

Representation Form

Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review 2019-2037

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 - Regulation 16

Southbourne Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan Review. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review and supporting documents are available to view on Chichester District Council's website:

http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan.

All comments must be received by 5:00 pm on 3 June 2021.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: Neighbourhood Planning East Pallant House 1 East
 Pallant Chichester PO19 1TY

Use of your personal data

All comments in Part B below will be publicly available and identifiable by name and (where applicable) organisation. Please note that any other personal information included in Part A below will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the principles and rights set out in the General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018, which cover such things as why and for how long we use, keep and look after your personal data.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying to which paragraph your comment relates by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details
Full Name	Angela Hutchings
Address	
Postcode	
Telephone	
Email	
Organisation (if applicable)	

Position (if applicable)	
Date	28.5.2021

PART B

To which part of the docu	ment does your repre	esentation relate?	
Paragraph Number	A	Policy Reference:	SB14
Do you support, oppose,	or wish to comment o	on this paragraph? (Pl	ease tick one answer)
Support	t with modifications	Oppose	Have Comments 🛚
Please give details of you	r reasons for support	opposition, or make	other comments here:
Community Feedback - Ko	ey Matter Priority 4 – \	Wildlife/ecological im	provements
SB14 para A states that 'de notable biodiversity species			•
Appendix D (Sussex Biodive details relating to the fields identification using Appendit July 21.	at the east of the plan a	area. As development	proposals are linked to
Details of species recorded Breeding pair of kestrels, kit woodpecker, breeding pair of variety of finches, robins, we Frogs, toads, newts, hedge At least 2 species of bat, ide	te, buzzard, sparrowha green woodpeckers. G rens, thrushes, hedge a hogs, fox and roe deer.	wk, barn owl, jay, phea foldcrest. Long tail, coa and house sparrows, bl	I, great and blue tits,
North of Cooks Lane: Skylarks, linnets (flocks of u on migration route), yellowh Housemartin, swift, swallow	ammer – all RSPB red	list birds.	d using Cooks Lane area

Trees and hedgerows are vital as navigation aids, and the land to the east of Inlands Road, Nutbourne, includes a line of poplars, cherry and field maple. To the south of the plan area, a line of lime trees subject to a Tree Protection Order cross the area designated as A259 access. Glorious fern banks line Priors Leaze Lane, and ancient hedgerows line Cooks Lane. All the above information appears to have been excluded from Appendix D.

Consultation Statement Appendix 22 Point 50 - Chichester Harbour Conservancy have suggested that the policies need strengthening as they do not feel they address the protection afforded by the AONB designation nor the Special Protection Area. They would prefer dwellings to be built north of the railway line (further from the AONB boundary). A recent report from Natural England describes Chichester Harbour as 'one of the most important sites for wildlife in the UK and globally important for migratory birds' now being in an 'unfavourable and declining' condition, 'a story of catastrophic decline' citing amongst other damaging factors 'coastal squeeze'.

A little known fact to those outside of the plan to the east of Inlands Road area is that it is already a thriving wildlife corridor. For example, the Nutbourne Marshes are home to deer raising their

young in the reed beds, then migrating through the plan area to the east towards the south downs. A common seasonal sight.
It is therefore shocking that CDC have overlooked this diverse and abundant area in the Strategic Wildlife Corridors LPR December 2018. The corridor contains one of the most globally rare forms of water course – a chalk stream. The Neighbourhood Plan, despite mitigations, is nothing short of environmental destruction, which will inevitably impact the wonderful AONB and the South Downs.
(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)
What improvements or modifications would you suggest?
The material of the amount of the same year of aggreen
Due to the looming expiry of Appendix D, and the omission of the plan area, I am unable to even consider the plan until a full wildlife and ecological survey of the whole of the unrecognised wildlife corridor has been conducted and published.
Due to the looming expiry of Appendix D, and the omission of the plan area, I am unable to even consider the plan until a full wildlife and ecological survey of the whole of the unrecognised wildlife
Due to the looming expiry of Appendix D, and the omission of the plan area, I am unable to even consider the plan until a full wildlife and ecological survey of the whole of the unrecognised wildlife corridor has been conducted and published. The plan does not deliver on the community key matter priority 4 of wildlife/ecological
Due to the looming expiry of Appendix D, and the omission of the plan area, I am unable to even consider the plan until a full wildlife and ecological survey of the whole of the unrecognised wildlife corridor has been conducted and published. The plan does not deliver on the community key matter priority 4 of wildlife/ecological improvements; it does in fact deliver the complete opposite.
Due to the looming expiry of Appendix D, and the omission of the plan area, I am unable to even consider the plan until a full wildlife and ecological survey of the whole of the unrecognised wildlife corridor has been conducted and published. The plan does not deliver on the community key matter priority 4 of wildlife/ecological improvements; it does in fact deliver the complete opposite. I cannot support this plan due to the environmental and wildlife devastation it will cause.

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/addressed or attached.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)