range of projects will tackle congestion and improve sustainable transport in local areas across the Coast to Capital region. This will enable improvements to walking and cycling links; improvements to junctions and traffic management systems to ease traffic flow and reduce congestion and improvements to public transport, such as bus and taxi priority measures and better Interchanges. £31.7m.
* **Crawley Area Transport Package** - Includes junction improvements, bus priority schemes, modal interchange and improvements to walking and cycling. £18m.
* **Resilience Schemes** - Intelligent Transport System traffic management, strategic road maintenance and flood and critical incident alleviation, mainly in East Surrey. £30.9m.

#### Growing Places Fund

Coast to Capital has a portfolio of projects funded by the Growing Places Fund that will create or unlock job creation.  
The Growing Places Fund is designed to be a revolving fund, so the process of receiving and evaluating projects will be an ongoing one.

**Criteria**

The Coast to Capital Board has considered the Government's requirements and has developed a process and criteria that will allow for transparent and objective decision making.

Firstly, all potential investments in sites and infrastructure must meet essential criteria.

The project must be:

* Able to contribute to Coast to Capital's strategic goals for employment growth in the Coast to Capital area and move particularly in the creation of jobs
* Unable to go ahead without the investment from other funding sources.
* Ready to commence quickly
* Able to repay with a clear mechanism
* In need of £250,000 or more (special consideration may be given to smaller broadband projects)
* Covers multi industry sectors

## Other sources of funding

### Transport

There are currently five roundabouts and one traffic controlled junction along the A27 near Chichester. Congestion regularly occurs at these locations and will worsen unless traffic is managed more effectively. On this part of the A27 local commuter traffic competes with the through traffic and because of these conflicts, congestion occurs regularly. The congestion is particularly disruptive as it affects the flow of public transport into the city.

In July 1998, the Transport White Paper 'A New Deal for Trunk Roads in England' initiated several comprehensive studies to improve transportation in various regions of England. The study carried out for the South East region of England was called the South Coast Multi Modal Study (SoCoMMS). In September 2002, the Study recommended a range of transport improvements. For the Chichester Bypass section, the Study recommended the provision of two - level junctions and/or junction closures, in association with a range of complementary measures including improvements to public transport. In 2003, the Secretary of State for Transport rejected all the proposed improvements identified for the bypass at that time by the study. As a result, he asked Highways England to work with the Local Authorities and Statutory Environmental Bodies to develop less environmentally damaging options that addressed local issues and included public transport solutions where considered appropriate.

The Government Spending Review announcement in October 2013 listed the A27 Chichester Improvement Scheme for potential construction. In 2015 a scheme to upgrade to four junctions on the Chichester bypass was included in the Road Investment Strategy for the 2015/16 – 2019/20 Road Period but in 2017 the scheme was cancelled due to there being no clear consensus on a preferred option solution.

Government is currently in the research phase for the Road Investment Strategy for the 2020/21 – 2024/25 Road Period (RIS2). It is not known whether a scheme to improve the Chichester Bypass will be included in RIS2.

Highways England also has plans to make the section of the A27 through Chichester into an Expressway by 2040. Expressways are A-roads that can be relied upon to be as well-designed as motorways and which are able to offer the same standard of journey to users. At a minimum, this means:

* Largely or entirely dual carriageway roads that are safe, well-built and resilient to delay;
* Junctions which are largely or entirely grade separated, so traffic on the main road can pass over or under roundabouts without stopping;
* Modern safety measures and construction standards;
* Technology to manage traffic and provide better information to drivers.

This means an Expressway will be able to provide a high-quality journey to its users. Most Expressways should be able to offer a mile a minute journeys throughout the day, particularly outside of urban areas. Safety levels should match the highest standards of the network and, for many parts of the country, an Expressway will be able to provide a motorway-quality journey for drivers.

While this standard is already met at many points on the network, certain routes that may justify Expressway status are inconsistent, repeatedly switching from dual to single carriageway and back again, or suffering serious congestion at a particular roundabout. Highways England will prioritise fixing these problems to provide better journeys.

Highways England recognises that serving the needs of the motorist does not come at the expense of others. Instead, the network should account for the needs of walkers and cyclists, and not act as a deterrent to active travel options. The network must be easier to get over, under or around to ensure that roads do not divide communities, and that the associated health and wellbeing benefits of walking and cycling are felt as widely as possible.

Highways England will also embrace new technology and aim to communicate through smart phones and in-car technology. This will increase the quality, and speed up the flow of information. Control will be returned to drivers, with personalised, predictive travel information helping plan alternative routes to avoid roadworks or unexpected disruption, leading to improved journeys at a more reliable speed.

Highways England has created a series of ring-fenced funds, worth £900 m up to 2020/21 to address a range of specific issues over and above the traditional focus of road investment. These five funds allow for actions beyond business as usual and will help the Company invest in retrofitting measures to improve the existing road network as well as maximising the opportunities offered by new road schemes to deliver additional improvements at the same time. The funds are:

* Environment (£300m to mitigate noise, low carbon road transport, improve water quality &resilience to flooding, landscaping & work to halt the loss of biodiversity)
* Cycling, safety and Integration (£250m segregated cycleways alongside trunk roads & safer junctions & crossings).
* Innovation (£150m for the development of new technologies)
* Air Quality (£100m to target improvements in air quality)
* Growth and Housing (£100m to provide leverage and flexibility for the Company to engage in progressing schemes on the SRN required to unlock strategic growth. It is a supplement – not substitute for developer contributions and other existing sources of funding. The fund will normally only be applicable to investment on the SRN that: Unlocks major housing development (for example, in the order of 5,000 new homes or more); or key economic growth; and Involves multiple developers; and is funded – at least in part by developer contributions*.*

### Utilities

The funding for utilities at a strategic level is usually paid for by the respective utilities company through their asset management plans (AMPs). All incumbent utility undertakers are obliged to submit draft AMPs to their Regulator, identifying the capital investment that the undertaker wants to commit to over the next 5 or 10 years. The investment for these works is sourced from the company’s revenue (customer charges) and covers expansion or enhancement of the strategic utility network against projected growth in demand. The draft AMPs are reviewed and approved by the regulating authorities that protect the interests of the customers. The review of these business plans is called the Periodic Review.

The growth projections used and demand for the utility service must be ‘non-speculative’, so the companies make their own assessments for justification of proposal purposes. Essential works have priority over works that it is possible to defer and frequently not all proposed works are agreed by the respective regulator. Upon agreement with the respective regulator the utility companies produce final AMPs, which typically include the following strategic elements:

* Electricity: Grid sub-stations
* Gas: Reinforcement to the high/intermediate mains
* Water Resource: New abstraction points and water treatment works
* Waste Water: New or upgrade works to waste water treatment works

Connection of developments to the non-strategic mains is not included in AMP’s and these are funded in full or in part by the Developer, depending upon the business case of the utility provider. In some cases utilities may also refuse to cover all the costs associated with some strategic infrastructure if they are deemed to be excessive. Strategic utility upgrade projects can take several years, or even more than a decade, to plan, design and implement, whereas the planning process for development can be much

quicker.

In planning their AMPs the utility companies will want assurance that the predicted additional demand will become a reality. Otherwise, they may find they are committed to infrastructure costs for potential demand that may not materialise, or alternatively situations where they provide significant up-front infrastructure that could then be used by another supplier. Companies are also not able to provide

significant infrastructure in advance of any development, as they have a duty to maintain and improve services for their existing customers.

Reinforcement works associated with standard, developer-led developments would be programmed in following receipt of planning permission. However, for larger scale developments this programme may not be not possible. For example, a new grid connection could take 5-10 years to implement, while a new primary sub-station could take 3-5 years. As planning permission is only valid for a period of 3 years, it would not be possible to carry out these significant infrastructure improvements within the timescales provided. Additionally, as the onus would be on the developer to fund the necessary infrastructure, many developers may not be willing to be the first to apply for planning permission.

There are mechanisms that can be used to fund new infrastructure in the absence of a lead developer willing to make the first planning application. One option is through a site-specific infrastructure capacity charge. In this case a utility provider would fund the upfront infrastructure and each subsequent planning application in the area would be subject to a capacity charge, allowing the provider to claw back some of the initial outlay.

Where there is a capacity constraint and no improvements are programmed by the utility company, the Local Planning Authority should require the developer to provide for appropriate improvements which must be completed prior to occupation of the development. Such improvements should be secured through phasing or by the use of Grampian style conditions attached to planning permission.

### Education

Local Authorities are under a statutory duty to secure sufficient education provision within their areas and to promote higher standards of attainment. In its strategic role as commissioner of school places, the County Council must respond to changes in demand over time by increasing or removing capacity.

Funding for new school places comes through Basic Need grant to LAs to use at any maintained school, including academies and free schools. There is a separate funding stream from EFA for newly approved free schools.

Bids for new DfE funded free schools, where a basic need is identified, can be made via the new schools network. Ideally, LAs should secure both land and a sponsor first.

In 2011, the DfE introduced legislation, where a LA thinks there is a need for a new school in its area it must seek proposals to establish an academy/free school.

This section focuses on the Academy and Free school routes to capital finance to support expansion of facilities or new build facilities to support the population growth implications of the Local Plan.

Academies are publicly-funded independent schools. Academies benefit from greater freedoms to innovate and raise standards. These include:

* Freedom from local authority control;
* The ability to set their own pay and conditions for staff;
* Freedoms around the delivery of the curriculum; and
* The ability to change the lengths of terms and school days.

Academies are funded by the Education Funding Agency (EFA) on an academic year basis. The EFA is the funding body for capital as well as revenue funding for Academies. Academies receive the same level of per-pupil funding as they would receive from the local authority as a maintained school, plus additions to cover the services that are no longer provided for them by the local authority.

In December 2011 the Secretary of State announced four capital funds for which academies will be eligible: devolved formula capital, the academies Capital Maintenance Fund, the 16-19 Demographic Growth Capital Fund and basic need funding. Two of these are highlighted below.

**The Academies Capital Maintenance Fund (ACMF)** is currently being used to cover two types of projects – either tackling building condition issues or expanding provision. As well as having a case for expansion, academies applying to the ACMF need to have up to two appropriate, cost effective and deliverable capital projects scoped to address the identified issues. Evidence submitted should be proportionate to the scale of the project. Given the likely demand for such funds, academies will need to demonstrate that not only do they have robust forecasts for demand for places, but that the proposed project provides additional facilities in a cost effective manner. Typically funds are available for two years reflecting building projects which can be delivered for an increased intake in these years. Given the tight timescales for spending the available funding, the EFA will prioritise projects that are ready to proceed immediately i.e. projects which have designs complete, ready to submit planning application or planning approved.

Key data required in an ACMF submission includes:

* A track record of success
* Historical demand for places at the academy (or its predecessor school pre-conversion) – judged by

the number of first and second preference applications and/or staying on rates post-16

* Local demographic data to indicate how recent population shifts / growth have influenced demand for

places at the academy

* Evidence of the current capacity of the academy (net capacity or current capacity based on planned

admission number).

* Utilisation rates and relevant details of adjustments made to the curriculum and innovative timetabling approaches to maximise the usage of the current accommodation
* An options appraisal to justify the case for the scale and the type of additional facilities required at the academy as it grows to demonstrate the project proposed delivers the most cost effective approach to the expansion required.

There will be a need to make the case for expansion for both meeting current demand for places and demographic growth in pupil numbers from planned housing developments in coming years and levering in other sources of funding. For example, ACMF can be used to “top up” Basic Need funding provided by local authorities to ensure the prompt provision of places at popular and successful academies. Projects addressing demographic growth that are unable to lever in other sources of funding will not score as well

as those that do.

**The 16-19 Demographic Growth Capital Fund** addresses the need for new learner places arising from increases in the local population of young people aged 16 to 19, including new places needed for local provision for learners with learning disabilities and/or difficulties. Academies, sixth-form colleges and local authority maintained schools are eligible to benefit from the funding.

Free Schools are all-ability state-funded schools set up in response to what local people say they want and need in order to improve education for children in their community. Funding agreements will be set up directly with the Secretary of State. Free school proposals will have to go through stages to ensure suitability, including a full business plan with the setting out of the school’s financial viability.

Organisations seeking to set up a free school are required to submit business plans to the ‘New Schools Network’ who work with the DfE to screen new proposals. There is a current lack of information on the process for capital funding to support the expansion of Free School premises as a result of increased popularity or demographic requirements. FAQs published on the ACMF indicate that Free Schools, Studio Schools and UTCs have received capital allocations from DfE/EFA based on an understanding of their building requirements and are therefore unlikely to be awarded additional funds through the ACMF. A review of Free School model funding agreements seems to suggest that Earmarked Annual Grant (“EAG”) could be paid by the Secretary of State to the Academy Trust in respect of either Recurrent or Capital Expenditure for such specific purposes as may from time to time be agreed with the Secretary of

State.

### Targeted Basic Need Programme

On 18 July 2013, the Minister of State for Schools announced details of capital funding of around £820m that will provide new, high quality school places in locations that need more school places. The programme will offer additional support to those local authorities experiencing the greatest pressure on places and will help them to prepare for further rises in pupil numbers. The programme will deliver new academies and Free Schools, as well as enabling investment to expand existing good and outstanding schools to fund the provision of new, high quality school places in the areas that need it most.

As part of this programme, LAs in England can bid for funding to:

1. Build high quality new schools on their own sites. These must be established as an Academy or Free School via the Academy Presumption arrangements; and

2. Permanently expand existing good and outstanding Academies and maintained schools.

The local authority needs to ensure that it can deliver the additional pupil places within the timescale and budget available. To support this, the Department of Education will be seeking information that the proposed land/site is suitable. This will include information regarding the land/site condition, size, ownership and planning considerations which will help establish its suitability. As the funding is fixed, the site would also ideally be cleared of any existing structures that are not needed as part of the new build school.

Local authorities have to demonstrate evidence of basic need and set out the wider strategic context in terms of why the proposed provision cannot be funded from within existing allocations. Local authorities also have to demonstrate that they can deliver the new schools and classrooms to time. As such, the land or site should already be in Local authority ownership (or that of a close partner organisation). If the Local authority has to go through a process to identify and purchase/lease a suitable site then this makes the

delivery of additional school places unlikely within the available timetable. If a site is identified and purchase can be achieved quickly, then this will be considered. The provision of a suitable site or land will be a contribution to the project by the Local authority and DfE will not provide funding for this.

The funding will cover building and site costs. The funding will also cover abnormals, professional fees, fixtures, fittings and equipment (FF&E), ICT infrastructure, ICT hardware and technical adviser fees (including project management costs). Further funding will not be provided to cover additional S106 or S278 requirements.

The new programme involves two separate stages of competition. In the first, councils will compete to win funding, by demonstrating the scale of their places crisis. They will then be required to run a competitive process to choose the provider of the new schools.

As at July 2015, WSCC has allocated its current 16-19 Demographic Growth Fund and Targeted Basic Need allocations. Unless the DfE allocates further funds under these headings it will not be possible to link them to Chichester. There are no other sources of funding available.

### Potential future funding sources

The development of off-site infrastructure, which was always the most challenging to argue an economic case for even in a strong property market, needs to be positioned in terms of wider (and more innovative) funding mechanisms that are being developed by the public and private sectors. The market is in an economy where development investment finance is less freely available and risk is under greater scrutiny. This is coupled with an austerity budget position in the public sector resulting in lower availability of funding to support similar projects.

Local authorities need to look across their full range of funding streams when considering delivery and prioritisation of infrastructure requirements. The flexibility to mix funding sources at a local level enables local authorities to be more efficient in delivering outcomes. Funding sources change over time with emerging priorities and changes in regime either at local, regional or national level. In addition, other partners and stakeholders may be able to play a part.

The following options reflect current possibilities for funding. They reflect a wide range of options based on the proposed uses coming forward through the Local Plan, intelligence and experience of the developer/ financier community and existing and emerging sources of public sector funding.

Our analysis has focused on three categories:

* Cash and Funds – funding from sources of ‘investment capital’, including grant funding and

commercial finance, potentially delivered through a joint venture mechanism;

* Assets – funding sources that arise from capturing an increase in land value; and
* Fiscal – funding that comes from the application of main stream fiscal tools (e.g. business rates).

### Cash and Funds

#### Prudential Borrowing (Public Works Loan Board or ‘PWLB’)

This is the main direct funding source for local authorities and is still perceived as a cheap form of financing. It is also arguably an efficient option to implement as the obligations fall predominantly on the local authority to ensure it has properly assessed affordability.

Under the PWLB option, CDC or WSCC would have to assess its own level of borrowing commitment at the time the capital is needed.

Effectively, the District/County would have to assess the level of income it would generate against repayments it has to make, or whether wider County resources will be required. It has the benefit of being a relatively reliable source of finance, not being subject to commercial market appraisals in the way that a bank financed project would be. However, it does place CDC or WSCC in a position of risk in terms of repaying the whole value of infrastructure from resources, if revenue or value through the schemes to come forward cannot be captured. CDC or WSCC would need to determine whether PWLB is appropriate in terms of any existing or intended facilities if this was to be used for infrastructure.

#### Regional Growth Fund (RGF)

This is a £1.4bn discretionary fund set up by the Government to stimulate projects that create long term employment opportunities and growth in the economy. To secure monies from the RGF there has to be evidence of a strong link to job creation and inward investment, on the basis it is the catalytic investment that allows new businesses to develop or existing businesses to expand. In any event RGF is not expected to extend beyond 2014 and is likely to be replaced by the LEP single pot funding announced in

the Autumn statement.

#### Get Britain Building (GBB)

The £570m Get Britain Building programme was announced as part of the Government’s Housing Strategy for England in November 2011, and aims to unlock locally-backed stalled sites with planning permission and deliver up to 16,000 new homes. A recoverable investment, the programme is intended to address difficulties in accessing development finance faced by some housebuilders, and to help bring forward marginal sites by sharing risk. The intention is that the Government will ultimately recover its funding - this is not a grant scheme. The programme was open to private sector organisations that control land and can develop at least 25 homes on the stalled site by December 2014.

### Assets

The increase in land value has been a mainstay of economic development financing over the last ten years. Utilising a range of tools, such as development agreements, local asset backed vehicles or other joint ventures, local authorities have been able to secure large amounts of infrastructure from improvements to land values. This has needed to be combined with careful use of planning consents and S106 agreements, but with the restrictions on pooling of S106 contributions moving forward then the ability to use this option may narrow.

The rewards or benefits of a Local Asset Backed Vehicle in certain circumstances outweigh the costs. It should not be forgotten that the financial implications of setting up a Local Asset Backed Vehicle (LABV) are significant. Procurement, preparing and agreeing legal documentation, to include specialist property and financial advice require significant Officer and external advisor time. Experiences elsewhere show these costs could easily be around £250,000-£300,000, possibly more. Once in place, on-going management and due diligence needs to be considered, along with post procurement advice and support to the authority. If such costs were sought to be recovered through the vehicle it would in effect become a reduction of the land costs. Benefits are based very much on the attractiveness of the portfolio, end value or lot size and ability and quantum of total profit likely to be generated.

### Fiscal

#### Business rate retention and Tax Increment Financing

#### The Local Government Finance Bill

Business rate retention and Tax Increment Financing represent a real opportunity to bridge the infrastructure funding gap. It requires the enactment of legislation currently before parliament, but which should be on the statute books before March 2013. The Local Government Finance Bill was introduced on 19 December 2011. The Bill would introduce local retention of business rates, as well as powers for the Secretary of State to introduce Tax Increment Financing to allow councils to borrow against future increases in income.

The Bill proposes that local authorities will be able to retain a proportion of future nondomestic rates (business rates) growth, subject to various checks and balances. This is called the Business Rates Retention Scheme (BRRS). A proportion of the business rates collected by billing authorities will be paid into a central pool (the central share) with the remaining proportion retained by the authority (the local share). Proportions dictating the local and national share will be set by the Secretary of State. The BRRS will be funded from the local share.

#### A baseline level with top ups and tariffs to create a fair starting point for all

Government will establish a baseline, which could be based on next year's Formula Grant allocations, for each council in the first year of the scheme (2013-14) so no council is worse off at the outset. Councils that collect more than that baseline would pay an individually set tariff to Government, while those below it would get an individually set top up grant from Government.

An authority whose local business rates baseline exceeds its baseline funding level will pay a tariff to government. An authority whose local business rates baseline is smaller than their local funding baseline will receive a top-up from government.

Key elements of the scheme include:

* **An incentive so all councils can grow:** Tariffs and top up grants would remain fixed during future years meaning councils would retain any business rate growth it generates.
* **The levy to recoup disproportionate gain**: Government will create a levy to recoup a share of any disproportionate financial gain. This will vary according to each individual council's own circumstances and would be used to manage significant unforeseen falls in a council's business rates income.
* **The reset button to ensure stability:** This will allow the Government to adjust top ups and tariffs to balance out changes in local circumstance. For there to be a genuine incentive effect, the reset period has to be long one. As the levels of baseline, tariff and top-ups are not yet known it cannot be established whether this will produce significant funding for the infrastructure required, but HDC should monitor the proposals in readiness for implementation.

#### Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

Tax Increment Financing allows local authorities to capture the value of uplifts in local taxes (business rates) that occur as a result of infrastructure investment. Tax Increment Financing allows that uplift to take place by borrowing against the value of the future uplift to deliver the necessary infrastructure.

Local retention of business rates will remove the most important barrier to Tax Increment Financing schemes, namely that local authorities are currently not permitted to retain any of their business rates and therefore could not borrow against any predicted increase in their business rates. Borrowing for Tax Increment Financing schemes would therefore fall under the prudential system, allowing local authorities to borrow for capital projects against future predicted increases in business rates growth, provided that

they can afford to service the borrowing costs out of revenue resources. However, such borrowing can only take place if local authorities and developers have a degree of certainty about the future tax revenue streams and whether there are sufficient guarantees that they will be retained within the authority.

The Local Government Finance Bill includes two options for TIF. Option one would see local authorities within the existing prudential borrowing rules, able to borrow against their income within the business rate retention scheme. Option two would allow a limited number of Tax Increment Financing schemes to be permitted in which the business rates growth would not be subject to the levy or reset for a defined period of time.

Option 1

In the rates retention system as described above, after the setting of either the tariffs and top up in year one, any additional business rates growth would sit in the defined area in which it is generated, but be subject to a levy to recoup a share of disproportionate benefit. Under this option, Government would not design in any special treatment of the revenues in the Tax Increment Financing area. This would mean that any growth in business rates within the area would be subject to the levy and would be taken into account in any reset of top ups and tariffs. Local authorities would be free to borrow against all their retained business rate revenues including anticipated growth, subject to the normal operation of the prudential borrowing system. Local authorities would have certainty about how the levy is applied to recoup a share of disproportionate benefit and would be able to plan borrowing and Tax Increment Financing projects on this basis.

Option 2

Under this option, which would be implemented in addition to option 1, additional business rates growth (resulting from the Tax Increment Financing project) within a defined area would be retained for a defined period of time. During this period, it would not be subject to the levy and would be disregarded in any reassessment of top ups and tariffs. This approach offers the benefit of a guarantee that business rates growth in a defined area could be used to service debt and would not be at risk of reduction from the levy

and resets. However, since the business rate growth in the area would be protected from the levy and from resets, there would be less money in the levy pot to manage significant volatilities and potentially a smaller proportion of resources would be available for re-balancing at any reset. With no controls over numbers of Tax Increment Financing schemes, this effect could be substantial. As a result, this approach would require government control or approval in order to limit the number of schemes coming forward and

maintain resources available for re-balancing at any reset. This could be done through a central government competition or bidding process.

#### PF2

On the 5th December 2013, the Government concluded its review of PFI and published full details of a new approach to public private partnerships, PF2. They key reforms are as follows:

* **Public sector equity** - The public sector will take an equity stake in projects and have a seat on the

boards of project companies, ensuring taxpayers receive a share of the profits generated by the deal.

* **Encouraging more investors with long-term investment horizons** - The use of funding competitions will be introduced to encourage institutional investors such as, Pension Funds to compete to take equity in a PF2 project after the design stage. This is significant in terms of risk as Pension Funds are unlikely to invest in projects that are insufficiently developed.
* **Greater transparency** - Companies will have to disclose actual and forecast annual profits from deals. The new PF2 structure will curb gains to be made from refinancing and unutilised funds in lifecycle reserves.
* **More efficient delivery** - An 18-month limit on procurement will be introduced. Failure to meet this limit will see the respective public sector body lose funding.
* **Future debt finance** - the tender process will require bidders to develop a long-term financing solution where bank debt does not provide the majority of the financing requirement. Institutional investment will, therefore, become an important source of finance for PF2.

### Summary

The results of this analysis have highlighted three types of additional funding source:

* Existing funding sources which are currently open for bidding or could be influenced through actions of the joint IBP liaison group;
* Identified future funding sources which have a clear timeframe within which bidding rounds will be open or a clear timeframe to deliver finances which could be used to support infrastructure provision; and
* Potential future funding sources which do not have a clear timeframe within which bidding rounds will operate, are subject to broader considerations (e.g. Government decisions), or require further investigation.

Future reviews of the IBP will need to update this analysis and the members of the joint IBP liaison group could identify and bid into other funding streams (as appropriate).

**Potential funding sources along with potential sources of revenue for the repayment of capital loans**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Potential sources of capital funds for infrastructure to deliver the Chichester Local Plan : Key Policies** | | | |
| **Type** | **Source** | **Comments** | **Repayment Required** |
| Local Authority Grant | WSCC  Chichester DC | Annual Government Capital Allocations to Local Authorities, not usually repaid e.g. LTP Integrated block. | Yes, although local government financial settlement makes provision for this debt repayment |
| Council’s Capital | WSCC  Chichester DC | Own capital on account or from future asset sales | Council’s decision |
| Prudential borrowing | Public Works Loan Board |  | Yes |
| Private Capital | Banks | Indirect lending (Debt finance) | Yes |
| Private Capital | Private Capital Funds | Channelled through a third party | Yes |
| Private Capital | Institutional Investors | Pension Funds | Yes |
| Private Capital | Developer | Capital receipts to the Council from the sale of Council owned development land | No, unless required by Council Policy |
| Dft Grant Funding | Central Government |  | Yes, as for Local Authority Grant above. |
| LEP | Coast to Capital LEP | Capital funding to be repaid in future | Yes |
| Other competitive central government funding pots such as the Local Investment Fund | Central Government | Generally there is a new targeted fund | Possibly (depends on the specific terms & conditions) |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Potential Sources of revenue for repayment of capital** | | |
| **Type** | **Mechanism** | **Debtor** |
| Planning Obligations | S106 | Private Sector Developers |
| Tax Incremental  Funding (TIF) | % of Future Business Rates in  designated areas | Private Sector Businesses |
| Enterprise Zones | retained business rates to  encourage more business to  locate/relocate | Private Sector Businesses |
| New Homes Bonus | Direct grant paid to Local  Authorities for delivery of new  homes | Central Government (CLG) |
| Council Tax | Agreed additional annual charge  added to Council Tax | Council tax payers |
| LTP Capital Funding | Annual proportion set aside to  fund capital repayment | WSCC |
| Local Business Rates  Retained (LBRR) | Increase in tax base stimulated by  new infrastructure | Private Sector Businesses |

# Appendix E Project Pro-forma

**Infrastructure categories**

Below are the categories to be used in the IDP:

* Transport
* Education
* Health
* Social infrastructure (e.g. community facilities, sports & leisure)
* Green infrastructure
* Public and community services
* Utility services

**Table to be produced for each infrastructure delivery commissioner:**

The information provided will inform future versions of the IDP, and will feed into the production of a five year rolling Infrastructure Business Plan.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Infrastructure Category (from above list)** | **Scheme**  **(description)** | **Justification/ rationale** | **Phasing**  **(when)** | **Total estimated infrastructure cost** | **Sources of funding, showing amounts from each source & any shortfalls** | **Delivery lead**  **(who/how)** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix F Regulation 123 list

| **Infrastructure Projects to be funded at least in part by the CIL**  **(provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance)** | **Exclusions**  **(to be secured through planning obligations S106/S278)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Transport**   1. Improvements to the local road network other than site-specific mitigation requirements 2. Measures in connection with ‘smarter choices’ to secure changed travel behaviours and promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport other than site-specific requirements 3. Provision of public transport infrastructure other   than site-specific requirements   1. Provision of pedestrian infrastructure other than site-specific requirements 2. Provision of cycle infrastructure other than site-specific requirements | **Transport**   1. Strategic Road Network improvements to the A27 Chichester Bypass junctions in order to relieve congestion.   Provision of the following cycle routes:   1. St Paul's cycle route, and Parklands cycle route in conjunction with the West of Chichester Strategic Development Location; 2. Oving cycle route in conjunction with the Shopwyke Strategic Development Location; 3. Chichester - Tangmere cycle route in conjunction with the Tangmere Strategic Development Location; 4. Improvements to Sherborne Road and St. Paul's Road and junction in conjunction with the West of Chichester Strategic Development Location. 5. Provision of new road access and improvements to nearby roads connecting with southern access in conjunction with the West of Chichester Strategic Development Location. 6. Junction improvements to Cathedral Way/Via Ravenna in conjunction with the West of Chichester Strategic Development Location. 7. Provision of 2 new foot/cycle bridges across the A27 in conjunction with Shopwyke Lakes SDL. 8. Changes to Oving crossroad in conjunction with the Shopwyke Lakes SDL. 9. Provision of bus routes through the SDL's. |
| **Education**   1. Provision for which the local education authority has a statutory responsibility (primary schools, secondary schools, and sixth form and special educational needs) with the exception of primary school provision on the Strategic development Locations at West of Chichester and Tangmere. 2. Early Years and Childcare provision 3. Youth provision | **Education**  Provision of new primary schools to be provided in conjunction with the development of the Strategic Development Locations at:   1. West of Chichester, and 2. Tangmere. |
| **Health**   1. Community Healthcare/Primary Care facilities/improvements |  |
| **Social Infrastructure**   1. Community facilities other than site-specific requirements. 2. Built Sport and Leisure Facilities other than site-specific requirements. 3. General improvements to streetscene and built Environment 4. Libraries | **Social Infrastructure**   1. Provision necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms. |
| **Green Infrastructure**   1. Green Infrastructure (including landscaping, planting and woodland creation and improvements and upgrades and additions to the Public Rights of Way Network) other than site-specific requirements 2. Public Open Space other than site-specific requirements 3. Playing Fields, Sports Pitches and related built facilities, and children's play areas other than site-specific requirements 4. Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Infrastructure, other than site-specific requirements 5. Biodiversity measures/initiatives other than site-specific requirements 6. Provision of allotments other than site-specific requirements. | **Green Infrastructure**   1. Provision necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms. |
|  | **Habitat Regulations Assessment Mitigation**   1. Provision of infrastructure or other mitigation measures necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms 2. Financial contribution towards management of Natura 2000 sites. |
| **Public Services**   1. Police and emergency services (fire and rescue and ambulance) facilities other than site specific measures. | **Public Services**   1. Provision necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms, for example CCTV or fire hydrants, required specifically as a result of a new development |
|  | **Affordable housing provision and contributions** |

# Appendix G - IBP Glossary

**Basic Needs Grant -** This is a Department for Education grant given as a financial contribution to local authorities’ to assist with the costs of delivering school places.

**EIA -** Environmental Impact Assessment. It is a statutory procedure to be followed for certain types of project to ensure that decisions are made in full knowledge of any likely significant effects on the environment.

**FDGiA -** Flood Defence Grant in Aid. It is an Environment Agency grant which risk management authorities can apply for in order to carry out flood and coastal erosion risk management. This does not have to be applied for in order to carry out emergency coastal protection works.

**LIFT -**  Local Improvement Finance Trust. A local LIFT company builds and refurbishes primary care premises, which it owns. It rents accommodation to Primary Care Trusts and GPs (as well as other parties such as chemists, optician and dentists) on a lease basis. At local level, a LIFT company is a public private partnership (PPP). It is set up as a limited company with the local NHS (potentially including individual practitioners), Community Health Partnerships (CHP) and the private sector as shareholders. CHP is a limited company wholly owned by the Department of Health. CHP invests money in LIFT and also helps attract additional private funding. As a shareholder, the local NHS is best placed to direct investment to the areas of greatest need.

**SEA -** Strategic Environmental Assessment. It is a statutory procedure (set out in the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004) which requires the formal environmental assessment of certain plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment.

**TIA -** Traffic Impact Assessments. These are undertaken by transport engineers and planners to assess the possible effects of a project on the traffic system to ensure that congestion would not arise in the immediate area as a result of a given proposal.