

Matthew Burle

From: Adrian Pert [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 May 2021 12:00
To: Neighbourhood Planning
Subject: Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review 2019-2037

	<p>Representation Form</p> <p>Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review 2019-2037</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 - Regulation 16</p>
--	--

Southbourne Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan Review. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review and supporting documents are available to view on Chichester District Council's website:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan>.

All comments must be received by 5:00 pm on 3 June 2021.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to:
neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: **Neighbourhood Planning East Pallant House 1 East Pallant Chichester PO19 1TY**

Use of your personal data

All comments in Part B below will be publicly available and identifiable by name and (where applicable) organisation. Please note that any other personal information included in Part A below will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the principles and rights set out in the General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018, which cover such things as why and for how long we use, keep and look after your personal data.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying to which paragraph your comment relates by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details
Full Name	Adrian Pert
Address	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]
Organisation (if applicable)	
Position (if applicable)	
Date	24/05/2021

PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	5.104	Policy Reference:	SB18 (&SB2)
------------------	-------	-------------------	-------------

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

Community Feedback – Key Matter Priority 2 – Access and road bridge

Para 5.104 SB18 states ‘a separate road and cycle bridge is required (Policy SB2)’.

The Schedule of Evidence contains a Transport Impact Study, which states 800 new homes ‘can be delivered ahead of the new bridge’, thereby already contradicting the plan statement.

This is highly contentious and questionable and will destroy our villages.

Two of the key objectives defined in the pre-submission Sustainability Scoping Report were to improve road safety and reduce the impact on residents from the road network.

CDC have commissioned Stantec to provide a Level Crossing Baseline Safety Review. The conclusion from the report is that 750 dwellings north of the railway line ‘can be provided before conditions approaching the crossing reach the indicative trigger point for a bridge to be provided’.

The east/west access routes of Cooks/ Priors Leaze are narrow country lanes, joined from the south by Inlands Road, from where a ‘blind bend’ leads to the east. The ‘Inlands Square’ concept shown in the Masterplan Annex B to site a Community Hub at the intersection of these three lanes will inevitably attract more traffic, exacerbate road congestion, thus increasing the impact on residents and failing to improve road safety.

In their ‘Planning for the Future’ document of late 2020 the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government stated intent on behalf of the Government to ‘Deliver on our commitment to infrastructure first’. This is not something that emerges from the Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan and it has been mentioned that the essential infrastructure such as a road over the railway line may be achieved only after road chaos follows the additional houses. This does not conform to any notion of ‘infrastructure first’, which should endeavour to pre-empt such outcomes.

Policies SB18 & SB2 plus the Schedule of Evidence fail to deliver the objectives outlined in the scoping report, and also fail to deliver the second highest priority of the Southbourne and Nutbourne community. The Stantec report indicates a trigger point of 750 dwellings north of the railway line before a new bridge is required, which also fails on the community priority of infrastructure first.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

The road layout proposed in the Transport Impact Study is unworkable and will result in transport gridlock across 40 square miles of our community at key junctions.

A full road safety audit, and not just trip calculations, is required.

I am unable to support the plan as it does not deliver SB18, SB2 and the objectives identified in the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/addressed or attached.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

Communications on or through Chichester District Council's computer systems may be monitored or recorded to secure effective system operation and for other lawful purposes.