



Representation Form

Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review 2019-2037

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 - Regulation 16

Southbourne Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan Review. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review and supporting documents are available to view on Chichester District Council's website:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan>.

All comments must be received by 5:00 pm on 3 June 2021.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to:
neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: **Neighbourhood Planning East Pallant House 1 East Pallant Chichester PO19 1TY**


Use of your personal data

All comments in Part B below will be publicly available and identifiable by name and (where applicable) organisation. Please note that any other personal information included in Part A below will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the principles and rights set out in the General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018, which cover such things as why and for how long we use, keep and look after your personal data.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying to which paragraph your comment relates by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details
Full Name	Kay Taylor
Address	

Postcode	
Telephone	
Email	
Organisation (if applicable)	
Position (if applicable)	
Date	2 nd June 2021

PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	Refer to each sub heading	Policy Reference:	Southbourne and Nutbourne Neighbourhood Plan
------------------	---------------------------	-------------------	--

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

I would like to record my opposition to the proposed minimum of 1250 new homes build in the strategic gap between Southbourne and Nutbourne. There are a huge number of practical reasons for my objection, but it also concerns me that such destructive action has a moral impact in terms of who is to take responsibility for irreversibly destroying habitats for both wildlife and future residents of both Southbourne and Nutbourne.

Water Management – [Habitat P.30 and 35 Environment management 47, 48 and 49 – Policy EM1] Water finds its way to the sea and with all new building areas localised flooding has increased in gardens, on roads and in any place, water can fill when its natural flow lines are filled in or removed. This of course would be of no consequence to developers because they create the problem with their builds but are long gone when the residents and other taxpayers must pick up the bills to sort flood damage. If developers or council members lived locally to proposed build areas in Southbourne and Nutbourne they would see the impact of trapped water courses.

Wastewater is regularly pumped into Chichester harbour because the Thornham Wastewater plant does not have the capacity to cope with current levels of demand. The harbour is polluted, and more wastewater will only increase the health hazard it is already creating. We are seeing far more visitors to the area on holiday, who is responsible for letting them know what a biohazard the harbour is if they venture too close. What financial contribution do the developers make to Southern Water to give them the chance of managing increased demand?

Main Road – [Local Planning Framework 5 Constraints]This road is now as busy as it was before the A27 trunk road was built. Houses shake, and pollution is so bad now car exhaust fumes can be smelt indoors if you open a window to the main road. Some insane, completely deluded soul feels we have continuous cycle lanes between Chichester and Emsworth and to make this even better [which is amazing because it does not exist!]; the proposal is to have cyclists and pedestrians sharing a pathway!!! Cyclists use the road because the cycle lanes are so poorly surfaced and are dangerous and are not continuous. Pedestrians have inadequate, narrow, uneven paths to negotiate and already must dodge cyclists on these paths that provide some protection for cyclists from speeding cars and lorries. Come and check it out for yourselves, pedestrians and cyclists cannot safely share the same path.

The junction between Broad Road and Main Road is dangerous, no doubt the developers with

their community minded, safety heads on will be building a roundabout here?

Irreversible damage to the natural green corridors- [EM3 and H3] When the damage is done everything is lost for the wildlife, both villages for ever. This level of cavalier indifference is beyond comprehension and understanding.

Shops, Schools, Doctors, Dentists – [Requirement for homes 42,43,44,45] By consensus of developers and council members = not important so therefore not needed!!

If our councils cannot fight for us, we must use our voices to strongly oppose something that will destroy so much in this area. Modelling surveys conducted by developers, those works of fiction do not exist in reality they are clearly compiled by people who do not live in the area and as such there is obviously no need to tell the truth as it is, fantasy will serve their purposes adequately thank you!

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

Be fair and honest and do not overlook the real problems.

Water management – Developers to work with Sothern Water and pay for new treatment plant.

Main Road – Speed bumps and 20mph speed limit to put the rat run traffic back on trunk road.
Take some of the road for cycle lanes each side of the road when traffic load is decreased.
Pavements stay purely for pedestrians.

Developers built new primary and Secondary schools, doctors and dentists if locals are ignored and any housing is permitted. There must be moral obligations as well as financial gain for the developers,

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/addressed or attached.